**Meta-Analysis**

**Association of MTHFR C677T polymorphism with primary open angle glaucoma: a Meta-analysis based on 18 case-control studies**
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**Abstract**

- **AIM:** To systematically understand the genetic association between methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) C677T polymorphism and primary open angle glaucoma (POAG).
- **METHODS:** A comprehensive literature search in Google Scholar, PubMed, Science Citation Index, Foreign Medical Literature Retrieval Service, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure and Wanfang Databases was performed to collect all eligible studies up to August 2019. Study selection, data abstraction and study quality evaluation were performed by two independent investigators. Odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated to assess the association.
- **RESULTS:** Eighteen case-control studies including 2156 cases and 2201 controls were identified. There was no significant difference in the terms of MTHFR C677T polymorphism and POAG in the Caucasian population (for T vs C OR=1.11, 95%CI: 0.88 to 1.39; for TT vs CC OR=1.01, 95%CI: 0.76 to 1.36; for TT+TC vs CC OR=1.15, 95%CI: 0.84 to 1.58 and for TT vs TC+CC OR=1.02, 95%CI: 0.78 to 1.33). However, a significant effect was revealed in the Asian population (for T vs C OR=1.34, 95%CI: 1.12 to 1.59; for TT+TC vs CC OR=1.41, 95%CI: 1.14 to 1.76).
- **CONCLUSION:** Based on 18 eligible studies, we provide a correlation between MTHFR C677T polymorphism and POAG among the Asians subgroup indicating that the T allele or TT +TC genotype may play a critical role in POAG development in Asians.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Glaucoma, defined as an acquired degeneration of retinal ganglion cells and a progressive neurodegeneration of the optic nerve, is the second most common cause of irreversible vision loss worldwide¹. According to the epidemiological studies, Glaucoma affects approximately 64.3 million people worldwide, and will increase to 111.8 million in 2040²⁻³. Among them, 74% were diagnosed as primary open angle glaucoma (POAG)⁴⁻⁵ and half (47%) of them were from Asia⁶. The methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) enzyme is responsible for the conversion the reduction of 5,10-methylenetetrahydrofolate into 5-methyltetrahydrofolate, is plays an important role in the homeostasis and normal metabolism of folic acid. C677T single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) is one of the most extensively studied genetic polymorphism of MTHFR gene, which can cause a missense mutation leading to a valine to alanine exchange at position 222 of the enzyme, causing the synthesis of a thermolabile enzyme with a decrease in activity⁷⁻¹⁰. Previous
researches have demonstrated that MTHFR deficiency could lead to hyperhomocysteinemia and give rise to the development of vascular injury or direct toxicity to retinal ganglion cell (RGC) \(^7\). MTHFR C677T polymorphism was found to be association with POAG in many studies, but the results are inconsistent. Therefore, we conducted a Meta-analysis of all available studies to get a more comprehensive evaluation of the relationship between MTHFR C677T polymorphism and POAG risk.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Searching Strategy** According to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) criteria\(^{[12]}\), we performed a comprehensive literature. To identify all relevant available studies published in Google Scholar, PubMed, Science Citation Index (SCI), Foreign Medical Literature Retrieval Service, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) and Wanfang databases up to August 2019. The search strategy identified as the following search term/phase, ‘methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase or MTHFR’ AND ‘mutation or variant or polymorphism or genotype’ AND ‘glaucoma’. The articles only selected those studies in humans and no population, time period or sample size restriction.

**Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria** Studies included in this Meta-analysis had to meet the following criteria: 1) evaluated the MTHFR C677T polymorphism and POAG risk; 2) case-control studies; 3) provide sufficient data for calculating odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs); 4) publications in English or Chinese. The studies were excluded those not relevant to C677T polymorphism and glaucoma, only abstracts, case reports, reviews, animal studies, letter to editors. For duplicate reports, only the largest sample size was selected for analyses. Moreover, studies lack of definite information of genotypes and other essential data were also excluded.

