Therapeutic potential of pupilloplasty combined with phacomulsification and intraocular lens implantation against uveitis-induced cataract
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Abstract
- **AIM:** To evaluate the therapeutic effect of pupilloplasty combined with phacomulsification and intraocular lens implantation (PPI) in uveitis-induced cataract.
- **METHODS:** Total 28 patients with uveitis-induced cataract were enrolled. Within 3mo before the PPI, 7 cases accompanied with glaucoma maintained carteolol hydrochloride for lowering intraocular pressure, and 1 case maintained glucocorticoid for anti-inflammation. The baseline characteristics, treatment processes, and outcomes of enrolled cases were retrospectively analyzed. Hematoxylin and eosin (HE) staining was performed to reveal the histopathological changes of iris tissues.
- **RESULTS:** Iris hemorrhage was the only intraoperative complication observed in 2 cases. After the surgery, normal intraocular pressure, right position of intraocular lens, and improved visual gain [best corrected visual acuity (BCVA)>0.5] were achieved. Postoperative keratic precipitates was observed in 2 cases, which was recovered within 1wk. During the follow-up of 5-10y, no recurrence of uveitis was found in 27 cases (96.43%). Uveitis only recurred in one case with the onset of ankylosing spondylitis. HE staining showed iris stroma (all samples), pigment cell hyperplasia in pigment epithelium (n=9) and stroma (n=19), inflammatory cell infiltration in iris (n=7), and neovascularization in iris surface (n=2).
- **CONCLUSION:** PPI improves the visual gain and prevents the long-term recurrence of uveitis in patients with uveitis-induced cataract, including those with preoperative intraocular pressure abnormality (glaucoma) and inflammation (active uveitis). Uveitis presents stroma atrophy, pigment cell hyperplasia, and inflammatory cell infiltration, even in a quiet state.
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INTRODUCTION

Uveitis is a severe inflammatory ocular disease, which can lead to visual impairment and even irreversible blindness[1]. The prevalence of uveitis depends upon multiple factors, including the age, sex, race, geographic distribution, environment, genetics, and social habits[2]. The estimated annual incidence of uveitis is about 17-52 persons per 100 000 people, and a prevalence of approximately 38-284 cases per 100 000 people in some developed countries[3-5]. Uveitis is usually classified based on anatomical location, duration and course of inflammation, and nature of inflammation. Anterior uveitis is the most prevalent form of uveitis, accounting for approximately >50% cases[6]. In clinical, the uveitis is idiopathic in most cases (48%-70%), and the traumatic, inflammatory, and infectious immunologic disorders can also induce the occurrence of uveitis[7]. Until now, the main treatment strategy for uveitis is to protect the ocular tissues from damage through inhibiting inflammation, and activating immunosuppression to stop fueling T cell reactivity[8]. Topical corticosteroid is usually applied as the first-line therapy for uveitis. Conventional immunomodulatory agents are added as second-line therapy utilized for their durable control of ocular inflammation and as a steroid-sparing agent[9]. However,
Uveitis is easy to relapse even after effective treatment due to the reappearance of infectious organisms and the localization of immune complexes in the uveal tract[10-11]. Uveitis can lead to a variety of complications, such as cataract, retinal neovascularization, choroidal scars, cystoid macular edema, glaucoma/hypertension, epiretinal membranes, and retinal detachment[12]. Cataract is one of the most frequent complications of uveitis, and also a major cause of visual loss[13]. Both the intraocular inflammation and the long-term local or systemic use of corticosteroid contribute to the onset of cataract[14-15]. Phacoemulsification combined with intraocular lens implantation (PI) is a commonly used cataract surgery in outpatients, but its application is still a great challenge for patients with uveitis. The outcomes of PI in cases with uveitis is not predictable due to diverse factors, including surgical techniques, uncertain inflammatory sequelae, and the variable and unpredictable reversibility of complications[13]. Patients with a history of uveitis are at higher risk for postoperative and recurrent inflammation following PI[15-17]. Before PI, the quiescent uveitis for at least 3mo is generally accepted as the minimum amount of time prior to surgical intervention[15]. Scrupulous case selection and aggressive control of pre- and postoperative intraocular inflammation are also important for the postoperative success[18]. Recently, some encouraging outcomes on visual acuity were revealed in patients with uveitic cataract following PI. For example, Singh et al[19] have shown that the best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) is improved in 92.58% (n=50) eyes with uveitis and cystoidmacular edema was only found in 5% (n=3) eyes after PI. Yangzes et al[20] have revealed that all children with uveitic cataract had significant improvement in BCVA post PI, and 55.17% (n=32) eyes achieved a visual acuity of 20/40 or more. Balta et al[21] have found that the BCVA of 20/40 or better was achieved in 81.8% eyes (n=18) in idiopathic anterior uveitis, 80.0% eyes (n=8) in herpes simplex virus-associated anterior uveitis, 90.0% eyes (n=9) in Fuchs’ heterochronic iridocyclitis, and 100% (n=13) in anterior uveitis associated with collagen vascular diseases over 4y follow-up of PI. However, it is worth noting that uveitis is usually not quiescent after cataract surgery, and the recurrence of uveitis can be observed at an uncertain time[20-21].

