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INTRODUCTION

In the developed cities of China, the age at which myopia occurs in adolescents is getting younger while the incidence of myopia increases significantly with age, and evidence of this trend is accumulating\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^2\). The monitoring of myopia development and the evaluation of myopia control effect are not only limited to the examination of visual acuity and diopter, but also the measurement of ocular biological parameters, such as axial length (AL) and keratometry, etc, among which the change of AL is regarded as the crucial basis for myopia progression as well as an indispensable index for observing the changes of diopter\(^3\)\(^-\)\(^4\). Previous studies have shown that each 1 mm increase in the AL will give rise to a myopia aggravation of nearly -2.50 D\(^5\)\(^-\)\(^6\). Therefore, ocular biological parameters are essential for assessing risk factors for myopia onset and can serve as an important clinical basis for assessing the effectiveness of any control measures to delay myopia progression. AL can be used to predict the change of diopter and myopia progression\(^7\). Many studies have sought effective myopia prevention and control methods through demonstrating the correlation between AL and myopia-related factors\(^8\). Meanwhile, previous research also mentioned other refractive parameters associated with refractive outcome on adolescents, including mean corneal anterior surface keratometry (\(K_m\)), anterior chamber depth (ACD), and horizontal corneal diameter (WTW)\(^9\). Therefore, accurate measurement of AL and...
other ocular biological parameters in adolescents is essential to identify potential risk factors in the progression of ametropia\(^5\). At present, the commonly used non-contact ophthalmological parameter measuring instrument in clinic is IOL-Master 500, and a considerable number of studies have compared the consistency and reliability of IOL-Master 700 and IOL-Master 500\(^{10}\). Previous studies tend to focus on older patients with cataract\(^{11-13}\), while few published research evaluated the consistency of these two instruments in adolescents. Therefore, the aim of this study was to compare the differences and consistency between the two biological instruments in the main ocular biological parameters of the same adolescents, and further to verify whether the measurements of these two biological measuring instruments are interchangeable in clinical practice.

**SUBJECTS AND METHODS**

**Ethical Approval** This study conformed to the principles of the Helsinki Declaration, and the study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Xi’an Jiaotong University. Informed consent was signed. A total of 110 adolescents (55 males and 55 females) with myopic (110 eyes) were enrolled in the Department of Ophthalmology, the First Affiliated Hospital of Xi’an Jiaotong University from February 2018 to April 2018. The age ranged from 8 to 18y and the spherical equivalent ranged from -0.75 to -6.00 D. The right eye of each subject was chosen as the study object. Exclusion criteria included accompanied by other eye diseases except ametropia, including keratitis, glaucoma, retinal diseases and previous history of ocular trauma and surgery. All the research subjects underwent routine ocular examinations including visual acuity, intraocular pressure, slit-lamp examination, fundus examination, mydriatic optometry and corneal topography.

All the examinations were performed by the same physician in the same darkroom. The examined eye was in natural pupil size. Subject was seated with mandible placed in the mandibular bracket and the forehead close to the forehead bracket. Two eyes are located in the same horizontal position, staring at the indicator. The AL, K, ACD, and WTW of the subjects were obtained. All the four parameters are measured three times and averaged. The instrument’s own software was applied to automatically identified and rejected the abnormal values of the AL. \(K\) is defined as the average value of the keratometry in the flat and steep position of the anterior corneal surface (keratometric index: 1.3375). ACD is defined as the distance from the anterior surface of the corneal epithelium to the anterior surface of the lens. Before measurement, subject was instructed to open eyes after blink three times quickly to form a smooth tear film optical surface on the corneal. The quality of each examination was qualified. The measurement method of the two instruments was comparable.

**Instruments** IOL Master 500 (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Jena, Germany) is a non-invasive optical biometer that uses partial coherence interferometry (PCI) with a wavelength of 780 nm to measure the AL of the eye. Axis data are obtained from the optical path distance from the anterior surface of the cornea to the retinal pigment epithelium\(^\text{14}\). It has replaced traditional ultrasound measurement as the gold standard for ocular axis measurement because of its advantages of high accuracy and efficiency\(^\text{15}\).

IOL-Master 700 (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Jena, Germany) is a novel non-invasive optical biometer that uses swept source optical coherence tomography (SS-OCT) with a wavelength of 1050 nm. It can obtain three-dimensional anterior segment data of eye tissue quickly and has high lateral and axial resolution. IOL-Master 700 provides the longitudinal sections of each optical interface, and determines the measurement position as the true optic axis length by imaging the fovea centralis\(^\text{16}\). At the same time, it obtains information of corneal anterior and posterior surface as well as lens anterior and posterior surface, macular neuroepithelium and pigment epithelium interface\(^\text{17}\). Accurate data of ACD, lens thickness, and vitreous length are obtained. The acquired anterior segment biometrics are more advantageous than other non-contact biometrics\(^\text{18}\). Except for WTW distance, SS-OCT is applied to obtain the length information of the longitudinal section of the eye (AL, ACD, central corneal thickness, and lens thickness)\(^\text{19}\); keratometry measurement is based on 18 reflective points in three corneal regions (refractive index 1.3375); and WTW is measured using a light-emitting diode light source to detect the edge image of the iris\(^\text{16}\). The IOL-Master 500 examination required that the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of the axial measurements be greater than 2.1 while the IOL-Master 700 required the fovea to be observed on fundus OCT images to ensure that the AL was the distance from the corneal apex to the fovea.

