Via pars plana anterior iris enclavation IOL fixation
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Abstract
● AIM: To describe a via pars plana anterior iris enclavation intraocular lens (IOL) fixation technique
● METHODS: A total of 35 consecutive aphakic vitrectomised patients (average age 71.12±10.12y) underwent pars plana vitrectomy (PPV) and via pars plana anterior iris enclavation IOL fixation.
● RESULTS: The mean preoperative best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) was 0.11±0.14 logMAR, the mean postoperative BCVA was 0.07±0.11 logMAR. The preoperative mean spherical equivalent was 7.22±4.21 D. The final mean spherical equivalent was -0.25±0.15 D. No eyes had hypotony, retinal or choroidal detachment or endophthalmitis.
● CONCLUSION: This technique may be a safe and useful in the case of aphakia, and a prospective study would be useful to confirm this findings.
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INTRODUCTION
Aphakia, with a non-sufficient capsular support may be spontaneous, the consequence of complicated cataract surgery, trauma or pseudoexfoliation syndrome. Many techniques for intraocular lens (IOL) secondary implant have been described. Iris claw IOLs are useful in the case of aphakia although in vitrectomised eyes their positioning is challenging because of the lack of vitreous support during the enclavation. Sometimes even the most experienced surgeons struggle to fix these IOLs using anterior chamber manoeuvres, in vitrectomised eyes. In this article we describe a useful via pars plana anterior chamber iris enclavation IOL fixation technique that can be used in vitrectomised eyes as the first choice or in the case of unsuccessful implant.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Ethical Approval All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the local Ethic Committee and with the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

The procedures were performed both under local (30 cases) and general anaesthesia (5 cases). The 25-gauge pars plana vitrectomy (PPV; Alcon surgical Inc., USA) 3 ports set was mounted, and the retina was examined. Additional vitreous shaving was carried out if needed. A sclero-corneal 5.5 mm tunnel was performed (2-3 mm from the limbus) using a 30° blade for the scleral pre-cut, crescent blade to perform the scleral tunnel and a 5.4 blade to enter the anterior chamber. The iris claw lens was introduced through the scleral tunnel. Once the IOL plate was stabilised using the iris claw forceps, a 30-gauge needle was bent 45 degrees, inserted through the pars plana at 3.5 mm from the limbus, at 3 and 9 o’clock, and directed anteriorly towards the claw to trap the iris into it (Figure 1). The same procedure was repeated for the opposite claw. Basic salt solution (BSS) was left in the vitreous chamber. Vicryl 7/0 (Ethicon, USA) was used to suture the sclerotomies. No suture was applied to the scleral tunnel. The conjunctiva was repositioned over the scleral incision and fixated using diathermy (Video 1, online supplementary).

RESULTS

Thirty-five eyes of 35 consecutive patients were included in this retrospective non-comparative consecutive case series study (Table 1).

Mean age was 71.12±10.12y. The mean follow-up was 6.4mo. The mean preoperative best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) was 0.11±0.14 logMAR, the mean postoperative BCVA was 0.07±0.11 logMAR. The preoperative mean spherical equivalent was 7.22 D. The final mean spherical...
produce a quicker and better BCVA increase. In addition, the iris-fixated IOLs are popular because they fast and safe intraoperative maneuvers and showed a low incidence postoperative complication.

In this paper we were not focused on the postoperative IOL dislocation (2.8% of the cases). In our series we reported only one case of postoperative IOL dislocation (2.8% of the cases). Using our technique, excessive iris manipulation is avoided and therefore it may be possible to reduce pigmented dispersion and intraocular inflammation. However, because of the manipulation through the pars plana, it may theoretically induce intra-operative bleeding, that was not observed in our casuistry. Conversely, Toro et al[14] reported 5 cases of hyphema after iris-claw fixation.

In addition, we did not find any endothelial decompensation which could complicate an anterior iris enclavation IOL implant in the postoperative follow up. There are two main factors for endothelial cell loss after iris-claw IOL’s implantation[13]: a reduced anterior chamber and a small space between the central and peripheral IOL margin to the posterior corneal face. According to these findings we performed a complete vitrectomy to deepen the anterior chamber. Baykara et al[7] suggested that implanting an iris claw IOL via a scleral tunnel causes reduced endothelial loss than via a clear corneal tunnel. However, Toro et al[15] performing a corneal incision, did not report any corneal decompensation or endothelial cell loss.

Another possible complication is the cystoid macular edema (CME) which, in our casuistry affected only two patients (5.7%), one had a complicated cataract operation, and one is a diabetic patient, although it was resolved after two months of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drops (NSAID) in both cases. Our postoperative CME rate is similar with the one (range 4.1%-4.8%) presented in other studies with iris-claw IOLs inserting[14,15]. A retinal detachment risk (range 6.3%-8.2%) surgical time is relatively shorter[9] and some studies reported low complication rates with iris-fixated IOLs[10-11].

The drawbacks of both antero-pupillary or retro-pupillary iris claw IOL’s are that they need a 5.5 large incision which results in postoperative astigmatism. We did not have significant complications and the patients had a positive refractive outcome with low residual astigmatism. Similarly to these group of authors[7], we performed a scleral self-sealing incision. Contrarily, Touriño Peralba et al[12] and Toro et al[14] preferred a wide limbal corneal incision sutured with 10-0 nylon to manage postoperative astigmatism. This technique is relatively easy and repeatable, and it is our first choice in the case of anterior iris enclavation IOL’s.