Pupilloplasty is a technique for pupil reconstitution, which can enlarge any inadequately dilated pupil to ensure the safety and feasibility of PI. Pupilloplasty combined with PI (PPI) has been applied in the treatment of cataract accompanied with abnormal iris and pupil. However, the therapeutic effect of PPI on uveitis-induced cataract is rarely reported. In this study, PPI was performed in 28 patients with uveitis-induced cataract. The baseline characteristics, treatment processes, and outcomes were retrospectively analyzed. Furthermore, the histopathological changes of iris tissues were evaluated. Our findings may provide guidance for the clinical treatment of uveitis-induced cataract and reveal the underlying histopathological mechanism of uveitis.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Ethical Approval This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Beijing Tongren Hospital in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (TRECKY2012-02). Written informed consents were obtained from all cases.

Subjects Totally 28 patients with uveitis-induced cataract were screened from Beijing Tongren Hospital (Beijing, China) between July, 2009 and March, 2013. The uveitis-induced cataract was diagnosed as any lens opacity with visual acuity of 20/40 or less in the absence of other causes of visual loss according to Lens Opacities Classification System.

Surgery PPI was performed in all patients by an experienced surgeon. Simply, the target eyes were anesthetized by eye dropping of obukaine, the conjunctival sac was washed with povidone iodine, and a transparent corneal incision was made. Viscoelastic agent was then injected into the anterior chamber, and the adhesive iris tissues were pull open. The pathological tissues in the pupil area were cut off for patients with severe proliferation and even pupillary atresia. A round pupil with a diameter of about 4 cm was formed. After continuous curvilinear capsulorhexis, and water separation and stratification, turbid lens were removed by phacoemulsification, residual cortex was perfused and suctioned, and intraocular lens was implanted in the capsule bag. Followed by watertight incision, the operated eyes received eye ointments of tobramycin and dexamethasone and covered by clean dressing. All patients were followed up for 5-10y. The baseline characteristics, treatment processes, and outcomes of enrolled cases were reordered.

Histopathological Examination The iris tissues were collected from patients during surgery. The isolated tissues were immediately fixed in 10% formaldehyde, dehydrated in graded ethanol (70% to 100%), cleared in xylene, paraffin-embedded, and sliced at 5 μm. After de waxed in xylene and rehydrated in graded ethanol, the tissue sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (HE). The histopathological changes of iris tissues were observed under a microscope (IX71, Olympus, Japan).

RESULTS

Baseline Characteristics of Patients with Uveitis-induced Cataract A total of 28 patients with an age range of 14-82 years old were enrolled in this study, among which 10 (35.71%) were males and 18 (64.29%) were females. All the enrolled patients had suffered from anterior uveitis. Except that in 2 cases with ankylosing spondylitis and 1 case with Sjogren’s syndrome, the uveitis in the left 25 cases were all...
idiopathic. The uveitis-induced cataract was subsequently determined in all enrolled cases, including 7 cases accompanied with glaucoma. The preoperative BCVA was 0.6 in 1 case, <0.5 in 21 cases, and <0.1 in 6 cases (Table 1).

Perioperative Intervention and Surgery  Within 3mo before the surgery, 20 cases with well-controlled inflammation had no topical medication, 7 cases with uveitis-induced glaucoma maintained topical eye drops of carteolol hydrochloride for lowering intraocular pressure, and 1 case with uncontrolled inflammation also received eye drops of glucocorticoid for anti-inflammation. In the perioperative period (3d before the surgery), all cases received eye drops of levofloxacin four times a day. Two cases with uncontrolled intraocular pressure received intravenous injection of 20% mannitol, and the intraocular pressure was immediately decreased to <26 mm Hg in 1 case after the injection for 30min. However, the intraocular pressure was not reduced to a normal level for another case (>26 mm Hg), and preoperative anterior chamber puncture was performed. Subsequently, PPI was successfully performed in all case. Iris hemorrhage was the only intraoperative complication observed in 2 cases (Table 1).