**Data Extraction and Quality Assessment** Date for analysis were extracted independently by two investigators (Yang YM and Shuai P) according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria listed above. The following variables were collected from each study if available: name of the first author; year of publication; ethnicity; country; study design; diagnosis criteria; genotyping method; total numbers of cases and controls; source of control (hospital-based or community-based); the frequencies of each genotype; evidence of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) in normal controls (P>0.05 of HWE was considered significant). Disagreements were resolved by discussion until a consensus was achieved. Otherwise, a third investigator (Liu YP) was consulted to resolve the dispute. The Newcastle-Ottawa scale (NOS) was performed to assess the quality of eligible studies \(^{[13]}\). If the scores >7 were considered to be of high quality studies.

**Statistical Analysis** Summary ORs and corresponding 95% CIs were estimated for various genotypic models, including T vs C (allelic mode), TT vs CC (additive model), TT+TC vs CC (dominant model) and TT vs TC+CC (recessive model). The pooled ORs were performed through a fixed-effect model (Mantel and Haenszel method) if there was no heterogeneity. Otherwise, the random-effect model (DerSimonian and Laird method) was used. The Cochran’s Q test and the inconsistency index (I\(^2\)) were used to assess the level of heterogeneity (P<0.05 or I\(^2\)>50% was considered the existence of significant heterogeneity). The source of heterogeneity can detect by Meta-regression. Additionally, we performed stratified analysis with respect to ethnicity (Caucasians and Asians). Sensitivity analysis was carried out by excluding one study at a time to explore whether the results were significantly influenced by a specific study. Funnel plots and Begg’s test were performed to evaluate for the presence of publication bias. The HWE for SNP polymorphism was tested by the \(\chi^2\) test. The threshold for significance was set as P<0.05 (two-sided). All statistical analysis was carried out by using the STATA 12.0 (STATA Corp, College Station, TX, USA).

**RESULTS**

**Literature Search and Characteristics** The literature search generated 2054 results initially. Totally 1586 irrelevant articles and 328 duplicate ones were excluded after careful review of abstracts and/or full-text, with 140 articles being retrieved for further evaluation. Among the remaining studies, 122 publications were excluded for they were review articles, letters to editors, case reports, articles not relevant to MTHFR C677T or evaluation of other diseases instead of glaucoma. Seventeen studies evaluated the association between risk of POAG and MTHFR C677T polymorphism. The additional study, which did not provide the MTHFR C677T genotype frequency both in cases and controls, was obtained with the detailed information by contacting the original author through email\(^{[14]}\). As the inclusion criteria of POAG was defined as intraocular pressure greater than 21 mm Hg, Fan et al’s\(^{[15]}\) study, which aimed normal tension glaucoma, was not included. Total of eighteen studies\(^{[14-31]}\) were found suitable for the inclusion in the present Meta-analysis, which comprises 2156 cases and 2201 controls (Figure 1).

The detailed characteristics of all the included studies were listed in Table 1. The score of NOS ranged from 6 to 9 (average 7.6), hinting a low-level of bias. In terms of ethnicity, nine studies were performed in Caucasian population and the same numbers were conducted in Asian group. There were four studies based on community population, while fourteen were hospital-based. One study’s NOS score was less than 6 and two studies did not follow the HWE.
This Meta-analysis included 18 publications comprising 2156 cases and 2201 controls. The whole population was divided into the Caucasian and the Asian group, according to the studies’ different geographic regions. Heterogeneity in Caucasian, Asian and pooled populations was assessed respectively. Results showed that, for the overall populations and the Caucasian group, obvious heterogeneities were detected in the allelic model (T vs C) and dominant model (TC+TT vs CC). While for the Asian group, no significant heterogeneity was found in all models (Table 2). Fixed-effect model would be used in the Asian group and revealed that MTHFR C677T polymorphism was significantly