This group of authors[1] showed an iris-claw IOL postoperative subluxation in 6% of the patients. They argued that this was due to the not-flexible claws that characterised the previous versions of iris-fixated IOL’s models. Toro et al[13] observed a dislocation in 2 patients (one patient had an anterior-fixated iris-claw IOL and one patient had a posterior-fixated iris-claw IOL.).

In this retrospective study, we have included cases of aphakia or luxated IOLs where we performed a via pars plana anterior iris enclavation IOL implant.

In this paper we were not focused on the postoperative refractive results, which have already been discussed and confirmed by many authors. Our aim is to validate the effectiveness of this surgical technique that is performed using fast and safe intraoperative maneuvers and showed a low incidence postoperative complication.

A conventional agreement on the gold standard to correct aphakia in absence a capsule support has not been postulated[1]. Currently, the main possibilities for secondary IOL implantation are: trans-scleral sutured posterior chamber IOLs[8], angle-supported anterior chamber IOLs[9], iris-fixated IOLs introduced via scleral tunnel[5,7], and the flanged IOL operation by Yamane et al[8].

Table 1 Preoperative findings  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>19 (54.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>16 (45.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right eyes</td>
<td>16 (45.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left eyes</td>
<td>19 (54.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etiology of aphakia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cataract complication surgery</td>
<td>2 (5.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>1 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PXF</td>
<td>8 (22.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean BCVA</td>
<td>0.11±0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean spherical equivalent (D)</td>
<td>7.22±4.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean IOP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>12.24±3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean EC (cells/mm²)</td>
<td>1987±459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean foveal thickness (μm)</td>
<td>154±21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PXF: Pseudoexfoliation; SD: Standard deviation; IOP: Intraocular pressure; EC: Endotelial count.

Figure 1 Once the IOL plate was stabilised using the iris claw forceps, a 30-gauge needle was inserted through the pars plana, at 3 and 9 o’clock, and directed anteriorly towards the claw to trap the iris on it.

We did not have significant complications and the patients had a positive refractive outcome with low residual astigmatism. Similarly to these group of authors[7], we performed a scleral self-sealing incision. Contrarily, Touriño Peralba et al[12] and Toro et al[14] preferred a wide limbal corneal incision sutured with 10-0 nylon to manage postoperative astigmatism. This technique is relatively easy and repeatable, and it is our first choice in the case of anterior iris enclavation IOL’s.

This group of authors[1] showed an iris-claw IOL postoperative subluxation in 6% of the patients. They argued that this was due to the not-flexible claws that characterised the previous versions of iris-fixated IOL’s models. Toro et al[13] observed a dislocation in 2 patients (one patient had an anterior-fixated iris-claw IOL and one patient had a posterior-fixated iris-claw IOL.).

In our series we reported only one case of postoperative IOL dislocation (2.8% of the cases).

Using our technique, excessive iris manipulation is avoided and therefore it may be possible to reduce pigmented dispersion and intraocular inflammation. However, because of the manipulation through the pars plana, it may theoretically induce intra-operative bleeding, that was not observed in our casuistry. Conversely, Toro et al[14] reported 5 cases of hyphema after iris-claw fixation.

In addition, we did not find any endothelial decompensation which could complicate an anterior iris enclavation IOL implant in the postoperative follow up.

There are two main factors for endothelial cell loss after iris-claw IOL’s implantation[13]: a reduced anterior chamber and a small space between the central and peripheral IOL margin to the posterior corneal face. According to these findings we performed a complete vitrectomy to deepen the anterior chamber. Baykara et al[7] suggested that implanting an iris claw IOL via a scleral tunnel causes reduced endothelial loss than via a clear corneal tunnel. However, Toro et al[15] performing a corneal incision, did not report any corneal decompensation or endothelial cell loss.

Another possible complication is the cystoid macular edema (CME) which, in our casuistry affected only two patients (5.7%), one had a complicated cataract operation, and one is a diabetic patient, although it was resolved after two months of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drops (NSAID) in both cases. Our postoperative CME rate is similar with the one (range 4.1%-4.8%) presented in other studies with iris-claw IOLs inserting[14,15]. A retinal detachment risk (range 6.3%-8.2%) surgical time is relatively shorter[9] and some studies reported low complication rates with iris-fixated IOLs[10-11].
and a choroidal bleeding chance of 3.2% after the insertion of a scleral-sutured posterior chamber IOLs were presented by these group of authors[16-17].

We did not report any retinal detachment complication in our case series. The accurate vitreous base shaving performed in all the cases may avoided retinal complications.

Occasionally, even the most experienced surgeons struggle to fix an anterior iris enclavation IOL via the anterior chamber. Therefore, our technique could be also used in the case where the anterior chamber enclavation is not successful, even though it has become our first approach. The disadvantage of this technique is that the retinal periphery should be checked after the IOL enclavation, though in our casuistry we did not find any bleeding or iatrogenic tears.

In conclusion, this via pars plana anterior chamber iris enclavation IOL fixation technique could be useful in the case of unsuccessful anterior chamber enclavation. In addition, it could be used successfully as the first choice because it is quick, repeatable, and reduces iris manipulation.
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