Clinical efficacy of 0.05% cyclosporine nano-emulsion in the treatment of dry eye syndrome associated with meibomian gland dysfunction
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Abstract

● AIM: To evaluate the clinical efficacy of topical 0.05% cyclosporine nano-emulsion in the treatment of dry eye syndrome (DES) with meibomian gland dysfunction (MGD).

● METHODS: This prospective study included 64 patients with DES and MGD who were randomly assigned to three groups: Group 1 (n=24, conventional cyclosporine), Group 2 (n=21, nano-emulsion cyclosporine), and Group 3 (n=19, control). Lid margin telangiectasia (LMT), meibomian gland secretion (MGS), conjunctival injection (CI), corneal staining (CS), tear break-up time (TBUT), Schirmer test I (STI), Ocular Surface Disease Index (OSDI), and lipid layer thickness (LLT) was evaluated at 4, 8, and 12wk of treatment.

● RESULTS: In Group 3 (control), LMT, CS, and CI improved after 8wk, MGS, TBUT after 12wk of treatment. In Group 1 (conventional cyclosporine), LMT, MGS, and TBUT improved significantly after 4wk, whereas CS, CI, STI, and LLT improved significantly after 8wk, and OSDI at 12wk. In Group 2 (nano-cyclosporine), LMT, MGS, CS, CI, TBUT, and OSDI significantly improved after 4wk, and STI after 8wk. Especially, LLT was significantly higher than other groups after 4wk.

● CONCLUSION: Cyclosporine and nano-cyclosporine shows significant improvement in DES with MGD than the control group. In contrast, the nano-cyclosporine group shows more statistically improved CI and CS at 4wk, especially LLT at 4, 8, and 12wk compared to the conventional cyclosporine group.
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INTRODUCTION

Meibomian gland dysfunction (MGD) is a common ocular condition and a major cause of evaporative dry eye syndrome (DES) characterized by a deficiency of the tear film lipid layer, instability of the tear film, and short tear film break-up time (TBUT)¹⁻⁴. Management of eyelids through cleansing and warm massage of the eyelids is a traditional treatment method for MGD⁵, which improves meibomian gland function and helps release secretions⁴. Conventional treatments options for MGD include lid hygiene, warm compression, using artificial tear, topical erythromycin, topical corticosteroids, and oral doxycycline tetracycline are used recently⁶⁻⁷. MGD is frequently reported to be highly related to evaporative DES, but it is also known to increase persistent inflammation of the ocular surface⁸. Since inflammation contributes to the pathogenesis of MGD, previous studies related to the use of cyclosporine drops for the treatment of dry eyes with MGD are investigated⁹⁻¹２. As though the evaporative dry eye related to MGD has less incidence than the aqueous deficient dry eye, it should be required whether the cyclosporine can be useful in managing MGD combined with eyelid inflammation. Therefore, we are going to investigate the therapeutic efficacy between cyclosporine nano-emulsion and conventional cyclosporine eye drops in dry eye with MGD.

Even though the main objective of the treatment is to reduce ocular discomfort, the use of conventional cyclosporine eye drops may induce ocular stinging and burning sensation. Moreover, cyclosporine bioavailability and adherence to the treatment tend to decrease because of the burning and stinging sensations experienced after its topical use¹⁰. A total of 17% of
patients with dry eye treated with cyclosporine discontinued the application of topical cyclosporine eye drops in one study[17]. Advances in technology and understanding of disorders at the cellular/molecular level led to an increase in the application of nanotechnology in eye drops. Cyclosporine nano-emulsion could be expected to have the ability to increase the precorneal residence time and ocular bioavailability. Also, cyclosporine nano-emulsion have more effective in suppressing the inflammation of the conjunctiva, cornea, and eyelid compared with conventional cyclosporine eye drops. As nanoparticles contained with drug material are deposited in the ocular surface tissue, the drug is continuously more released compared to the conventional particle of cyclosporine. In general, cyclosporine emulsion formulation is thermodynamically unstable, and the size of the dispersed particles ranges from 50 to 1000 nm. Nano-emulsion technology has been recently introduced to prepare a novel cyclosporine ophthalmic solution to overcome the limitations of traditional cyclosporine emulsion formulations. Various forms of nano-technology eye drop are being used in DES treatment.

Therefore, the purpose of this study was to investigate the effect of 0.05% nano-emulsion cyclosporine formulation on the meibomian gland, ocular surface, and dry eye symptoms, and lipid layer thickness (LLT) when compared to those of traditional cyclosporine or sodium hyaluronate for the treatment of DES with MGD.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Ethical Approval All procedures performed in this study were under the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and the Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Pusan National University Hospital (Protocol code 1510-011-045). This study is registered with the Korean clinical research information service (KCT0006923).

