Influence of lens position as detected by an anterior segment analysis system on postoperative refraction in cataract surgery
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Abstract

- AIM: To predict postoperative intraocular lens (IOL) position using the Sirius anterior segment analysis system and investigate the effect of lens position and IOL type on postoperative refraction.
- METHODS: A total of 97 patients (102 eyes) were enrolled in the final analysis. An anterior segment biometry measurement was performed preoperatively with Sirius and Lenstar. The results of predicted lens position (PLP) and IOL power were automatically calculated by the software used by the instruments. Effective lens position (ELP) was measured manually using Sirius 3mo postoperatively. Pearson’s correlation analysis and linear regression analysis were used to determine the correlation of lens position to other parameters.
- RESULTS: PLP and ELP were positively correlated to axial length (AL; \( r=0.42, P<0.0001 \) and \( r=0.49, P<0.0001 \), respectively). There was a weak correlation between the peLP (ELP-PLP) and the prediction error of spherical refraction (peSR; \( r=0.34, P<0.0001 \)). The peLP of Softec HD IOL differed statistically from those of both the TECNIS ZCB00 and Sensor AR40E IOLs. Multiple linear regression was used to obtain the prediction formula: ELP=0.66+0.63×[aqueous depth (AQD)+0.6LT] (\( r=0.61, P<0.0001 \)), and a new variable (AQD+0.6 LT) was found to have the strongest correlation with ELP.
- CONCLUSION: The Sirius anterior segment analysis system is helpful to predict ELP, which reduces postoperative refraction error.
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INTRODUCTION

Phacoemulsification with foldable intraocular lens (IOL) implantation is one type of refractive surgery. The postoperative refractive error caused by this operation is a major clinical concern because it directly affects patients’ life quality. However, after cataract surgery, refractive error in approximately 5% to 20% patients is greater than 1 diopter (D)\(^1\)-\(^2\). Inaccurate prediction of postoperative lens position or postoperative anterior chamber depth (ACD) results in approximately 35% to 50% of the postoperative refractive error\(^3\)-\(^4\). The error in this prediction is larger in ametropic eyes\(^5\)-\(^6\). SRK/T\(^7\), Holladay\(^8\) and Hoffer Q\(^9\) require axial length (AL) and mean keratometric value (mean K), and predicted lens position (PLP) is determined by AL and mean K data as well as IOL type. These measurements have been taken empirically from large clinical datasets. Fourth-generation IOL power calculation formulas use more anterior segment biometry parameters to predict lens position better. The Haigis formula evaluates the PLP based on ACD and AL\(^10\)-\(^11\). The Olsen\(^12\)-\(^13\), Holladay II\(^14\), and Barrett\(^15\) formulas involve parameters including ACD and lens thickness (LT). In the above formulas, PLP still does not reflect the true position of the IOL\(^16\)-\(^17\).

Sirius (CSO Inc, Florence, Italy) is a device that combines the use of single-Scheimpflug cameras and a Placido disk to measure and image the anterior eye segment, including the cornea, anterior chamber, iris, pupil, and lens. It can acquire 25 Scheimpflug frames and one keratoscopy reading in less than 1s. It is capable of measuring anterior and posterior tangential (instantaneous) curvature, sagittal (axial) curvature altimetry and refractive power, equivalent refractive power, corneal thickness, and visual quality (spot diagram, point-
spread function and optical transfer function). The proprietary software can predict lens position based on a collection of measured factors obtained from the anterior segment. This study aimed to predict the postoperative lens position with the IOL power calculation in the Sirius anterior segment analysis system and in order to reduce the prediction error of postoperative lens position and reduce the postoperative refraction error.

**SUBJECTS AND METHODS**

**Ethical Approval** This study was approved by the Ethics Review Board of the First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University and abided by the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki (http://www.chictr.org.cn; Registration No. ChiCTR1800015198).

This prospective study reviewed 102 eyes from 97 patients who undergone cataract surgery at the First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University from August 2017 to August 2018. Informed consents were obtained from each patient before surgery. Exclusion criteria included a history of intraocular surgery, corneal abnormalities, previous ocular trauma, severe fundus pathology, uncontrolled glaucoma, a history of uveitis, unreliable or undetectable preoperative biometric measurements, and patients who could not be followed up in a timely manner.

The surgical technique in all cases included a 2.2-mm corneal incision and phacoemulsification with an IOL implantation (TECNIS ZCB00 AMO in 42 eyes, Softec HD Lenstec in 28 eyes, and Sensar AR40E AMO in 32 eyes) in the capsular bag after a circular capsulorhexis. IOL calculation formula was selected among the SRK/T, Holladay, Hoffer Q, Holladay II, and Haigis formula according to the widely accepted rules [18]. All operations were performed under local anesthesia by the same experienced surgeon (Lu PR).