**Table 1 Characteristics of 18 studies included for the Meta-analysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>First author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
<th>SOC</th>
<th>Genotyping method</th>
<th>Genotypes distribution (case/control)</th>
<th>HWE Y/N (P)</th>
<th>NOS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bleich</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
<td>T/T 2/1, C/T 11/5, C/C 5/13, T 15/7, C 21/31</td>
<td>Y (0.587)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Jünemann</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>HB</td>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
<td>T/T 7/2, C/T 37/24, C/C 32/45, T 51/28, C 101/114</td>
<td>Y (0.569)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Fingert</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PCR-RFLP</td>
<td>T/T 29/18, C/T 77/73, C/C 72/75, T 135/109, C 221/223</td>
<td>Y (0.969)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Mossbock</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>HB</td>
<td>PCR-RFLP</td>
<td>T/T 14/20, C/T 71/86, C/C 119/105, T 99/126, C 309/296</td>
<td>Y (0.696)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Mabuchi</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>HB</td>
<td>Sequencing</td>
<td>T/T 27/19, C/T 55/39, C/C 51/48, T 109/77, C 157/135</td>
<td>N (0.035)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Zetterberg</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>HB</td>
<td>Sequencing</td>
<td>T/T 20/23, C/T 97/75, C/C 126/89, T 137/121, C 349/253</td>
<td>Y (0.252)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Michael</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>HB</td>
<td>PCR-RFLP</td>
<td>T/T 0/0, C/T 20/13, C/C 70/57, T 20/13, C 160/127</td>
<td>Y (0.392)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Clement</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
<td>T/T 5/3, C/T 14/14, C/C 17/25, T 24/20, C 48/64</td>
<td>Y (0.598)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Micheal</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>HB</td>
<td>PCR-RFLP</td>
<td>T/T 1/1, C/T 49/41, C/C 123/101, T 51/43, C 295/243</td>
<td>Y (0.144)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Fan</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>HB</td>
<td>Sequencing</td>
<td>T/T 11/6, C/T 107/60, C/C 180/135, T 129/72, C 467/330</td>
<td>Y (0.829)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Nilforoushan</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>HB</td>
<td>PCR-RFLP</td>
<td>T/T 6/4, C/T 28/33, C/C 39/53, T 40/41, C 106/139</td>
<td>Y (0.688)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Buentello-Volante</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>HB</td>
<td>Sequencing</td>
<td>T/T 42/34, C/T 53/49, C/C 23/17, T 137/117, C 99/83</td>
<td>Y (0.927)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Chiras</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PCR-RFLP</td>
<td>T/T 6/21, C/T 25/42, C/C 15/44, T 37/84, C 55/130</td>
<td>Y (0.067)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Sekeroglu</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>HB</td>
<td>PCR-RFLP</td>
<td>T/T 3/4, C/T 8/11, C/C 14/10, T 14/19, C 36/31</td>
<td>Y (0.741)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Zacharakis</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>HB</td>
<td>TaqMan</td>
<td>T/T 11/21, C/T 31/70, C/C 22/39, T 53/112, C 75/148</td>
<td>Y (0.264)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Gupta</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>HB</td>
<td>PCR-RFLP</td>
<td>T/T 8/2, C/T 35/34, C/C 101/137, T 51/38, C 237/308</td>
<td>Y (0.946)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Dixit</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>HB</td>
<td>PCR-RFLP</td>
<td>T/T 2/1, C/T 48/30, C/C 30/49, T 52/32, C 108/128</td>
<td>Y (0.124)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Al-Shahrani</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>PCR-RFLP</td>
<td>T/T 0/0, C/T 56/70, C/C 88/210, T 56/70, C 232/490</td>
<td>N (0.017)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PB: Population-based; HB: Hospital-based; SOC: Source of controls; HWE: Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium; Y: Yes; N: No; NOS: Newcastle-Ottawa Scale.