Postoperative Care and Follow-up After surgery, all patients received 3wk of topical eye drops of tobramycin and dexamethasone for anti-inflammation, as well as topical eye drops of recombinant bovine basic fibroblast growth factor for nutrition. One case with aqueous flare continued to use the above topical eye drops until the inflammation was disappeared (at the 6th week after the surgery). There was an obvious improvement in visual gain of call cases, with a preoperative BCVA of >0.5. These patients also exhibited normal intraocular pressure, right position of intraocular lens, and no occurrence of the complications of posterior cataract, corneal edema, and corneal decompensation. In addition, postoperative keratic precipitates was found in 2 cases, which was recovered within 1wk. During the follow-up of 5-10y, no recurrence of uveitis was found in 27 cases (96.43%). Notably, uveitis recurred in one case with the onset of ankylosing spondylitis at the 6th week after the surgery.

Histopathological Manifestations of Iris Tisseus  HE staining was performed to reveal the histopathological changes of iris tissues from patients. All samples exhibited atrophy of iris stroma (Figure 1). The hyperplasia of pigment cells was observed in the pigment epithelium (n=9, 32.14%) and even invaded into stroma (n=19, 67.86%; Figure 1). In addition, the infiltration of inflammatory cells in iris tissues was found in 7 cases (25.00%), and neovascularization in the surface of the iris was found in 2 cases (7.14%; Figure 1A).

DISCUSSION  Cataract is one of the most frequent complications of uveitis, which can be induced by chronic inflammatory response and use of corticosteroids[22]. Surgery is generally considered as the only effective therapeutic strategy for uveitis-induced cataract, and the commonly used surgical strategies include extracapsular extraction, intracapsular extraction, lenectomy, and phacoemulsification[21]. At present, the surgical program for uveitic cataract mainly depends on the experience of doctors. There is still no clear standard for the selection of scrupulous cases, indications and contraindications, surgical techniques, and operation time[13,18]. Rojas et al[24] have

---

Table 1 The baseline characteristics, treatments, and outcomes of patients with uveitis-induced cataract

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Baseline characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>14-82y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Males (n=10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Females (n=18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inducement</td>
<td>Ankylosing spondylitis (n=2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sjogren’s syndrome (n=1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Idiopathic (n=25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complications</td>
<td>Glaucoma (n=7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative BCVA</td>
<td>0.6 (n=1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.5 (n=21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.1 (n=6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BCVA: Best corrected visual acuity.
described four indications for cataract surgery in patients with a history of uveitis, including phacoantigentic uveitis (active inflammation as a result of leak-age of lens proteins), visually significant cataract, cataract that impairs fundus assessment in cases with suspected fundus pathology, and cataract that precludes adequate visualization of the posterior segment in cases undergoing posterior segment surgical procedure. In this study, all the enrolled patients with uveitis-induced cataract belong to the indication of visually significant cataract, and the surgery of PPI was performed. Encouragingly, obvious visual gain improvement (BCVA>0.5), normal intraocular pressure, and right position of intraocular lens were revealed in call cases after the surgery. These findings indicate that the surgery proposed in this study can effectively treat uveitis-induced cataract.

Except the need of immediate mandatory cataract extraction for phacoantigentic uveitis, preoperatively control of inflammation for at least 3mo has become the guarantee of successful surgery. A Meta-analysis based on 89 articles has shown that among uveitic eyes with quiet uveitis before cataract surgery, visual acuity of 20/40 or better was achieved in 68% following phacoemulsification, 72% following extracapsular cataract extraction, and 40% following pars plana lensectomy. Similar with this consensus, 27 cases (96.93%) had no anti-inflammatory medication against uveitis within 3mo. Notably, one case with uncontrolled preoperative inflammation also achieved good outcome. This phenomenon indicates that the PPI may also be suitable for patients with active uveitis.

On the other hand, glaucoma is another potentially blinding complication of uveitis, which can be induced by inflammation, secondary corticosteroid response, and angle abnormalities. The surgery is thought to be necessary for glaucoma patients who cannot control the inflammation by drugs. In this study, 7 cases with uveitis-induced cataract were accompanied with glaucoma. Under actively control of intraocular pressure in perioperative period, obvious improvement in visual gain and normal intraocular pressure were achieved following the surgery. The surgery may contribute to the excretion of immune complexes, prostaglandins, and toxic substances in aqueous humor, thereby controlling intraocular pressure and inflammatory reaction.