Study Design A randomized, double-masked interventional study was performed at one referral eye center from March 2018 to December 2018.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria The patients exhibited one or more clinically significant signs of MGD, such as meibomian gland capping or dropout, lid telangiectasia, redness, irregularity. The above signs are known to most common clinically observable pathognomic signs of MGD[17]. And patients have similar symptoms of DES, such as ocular irritation, glare, dryness, excessive tears, itching, pain, and fluctuating visual acuity. Before commencing the study, the participants of the clinical trial were informed of their participation in the clinical trial after preliminary examination. Patients with ocular surface disease, previous ocular surgery, glaucoma, contact lens use, and systemic immune-related disease, receiving cyclosporine treatment for more than a year, and pregnant and lactating women were excluded from the study.

Randomization, Masking, and Sample Size Calculation A target sample size of 18 participants per arm was calculated based on having 90% power, at a 2-tailed significance level of 0.05, to detect a 30% change from baseline in Ocular Surface Disease Index (OSDI). An additional 12 participants per group were included to allow for up to 40% participant attrition, giving a recruitment target of 30 per group (90 participants in total).

The subjects assigned to Group 1 were treated with preservative-free 0.1% sodium hyaluronate eye drops (Hyal Q®, Il-Dong Inc., Korea) four times daily and 0.05% topical cyclosporine eye drops (Restasis®, Allergan Inc., USA) twice daily. The subjects assigned to Group 2 were treated with a combination of preservative-free 0.1% sodium hyaluronate eye drops four times daily and 0.05% topical nano-emulsion cyclosporine eye drops (Nano-cyclosporine; Cyporin N, Taejoon, Korea) twice daily. The control group (Group 3) was treated with preservative-free 0.1% sodium hyaluronate eye drops four times daily. All subjects were instructed to maintain eyelid hygiene, including eyelid warm massage for 10min and cleansing using Blephaclean wipes (Blephaclean®, Thea Inc., France).

Outcome Measures Patients were followed up during four visits to the hospital at the following time points: 4wk (visit 2), 8wk (visit 3), and 12wk (visit 4) after the first visit (visit 1). All patient ocular examinations were evaluated by a single ophthalmologist. There were three main categories of evaluation: complications related to MGD, ocular surface, and dry eye. Lid margin telangiectasia (LMT) and meibomian gland secretion (MGS) were observed for MGD evaluation. LMT was scored based on the degree of capillary dilatation around the meibomian gland in the upper eyelid: 0, without capillary dilatation; 1, with mild dilatation; 2, with moderate dilatation; and 3, with severe capillary dilatation[16]. For MGS measurement, the upper lid margin was compressed with a sterile swab to collect the meibum. After partial quantitative analysis of meibum, it was classified into four stages: grade 0 to grade 3[17]. Ocular surface complications were evaluated by observing corneal staining (CS) and conjunctival injection (CI). CS is the evaluation of the degree of corneal staining using slit-lamp examination after staining with fluorescein paper (Haag-Streit AG, Kôniz, Switzerland). As suggested by the National Eye Institute[18], the cornea is divided into five zones, which are graded according to the degree of staining, and then presented as the sum of the scores. The total score ranges from 0 to 15 points. CI is observed during the slit lamp examination and is expressed as five grades using the Efron grading scale[19]. Dry eye problems were assessed using OSDI, TIBUT,
and LLT. The OSDI is calculated based on 12 questions related to DES, which are used to assess changes in symptoms\(^{[20]}\). The score ranges from 0 to 100, and the higher the score, the more severe the symptoms. TBUT is assessed by measuring the time duration in seconds at which a dry spot appears in the tear film under slit lamp blue filter illumination after exposure of the lower conjunctival sac to fluorescein paper (Haag-Streit AG, Köniz, Switzerland). The test was conducted three times consecutively, and the mean value was used. The Schirmer test I (STI) was performed using a filter paper strip (Eagle Vision, Memphis, TN, USA). The strip was placed inside the lower eyelid to avoid corneal stimulation and left for 5min. Then, the filter paper was removed, and the amount of wetting was measured on a millimeter scale. Average LLT measurements were obtained using a Lipiview Ocular Surface Interferometer (TearScience Inc, Morrisville, NC, USA).

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS software (version 20 for Windows; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare the effects between the three groups at the time course of statistical changes in the value while controlling the effects of the baseline values. And paired \( t \)-test was used to compare the mean changes within each group with that of the baseline after treatment. Statistical significance was set at \( P<0.05 \).