**Measurements of Predicted Lens Position and Effective Lens Position** Preoperative measurements included a determination of AL and LT using a Lenstar LS900 (Haag-StreitAG, Koeniz, Switzerland) as the published data suggested it could measure AL and LT accurately [19-21]. A Sirius topographer was used to obtain the anterior segment measurements [aqueous depth (AQD), white to white (WTW), and the mean value of K1 and K2 (Avg K)] without pupil dilation. AQD was defined as the distance from the corneal posterior surface to the anterior surface of the implanted lens. A Scheimpflug image in the horizontal meridian was captured. Figure 1 shows the Scheimpflug image of the anterior segment obtained from Sirius. Images of the eyes were acquired at least three times, and the average of the three measurements were saved as mean value. In case any of the three acquired images showed a deviation in the SimK superior to 0.3 D, the acquisition was repeated. PLP means the predicted postoperative distance from the corneal posterior surface to the anterior surface of implanted lens, it can be calculated preoperatively by IOL power calculation formulas, AS-OCT or Sirius. In our study, the value of PLP was automatically calculated by the algorithm built-in the Sirius based on a provided A constant and a collection of measured factors obtained from the anterior segment.

In each patient, the position of IOL was stable one month postoperatively [22]. However, this was confirmed at a follow-up visit 3mo after surgery. Under pharmacologically induced ciliary muscle relaxation, no significant IOL movements were observed [23]. Scheimpflug images were taken with Sirius to measure the effective lens position (ELP) manually under mydriatic conditions in order to keep the anterior surface of the IOL clearer. ELP was defined as the actual distance from corneal posterior surface to the anterior surface of implanted lens (Figure 2). It reflected the true postoperative AQD in the anatomical sense. ELP was measured manually in the Scheimpflug images taken with Sirius in our study. Supplementary examinations during the follow-up visit included the best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) and spherical refraction determined by subjective refraction.

**Statistical Analysis** Statistical analysis was performed using the IBM SPSS statistics software package (version 19 for Windows, IBM, Chicago, USA). Data were analyzed using either an unpaired t-test, χ² test or one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). The Bland-Altman analysis, Pearson’s correlation analysis as well as univariate and multivariate linear regression analyses were exerted to compare the relationship between lens position and parameters of the patients. A P value of <0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Figure 1 Scheimpflug image of the anterior segment obtained from Sirius.

Figure 2 Manual measurement of ELP 3mo postoperatively.
RESULTS

Correlations Between Biometric Parameters and Lens Position
A total of 97 patients (102 eyes) were included and examined in this study. Table 1 shows the preoperative characteristics of the patients. The mean PLP was 3.93 mm ± 0.29 mm [standard deviation (SD; range 3.05 to 4.72 mm)], and mean ELP was 3.96 mm ± 0.34 mm (SD; range 3.19 to 4.77 mm). The association between ELP and PLP was studied. The correlation analysis revealed that ELP significantly correlated with PLP (r = 0.62, P < 0.0001; Figure 3A). In addition, consistency analysis of PLP and ELP were analyzed with Bland-Altman analysis (Figure 3B). The mean difference between ELP and PLP was 0.03 mm, and the limits of agreement was -0.51 to 0.57 mm with 92.2% of the points within it. The linear correlation between AL and PLP (r = 0.42, P < 0.0001; Figure 4) and between AL and ELP (r = 0.49, P < 0.0001; Figure 5) were both statistically significant. The correlation coefficients by single linear regression analysis between the five parameters (AL, AQD, WTW, LT, and Avg K) and ELP were provided in Table 2. A multiple linear regression analysis was performed between ELP and the five parameters. The prediction formula was found: ELP = 0.66 + 0.63 × (AQD + 0.6LT) (r = 0.61, P < 0.0001), and a new variable (AQD + 0.6LT) had the strongest correlation with ELP (Figure 6). The prediction error of lens position (peLP) was equal to ELP minus PLP. The mean peLP was 0.03 mm ± 0.28 mm (range -0.62 to 1.04 mm). AL had no linear correlation with peLP (r = 0.17, P = 0.09; Figure 7), the WTW measurement (r = 0.09, P = 0.35) or corneal power (Avg K; r = 0.07, P = 0.51).

Correlation Between Spherical Refraction and Lens Position
The prediction error of spherical refraction (peSR) was equal to postoperative spherical refraction (obtained by subjective refraction) minus predicted spherical refraction (gained from IOL calculation formulas). The mean peSR was 0.10 D ± 0.80 (range -1.87 to 2.21 D). The result from a linear regression analysis showed a significant correlation between peLP and peSR (r = 0.34, P < 0.0001; Figure 8).