Although the cataract surgery achieves relatively good outcome on visual gain in patients with uveitic cataract, postoperative complications and recurrence are still difficult problem to solve. For example, Rahman and Jones have shown that during a minimum follow-up of 5y, 24% macular oedema or scarring, 96% posterior capsule opacification, 15% glaucoma drainage were found in 61 patients underwent cataract extraction with intraocular lens implantation. Yangzes et al. have revealed that the complications of visual axis opacification (39.66%), cystoid macular edema (31.03%), and glaucoma (8.62%) were common in total 58 eyes underwent cataract surgery. Here, only the occurrence of keratic precipitats was found in 2 cases after the surgery. This complication may be attributed to the prolonged inflammatory response induced by local dysimmunity. There is nothing to worry that the keratic precipitats was recovered within 1wk. On the other hand, the recurrence risk of uveitis remains. Zhang et al. have found that uveitis recurred in 14 eyes (18.9%) within 3mo and inflammation recurred in 34 eyes (45.9%) in the whole follow-up period. Balta et al. have determined that the incidence of postoperative recurrence of uveitis was 52.7% in 48 patients underwent cataract surgery during 4y of follow-up. Therefore, avoiding postoperative recurrence has become a great challenge in clinical practice. In this study, in addition to the phacoemulsification with clear-cornea incision and intraocular lens implantation, pupilloplasty was also performed in patients with uveitic cataract. Encouragingly, no recurrence of uveitis was found in 96.43% cases (n=27) during the follow-up of 5-10y. Evidence on the underlying pathological mechanism of chronic uveitis showed that the lymphocytes in patients with uveitis exhibit great resistance to apoptosis, resulting in long-term existence of autoreactive lymphocytes, and subsequently leading to the chronicity and recurrence of uveitis. In addition, the destruction of blood aqueous barrier induced by inflammation leads to severe damage of iris microcirculation through enhancing the permeability of blood vessels and weakening the barrier function. Then freely released immune cells and inflammatory mediators directly contribute to the expansion of inflammatory injury. Therefore, we speculated that the low recurrence of uveitis revealed in this study may be attributed to the removal of pathological tissues to some degrees. Furthermore, the only patient with recurrent uveitis attributed to immunosuppression induced by the onset of ankylosing spondylitis. Our results indicated that pupilloplasty may reduce the recurrent risk of uveitis due to iris inflammation. However, the inflammation induced by other immunologic disorders is still inevitable for the recurrence of uveitis.

A previous study based on equine experimental uveitis has observed the histopathological characteristics of engorgement of blood vessels, neovascularization, focal or diffuse mononuclear proliferation, exudative retinal detachment, and disorganization of retinal layers. In order to discover the underlying pathological mechanism of uveitis in human, HE staining was performed to reveal the histopathological changes of uveitis-suffered iris tissues in this study. An obvious atrophy of iris stroma was observed in all cases, which is considered as a prominent feature of uveitis. The inflammation-induced immune abnormality may contribute directly to the iris
atrophy in uveitis. Since iris cells have an ability to bind and accumulate drugs\cite{29}, the atrophy of iris tissues may contribute to the recurrent of uveitis via inhibiting the combination and reactivity of drugs. In addition, uveitis is known to be initiated by the recognition of T cells to retinal or cross-reactive antigens, and the recruited inflammatory cells result in the damage of specific tissues. The inhibition of inflammation and immunosuppression has become the preferred therapeutic strategy for uveitis\cite{8}. Here, we observed the infiltration of inflammatory cells in iris tissues in 25\% cases. Since the uveitis in most cases (27/28) enrolled in this study was at a quiet period, our finding indicated that the inflammation is not really quiet in some patients. This phenomenon explained the possible reasons for poor postoperative outcome and easy recurrence in patients with uveitis, and challenged the existing standards for uveitis staging. Notably, whether there was inflammatory cell infiltration or not, the hyperplasia of pigment cells was observed in the pigment epithelium (32.14\%) and stroma (67.86\%). This phenomenon may be explained that the local immune injury greatly influences the normal structure of iris tissue, leading to the abnormal proliferation of pigment cells. This finding also indicated that pigment cells may play a positive role in inflammation by acting in situ pan-gene presenting cells, providing a potential target for the treatment of uveitis. Furthermore, the removal of lesion tissues during PPI did not cause the recurrence of uveitis, but prevented recurrence in most cases (27/28). However, the surgical boundary, timing, and outcomes of pathological tissue resection during PPI still need to be studied.

In conclusion, PPI was effective in improving the visual gain and preventing the long-term recurrence of uveitis in patients with uveitis-induced cataract, including those with preoperative intraocular pressure abnormality (glaucoma) and inflammation (active uveitis). The atrophy of iris stroma was the prominent feature of uveitis. The hyperplasia of pigment cells and infiltration of inflammatory cells in iris could also be observed in some cases, even in a quiet state.
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