**RESULTS**

At the institution of Pusan National University Hospital, 90 subjects were recruited and met the eligibility criteria of the clinical trial, 26 subjects did not complete the study. Six were in Group 1, 9 were in Group 2, and 11 were in Group 3; in 26 participants, 14 subjects were lost to follow up after the first visit, and 8 subjects were lost to follow up after 4wk, and 4 subjects were discontinued eye drops due to patient withdrawal. In the 26 dropout participants, any drug side effects, or adverse reactions were not reported. A total of 64 subjects completed the study. They were randomly assigned to different groups: 24 subjects in Group 1, 21 in Group 2, and 19 in Group 3 (Figure 1).

Among the 64 subjects, 18 were male and 46 were female, with a mean age of 58.9±14.6y. There were statistically insignificant differences among the three groups (\( P=0.06 \)). The results of the comparison between Groups 1, 2, and 3 are shown in Table 1. There were no statistically significant differences between the three groups at 4, 8, and 12wk after treatment. However, all three groups showed improvement in the score of MGD, ocular surface, and dry eye complications compared to the baseline values before treatment initiation.

**Lid Margin Telangiectasia**

The LMT for evaluation of MGD complications was significantly improved at 4, 8, and 12wk of treatment in Group 1 compared with that before treatment (\( P=0.007, 0.009, \) and 0.004, respectively). In Group 2, a statistically significant improvement was observed at 4, 8, and 12wk of treatment (\( P=0.002, 0.004, \) and <0.001, respectively). Moreover, in the control group (Group 3), statistically significant improvement was observed at 8 and 12wk after treatment (\( P=0.005 \) and <0.001, respectively), But no statistically significant intergroup differences were observed (Figure 2).
Meibomian Gland Secretion There was a statistically significant improvement in MGS, a parameter related to MGD complications, in Group 1 at 4, 8, and 12wk of treatment ($P=0.026, 0.018,$ and $0.010$, respectively) compared with that before treatment. In Group 2, a statistically significant improvement was observed in MGS at 4, 8, and 12wk of treatment ($P<0.02, <0.001,$ and $<0.001$, respectively). In Group 3, there was a statistically significant improvement in MGS at 12wk of treatment ($P=0.005$). After 8 and 12wk of treatment, the MGS of Group 2 significantly decreases rather than Group 3 ($P=0.032, 0.020$). And Group 1 showed a statistically significant decrease rather to Group 3 at 12wk of treatment (Figure 3).

Corneal Staining There was a significant improvement in CS, the first parameter used to assess ocular surface complications, in Group 1 at 4, 8, and 12wk compared with that at baseline ($P=0.017, 0.023,$ and $0.011$, respectively). In Group 2, a statistically significant improvement was observed in CS at 4, 8, and 12wk of treatment ($P=0.048, 0.042,$ and $0.010$, respectively). Statistically, significant improvement was observed in CS in the control group at 8 and 12wk of treatment ($P=0.030$ and $<0.001$, respectively). After 4 and 8wk, Group 2 showed significant decreases rather than Group 1 and Group 3 ($P=0.048, 0.005$ and $0.022, 0.012$; Figure 4).

Conjunctival Injection Significant improvement was observed in CI, the second parameter related to ocular surface complications, in Group 1 and Group 3 at 8 and 12wk of treatment compared with that at baseline (Group 1, $P=0.002$ and $<0.001$; Group 3, $P=0.021$ and $0.002$; respectively). In Group 2, significant improvement was observed in CI at 4, 8, and 12wk of treatment ($P=0.030, 0.028,$ and $<0.001$, respectively) compared with that at baseline. Group 2 showed significantly decreases compared to Group 1 at 4wk ($P=0.034$), and Group 3 at 4, 8, and 12wk of treatment ($P=0.050, 0.041,$ and $0.011$; Figure 5).

Tear Film Break-up Time Significant improvement was observed in TBUT, a parameter used for the evaluation of dry eye complications in Groups 1 and 2, at 4, 8 and 12wk of treatment compared with that at baseline (Group 1, $P=0.034, 0.028,$ and $0.020$; Group 2, $P=0.021, 0.011,$ and $<0.001$; respectively). Moreover, no significant difference was observed in TBUT in the control group compared to that at baseline and no statistically significant intergroup differences were observed (Figure 6).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>Group 3</th>
<th>$P$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex (M/F)</td>
<td>7/17</td>
<td>2/19</td>
<td>9/10</td>
<td>0.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>58.2±14.4</td>
<td>57.2±15.7</td>
<td>64.1±9.9</td>
<td>0.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lid margin telangiectasia (LMT)</td>
<td>1.75±1.33</td>
<td>1.48±1.33</td>
<td>1.89±0.94</td>
<td>0.649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meibomian gland secretion (MGS)</td>
<td>1.25±0.99</td>
<td>1.52±1.08</td>
<td>1.53±0.96</td>
<td>0.521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corneal staining (CS)</td>
<td>0.83±0.76</td>
<td>0.67±0.86</td>
<td>1.00±0.75</td>
<td>0.259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conjunctival injection (CI)</td>
<td>1.17±0.76</td>
<td>1.19±0.87</td>
<td>1.37±0.60</td>
<td>0.679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tear break up time (TBUT), s</td>
<td>5.32±2.06</td>
<td>4.84±1.23</td>
<td>5.59±1.94</td>
<td>0.347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schirmer test I (STI), mm</td>
<td>4.76±3.62</td>
<td>4.82±2.63</td>
<td>4.85±3.88</td>
<td>0.749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocular Surface Disease Index (OSDI)</td>
<td>47.69±15.89</td>
<td>45.34±22.93</td>
<td>45.45±18.69</td>
<td>0.320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid layer thickness (LLT), nm</td>
<td>47.69±15.89</td>
<td>45.34±22.93</td>
<td>45.45±18.69</td>
<td>0.277</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Baseline demographics and ocular examination results of all patients in the three groups
Schirmer Test Groups 1 and 2 showed significant improvement at 8 and 12wk of treatment compared with that of Group 3 (Group 1, $P=0.041$ and 0.028; Group 2, $P=0.037$ and 0.019; respectively). Moreover, no significant difference was observed in the control group and between intergroup (Figure 7).