Influence of Different Types of IOLs on Lens Position
According to the principle of IOL selection and patients’ willingness, one of the three types of IOLs [single-piece Non-AcrySof Hydrophobic (TECNIS ZCB00, AMO), single-piece Non-AcrySof Hydrophilic (Softec HD, Lenstec), and multi-piece Non-AcrySof Hydrophobic (Sensar AR40E, AMO)] was selected and implanted into the capsular bag. A statistically significant difference in the peLPs of the three types of IOLs was revealed using the χ² test (Table 3). There was no significant difference between TECNIS ZCB00 and Sensar AR40E, but Softec HD differed from both TECNIS ZCB00 and Sensar AR40E (Figure 9). A prediction formula for each type of IOL was also found: the ELP for Sensar AR40E AMO = -0.13 + 0.77 × (AQD + 0.57LT) (r = 0.81, P < 0.0001),

Table 1 Characteristics of the 102 eyes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>67.92±9.58</td>
<td>42-92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL (mm)</td>
<td>24.70±2.58</td>
<td>21.17-31.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AQD (mm)</td>
<td>2.69±0.40</td>
<td>1.75-3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WTW (mm)</td>
<td>11.30±0.32</td>
<td>10.50-12.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT (mm)</td>
<td>4.33±0.42</td>
<td>3.2-5.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg K (D)</td>
<td>44.11±1.48</td>
<td>41.04-47.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOL power (D)</td>
<td>17.95±5.96</td>
<td>-2-27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AL: Axial length; AQD: Aqueous depth; WTW: White to white; LT: Lens thickness; Avg K: Mean value of K1 and K2; IOL: Intraocular lens.

Table 2 Correlation coefficients by single linear regression analysis between parameters and ELP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AL (mm)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AQD (mm)</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WTW (mm)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT (mm)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg K (D)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AL: Axial length; AQD: Aqueous depth; WTW: White to white; LT: Lens thickness; Avg K: Mean value of K1 and K2; ELP: Effective lens position.
ELP for Softec HD Lenstec = -0.84 + 0.73 × (AQD + 0.89LT) ($r=0.66$, $P<0.0001$), and the ELP for TECNIS ZCB00 AMO = 0.55 + 0.72 × (AQD + 0.54LT) ($r=0.75$, $P<0.0001$).

A statistically significant difference for peLP among the three types of IOLs was revealed using a $\chi^2$ test, Softec HD differs from TECNIS ZCB00 ($P=0.03$) and Sensar AR40E ($P<0.005$).

**DISCUSSION**

We sought to predict postoperative lens position using the Sirius in order to reduce postoperative refraction error. Although there have been several studies on IOL position prediction, our study is the first one to predict postoperative lens position based on a collection of measured factors obtained from the anterior segment. In addition, our study involves a wider range of AL (21.17-31.94 mm; Table 1) and more types of IOLs than most other similar researches.

Multiple linear regression analysis was performed on the association between the five parameters (AL, AQD, WTW, LT, and Avg K) and ELP. The results demonstrate that AQD and LT correlated significantly with postoperative lens position, although Norrby et al. and Himschall et al. found that LT nearly had no influence on lens position. Considering that AQD would decrease during the formation of cataracts due to...
lens intumesces, lens position would be affected by LT\textsuperscript{[25]}. The Olsen and Hoffmann formulas involve LT as a variable in these two IOL calculation formulas, which is a support evidence that LT is correlated with lens position. The prediction formula was found by using this multiple linear regression:

\[
ELP=0.66+0.63 \times (AQD+0.6LT) (r=0.61, P<0.0001)
\]

and a new variable: AQD+0.6LT was discovered to have the strongest linear correlation with ELP. This value may be used as a potential parameter for the preoperative prediction of ELP. Another study conducted by Satou et al\textsuperscript{[26]} found the equatorial surface depth (ESD) and posterior surface depth (PSD) of the crystalline lens obtained by AS-OCT were highly correlated with the IOL position \((r=0.72\) and \(r=0.74\), respectively). Although their research was admirable and achieved some variables with higher correlation, we believe our study to be meaningful because the two variables AQD and LT in our formula can be obtained by not only Sirius, but also some other devices such as Lenstar, IOL Master and ultrasound A-scan, which means our formula can be widely used in clinic.