**Meta-analysis Results** This Meta-analysis included 18 publications comprising 2156 cases and 2201 controls. The whole population was divided into the Caucasian and the Asian group, according to the studies’ different geographic regions. Heterogeneity in Caucasian, Asian and pooled populations was assessed respectively. Results showed that, for the overall populations and the Caucasian group, obvious heterogeneities were detected in the allelic model (T vs C) and dominant model (TC+TT vs CC). While for the Asian group, no significant heterogeneity was found in all models (Table 2). Fixed-effect model would be used in the Asian group and revealed that MTHFR C677T polymorphism was significantly
increased the risk of POAG in allelic model (T vs C: OR=1.34, 95%CI: 1.12 to 1.59, P=0.001) and dominant model (TT+TC vs CC: OR=1.41, 95%CI: 1.14 to 1.76, P=0.002). While in the Caucasian group, no visible association was observed under the random-effect model (P values were all over 0.05). Overall, a mild relationship of MTHFR C677T polymorphism with POAG was seen for the pooled population in the allelic model and dominant model (both P=0.02; Table 2, Figure 2).

**Heterogeneity Test and Sensitivity Analysis** In order to evaluate the source of heterogeneity, a Meta-regression was conducted considering the possible factors such as ethnicity (Caucasian vs Asian), genotyping methods (sequencing/PCR vs others), NOS (≥7 vs <7), HEW (P≥0.05 vs P<0.05), population source of control (hospital-based vs community-based). Results demonstrated that the ethnicity contributed 33.3% and the genotyping methods contributed 22.7% to the heterogeneity in the allelic model, respectively. After pooling the two factors together, 77.6% of the heterogeneity sources was explained. The Meta-regression found that the ethnicity and genotyping methods might be the major sources of between-study heterogeneity (similar results were got in the other models, data not shown). Moreover, sensitivity analysis was conducted to examine the influence of each independent study on the pooled ORs by the sequential removal of each individual study. After each study was excluded, the corresponding pooled ORs were not materially changed, indicating that the result of this Meta-analysis was reasonably robust and reliable.

**Publication Bias** Publication biases in this work were relatively small and not significant.
MTHFR C677T polymorphism with POAG

Table 2 Meta-analysis of MTHFR C677T polymorphism with POAG in 18 studies subgroup by different population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genetic model</th>
<th>OR (95%CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>I² (%)</th>
<th>OR (95%CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>I² (%)</th>
<th>OR (95%CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>I² (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allelic model (T vs C)</td>
<td>1.34 (1.12, 1.59)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>1.11 (0.88, 1.39)</td>
<td>0.389</td>
<td>60.6</td>
<td>1.20 (1.03, 1.41)</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>54.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additive model (TT vs CC)</td>
<td>1.57 (0.99, 2.48)</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.01 (0.76, 1.36)</td>
<td>0.936</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>1.15 (0.90, 1.47)</td>
<td>0.256</td>
<td>24.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recessive model (TT vs TC+CC)</td>
<td>1.42 (0.91, 2.19)</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.02 (0.78, 1.33)</td>
<td>0.887</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>1.11 (0.89, 1.40)</td>
<td>0.347</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominant model (TT+TC vs CC)</td>
<td>1.41 (1.14, 1.76)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>1.15 (0.84, 1.58)</td>
<td>0.370</td>
<td>59.1</td>
<td>1.27 (1.04, 1.55)</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>54.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Fixed-effect model (when I²<50%); Random-effect model (when I²>50%). \(^{\text{a}}\)Random-effect model was also used for the Caucasian population in the additive and recessive model. For the additive model, the OR was 1.06 (95%CI: 0.71 to 1.58, P=0.785); for the recessive model, OR was 1.02 (95%CI: 0.75 to 1.39, P=0.899). OR: Odds ratio; CI: Confidence intervals; P: P value of the Z test; I² (%): The value to identify the heterogeneity.

Figure 3 Funnel plots showed symmetric distribution. logOR is plotted against the SE of logOR for studies on MTHFR C677T. The dots show specific studies for the indicated association.

The pathogenesis of POAG has not been studied clearly. Reports supported that genetic factors might play a significant role in POAG. Many epidemiological studies have assessed the roles of MTHFR C677T polymorphism and POAG risk in different populations, nevertheless, the results remain inconsistent. In this study, we conducted a comprehensive and detailed Meta-analysis derived from 18 case-control studies in 11 publications containing 2156 cases and 2201 controls. Our results revealed that there were significant associations between MTHFR C677T polymorphism and POAG for Asian population, indicating the T allele or TT+TC genotype might increase the risk of POAG.