**Statistical Analysis** Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 23.0 (IBM Corp., USA) and MedCalc 16.2 (MedCalc Software Ltd., BEL) statistical software. The measurements were expressed as mean±SD. Paired t-test was used to compare the difference of the same parameter obtained by the two measuring instruments. Intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) and Bland-Altman plot were used to plot the difference between measurements (y-axis) and mean value (x-axis) to assess the consistency and calculate the 95% limit of agreement (95% LoA). The difference was statistically significant when assessed by \(P<0.05\).

**RESULTS**

One hundred and ten adolescents were included with myopic on an average age of 10.69±2.81 years old (range from 8 to 18 years old) with a gender ratio of 1:1. The mean spherical equivalent was -3.0195 D, ranging from -0.75 to -6.00 D.
Differences of Measurements Between IOL-Master 700 and IOL-Master 500

The mean value and difference of biometric parameters measured by the two devices were shown in Table 1. Paired *t*-test analysis indicates that there was no significant difference in the measurement of $K_m$ between the two instruments ($t=-1.583, P=0.116$) while there was statistical difference in AL, ACD and WTW measurements ($t=2.664, 12.505, 3.911, P<0.01$; Table 1).

Agreement of Measurements Between IOL-Master 700 and IOL-Master 500

In the consistency analyze between IOL-Master 700 and IOL-Master 500, the ICC value for AL measurements showed high reliability (ICC=0.994); Bland-Altman plots also showed excellent consistency between the two groups, with only 4/110 (3.6%) points located outside 95% LoA, and 95% LoA ranging from -0.19 mm to 0.24 mm (Figure 1). The ICC value of $K_m$, ACD, and WTW were 0.873, 0.927, 0.912, respectively, and the Bland-Altman plot showed that the 95% LoA for all parameters was narrow (Figures 2-4), which indicates a good agreement between the two devices.

DISCUSSION

As a newly marketed biometer, IOL-Master 700 is completely different from the traditional biometer IOL-Master 500 in the principle of ocular AL, ACD, and keratometry measurement. The purpose of this study is to compare the consistency of the measurement results of these two biometers on ocular biology parameters of adolescents with myopic and estimate whether their measurements can be interchanged in clinical application.

Yang et al\textsuperscript{13} reported that the AL measured by IOL-Master 700 is significantly longer than IOL-Master 500, which is consistent with the results of this study. The difference between the two instruments in measuring the axial values may be related to the device technology of the two instruments and the difference in the gaze fixation\textsuperscript{13}. Although the techniques for measuring AL differentiated, there is still a good agreement between the two instruments. Research has shown that each 0.1 mm inaccurate in AL measurement will lead to approximately 0.27 D error\textsuperscript{20}, therefore, the slight difference between the results in AL measurement (0.028±0.110 mm) in this study is not clinically important in practical application.

Table 1 Comparison of biometric measurements of adolescents with ametropia by two biometric instruments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>No. of eyes</th>
<th>AL (mm)</th>
<th>$K_m$ (D)</th>
<th>ACD (mm)</th>
<th>WTW (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IOL-Master700</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>24.805±0.969</td>
<td>42.642±1.365</td>
<td>3.777±0.224</td>
<td>12.138±0.415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOL-Master500</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>24.777±0.959</td>
<td>42.774±1.295</td>
<td>3.676±0.219</td>
<td>12.075±0.383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0.028±0.110</td>
<td>-0.102±0.674</td>
<td>0.101±0.085</td>
<td>0.064±0.171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>t</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.664</td>
<td>-1.583</td>
<td>12.505</td>
<td>3.911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.116</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AL: Axial length; $K_m$: Mean corneal anterior surface keratometry; ACD: Anterior chamber depth; WTW: Horizontal corneal diameter.
result of -0.11 D, which is in accordance with the results of this study, thus the $K_m$ values of the two instruments can be interchanged clinically.

In terms of the measurement of ACD, the two instruments measure the depth of the anterior chamber in different principles: IOL-Master 700 is measured by SS-OCT, while IOL-Master 500 is based on image evaluation of the anterior chamber optical cross section through a slit illumination system, which is prone to cause operator errors, and our results showed that ACD measurements using IOL-Master 700 are highly correlated with IOL-Master 500 (ICC=0.927). Interestingly, when comparing the mean differences between the two groups, we found that the ACD measured by IOL-Master 700 was 0.101 mm shorter than IOL-Master 500. Although this finding was statistically significant, this difference was within the acceptance range in clinical practice. Hoffer et al\cite{22} reported that the difference between the two instruments in ACD was -0.16 mm, which is close to the result of this study.

In the measurement of WTW, the difference between the two instruments was statistically significant, nevertheless the ICC and Bland-Altman plot analysis indicated that the consistency of the two instruments on WTW measurement were good. Therefore, the difference between IOL-Master 700 and IOL-Master 500 in measuring WTW cannot lead to an unequivocal clinical significance.

IOL-Master 700 forms macular image by scanning OCT to ensure that the measured AL is the optical path through macular fovea, which is superior to IOL-Master 500. In addition, IOL-Master 700 also provides data of corneal thickness, ACD, lens thickness, and pupil diameter. It may gain a distinct edge when applied to pediatric patients because of faster acquisition speed. The limitations of this study include inadequate sample size, no random sample established in the community as well as absence of comparison in a larger range of people with ametropia. Further studies are needed to explore the effect of these measurement differences on clinical practice.

To sum up, our study demonstrated that IOL-Master 700 and IOL-Master 500 are interchangeable in measuring the ocular biological parameters $K_m$ in adolescent with ametropia. However, there are still differences between the two instruments for the measurement of AL, ACD, and WTW distance. The results of the consistency analysis in this study show that the distance of AL, $K_m$, ACD, and WTW between the two instruments have excellent correlation, and the difference between the results can be ignored for practical clinical application.
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