The absolute prediction errors of postoperative ACD derived by the Haigis and SRK/T formulas were 0.30 (0.27-0.33) mm and 0.65 (0.58-0.71) mm, respectively\textsuperscript{[27]}. Totally 0.30 and 0.65 mm of postoperative ACD changes corresponded to 0.38 D and 0.81 D of refractive changes, respectively, as detected by the ray-tracing method\textsuperscript{[27]}. These prediction errors are not negligible when obtaining more accurate predictions of refractive results. The PELP generated by Sirius was 0.03 mm±0.28 (SD). There have been reports of using intraoperative optical coherence tomography to predict postoperative lens position during phacoemulsification\textsuperscript{[28-29]}. However, additional examinations during surgery are time consuming and currently all steps must be performed manually. Yet, in this case, the benefits may outweigh the risks. Using the Sirius anterior segment analysis system to predict lens position after surgery based on the location of the anterior chamber angle is a potential method.

Although there is a tendency for postoperative refractive error to drift toward hyperopia as AL grows, the trend is not of statistically significant \((r=0.17, P=0.09)\), as shown in Figure 7. If we expand the sample size, especially the number of cases of high myopia, the results may be more convincing. For eyes with ALs greater than 26.00 mm, the longer the AL, the bigger the hyperopic prediction refractive error caused by the common third-generation formula will be\textsuperscript{[6,30]}. As observed in Figures 7 and 8, the patients with ALs of greater than 30 mm tended to have a hyperopia drift of 1 D. Therefore, for patients with high myopia, factors of myopia drift should be considered in the preoperative calculation of IOL power. IOLs moved backward in long AL eyes twice as far as they did in short AL eyes\textsuperscript{[31]}. However, it was not obvious why this change occurred. Reškas et al\textsuperscript{[23]} stated that iris position should be taken into consideration. Possible mechanisms affecting the position of the lens involve accommodation processes, capsular bag shrinkage, or posterior capsule fibrosis. It has been assumed that if the fibrous posterior capsule is stretched, the IOL will be pushed forward\textsuperscript{[33]}. Lytvynchuk et al\textsuperscript{[26]} proposed that the separation of IOL edges and posterior capsule could result in the instability of the IOL within the capsular bags as well as migration of lens epithelial cells. Vander Mijnsbrugge et al\textsuperscript{[34]} reported that postoperative ACD following phacoemulsification showed a statistically significant increase as compared to phaco surgery alone, which revealed that vitreous body has a certain supporting effect on IOL. At present, we speculate that a patient with high myopia has a bigger vitreous cavity, relaxed lens zonule, instable capsular bag, and vitreous liquefaction; thus, the pressure of the aqueous humor pushes the IOL backward.

The PeLP had a high consistency with peSR, indicating that when the IOL moved backward, the refractive state drifted toward hyperopia, and when the IOL moved forward, the refractive state drifted toward myopia (Figure 8). Other researchers have also found similar phenomena. The placement of the IOL in the ciliary sulcus may lead to a myopic shift in refraction\textsuperscript{[35]}. Published data indicate the Nd:YAG capsulotomy may result in the backward movement of the IOL and a hyperopic shift in refraction\textsuperscript{[36]}. IOL movement away from the retina produces myopia, while movement close to the retina produces hyperopia\textsuperscript{[37]}

Our results indicated that the stability of the multi-piece Non-AcrySof Hydrophobic (Sensar AR40E AMO) IOL and Single-piece Non-AcrySof Hydrophobic (TECNIS ZCB00 AMO) IOL was better than the single-piece Non-AcrySof Hydrophilic (Softec HD Lenstec) IOL. The main reason for this maybe that the loops of Softec HD were soft. Among the eight cases where the PeLP was greater than 0.5 mm, three of them had an AL over 26 mm and four of them used the Softec HD IOL. When the pressure of the vitreous body and aqueous humor was not balanced, lens position was easily altered. Hence, it is advisable to implant an IOL with tough loops for patients with high myopia or a relaxed lens zonule. We have also found that when AQD or LT is outside the 95% confidence interval, errors are more likely to occur. Only one eye had the PeLP greater than 1 mm. This case was highly myopic and used the Softec HD IOL. When the pressure of the vitreous body and aqueous humor was not balanced, lens position was easily altered. Hence, it is advisable to implant an IOL with tough loops for patients with high myopia or a relaxed lens zonule. We have also found that when AQD or LT is outside the 95% confidence interval, errors are more likely to occur. Only one eye had the PeLP greater than 1 mm. This case was highly myopic and used the Softec HD IOL, and this was consistent with the conclusion reached in this study.

One of the limitations of the present study is that the number of cases is relatively insufficient. Before applying our prediction formula to the IOL power calculation, more data, including more IOL types and multicenter studies, should be gathered to further confirm our findings. In addition, different IOL
calculation formulas were applied in our study because of the wide range of AL (21.17-31.94 mm), which might influence the accuracy of our results because the prediction error differs by the used formula. However, the IOL calculation formula was applied according to the widely accepted rules\([18]\).
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