So far, there are five studies showing that the T allele and TC genotypes of MTHFR C677T polymorphism may increase the POAG risk. Bleich et al.\(^{[16]}\) provided the first evidence of the association and discovered a significantly higher prevalence of the MTHFR C677T polymorphism in patients with POAG when compared with the controls (P=0.022, OR=5.34, 95%CI: 1.14 to 29.56). Dixit et al.\(^{[17]}\) also found a very obvious association of MTHFR C677T with POAG (\(\chi^2=9.05, P=0.01\)) in north Indian population. Similarly, Al-Shahrani et al.\(^{[18]}\) reported the T allele and TC genotype were related with POAG as a risk factor. However, many other reports revealed no relationship of MTHFR C677T with POAG, such as those studies in American, Chinese, Australian, Japanese, Pakistani, Mexican populations. Huo et al.\(^{[32]}\) carried out a Meta-analysis including ten studies with 1224 cases and 1105 controls in 2012 and reported that for the overall population, no obvious association was found. However, when stratifying the source of control, significant correlation was shown in the allelic and additive genetic model for the population-based subgroup (OR=1.39, 95%CI: 1.05 to 1.83 and OR=1.88, 95%CI: 1.04 to 3.43 respectively), indicating that the T allele or TT genotype might increase the risk of POAG.

We found some problems when reviewing previous literatures. For example, Gohari et al.\(^{[33]}\), based on 33 studies with 3504 glaucoma patients and 2525 controls, offered different opinion on the MTHFR C677T susceptibility with glaucoma (containing POAG patients). We found they might miscalculate the frequency of TC genotype and misclassified the source of control from hospital-based group into the community-based ones\(^{[15]}\). A study from India miscounted the gene frequency of the patients as those in controls\(^{[17]}\). One previous Meta-analysis which considered MTHFR C677T as genetic biomarkers of POAG in West Asians, might wrongly grouped the normal tension glaucoma patients to the POAG subgroup\(^{[34-35]}\).

A potential issue is Heterogeneity, which might affect the interpretation of the association. Many factors, such as inclusion criteria, ethnicity, source of controls, deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, and genotyping methods, could be the source of heterogeneity. In our study, we conducted an
ethnicity subgroup analysis firstly, then, performed a Meta-regression analysis to find the source of heterogeneity. The results revealed that the ethnicity and genotyping methods might be the main ones, which contributed 77.6% of the heterogeneity. One limitation of our Meta-analysis is that the source and definition of the control group are not completely consistent in all the literatures. The degree of risk between the MTHFR C677T and POAG may be weakened by taking individuals with cataract, hypertension, coronary heart disease and other vascular diseases as the controls. Also, the publications were limited as English and Chinese language, and predominantly on Asian and Caucasian studies. This suggested that a possible partial result only relevant to the Asian and Caucasian populations. Another possible limitation was that for the Asian group in the additive model, the OR was 1.57 (95%CI: 0.99 to 2.48) with the P value was 0.053, which was just over P 0.05 a little bit. It is hard to conclude that the no significant association can be revealed for the TT genotype, especially under the situation that increased risk of POAG was detected in the allelic and dominant model. More Asian studies and samples are needed to give a clear relationship for the MTHFR C677T polymorphisms and POAG. As a complex disease, POAG is the result under the effect of both genetic and environmental factors. Further research between MTHFR polymorphisms and glaucoma will be incentive and worthy to explore the multi-factors’ effects, especially the potential gene-gene and gene-environment interactions. Our Meta-analysis presented distinct advantages with largest sample size to date and a relatively reliable conclusion through the sensitivity analysis.

In summary, the current Meta-analysis showed that MTHFR C677T polymorphisms were significantly associated with POAG in Asians, indicating that the T allele or TT+TC genotype might increase the risk of POAG in this group. No association was detected for the Caucasians. In the future, large well-designed studies from different ethnicities should be encouraged to provide more comprehensive understanding of the association of MTHFR C677T polymorphism with risk of POAG.
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