Ocular Surface Disease Index Score Group 2 showed a significant improvement in OSDI at 4, 8, and 12wk of treatment ($P=0.009$, 0.004, and 0.002, respectively), whereas in Group 1, there was a significant improvement only at 12wk of treatment ($P=0.020$). No significant difference was observed in the OSDI of the control group after treatment for 12wk compared with that before treatment and in the intergroup (Figure 8).

Lipid Layer Thickness LLT differences between the three groups were not significant at baseline ($P=0.277$). After treatment, Group 2 showed a significant improvement in LLT at 4, 8, and 12wk ($P<0.001$ in all). Group 1 showed a significant improvement of LTT in 8 and 12wk ($P<0.001$ and $P=0.002$, respectively). Compared with other groups, the LLT of Group 2 showed statistically significant increases at 4, 8, and 12wk of treatment ($P=0.002$, 0.035, and 0.021, respectively). Group 2 has larger
value of LLT than Group 1 ($P<0.001$, $P=0.001$, and 0.019, respectively), and Group 3 after 4, 8, and 12wk ($P=0.007$, 0.018, and 0.004; Figure 9).

**DISCUSSION**

MGD is characterized by meibomian gland orifice blockage or other abnormalities, which result in inflammation of the meibomian gland and a decrease in lipid secretion, leading to increased evaporation of tears and instability of the tear film. As a result, the ocular surface epithelium is damaged, leading to DES\cite{1-4}. Therefore, it is crucial to evaluate MGD in patients with DES. Several studies have reported that the chronic inflammatory state of MGD is associated with an elevation of inflammatory cytokines in tears, such as epidermal growth factor, interleukin-1 (IL-1), interleukin-6 (IL-6), interleukin-8 (IL-8), and tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α)\cite{21-23}. Such elevation of inflammatory cytokine levels propagates MGD, and inflammation occurs on the ocular surface as well as the eyelid margins through expansion of capillaries, resulting in abnormal meibomian gland secretion\cite{24}. Therefore, the 2011 Tear Film & Ocular Society (TFOS) recommends the initiation of anti-inflammatory treatment in case of occurrence of grade 3 MGD, severe telangiectasia, or occlusion of the meibomian gland orifice\cite{25-26}. Cyclosporine inhibits inflammatory cytokine production and T cell activity and acts on the conjunctiva and lacrimal glands to reduce inflammation and increase tear production. Several studies have reported the use of cyclosporine eye drops to improve the symptoms of DES and other inflammatory diseases of the ocular surface\cite{27-29}.

The particle size of a nano-emulsion ranges from 10 to 100 nm, and it is considered a thermodynamically stable liquid dispersion, whereas that of cyclosporine emulsion ranges from 50 to 1000 nm\cite{30-31}. In addition, the bioavailability of cyclosporine and adherence to the treatment with emulsion formulation of cyclosporine tend to be lower than those of nano-emulsion cyclosporine. To overcome the limitations of emulsion formulations, nano-emulsion technology has been introduced to prepare a novel cyclosporine ophthalmic solution. However, only a few studies have focused on the effects of nano-emulsion cyclosporine in improving the symptoms of DES associated with MGD.

The purpose of this study was to investigate the improvement of MGD-related symptoms and decrease in inflammation of the eyelids after using 0.05% Nano-cyclosporine in patients with MGD. Other previous study shows Nano-cyclosporine eyedrops had improved pharmacologic properties relative to conventional cyclosporine in an animal model\cite{32}. Compared with conventional cyclosporine, the Nano-cyclosporine was able to act faster because of smaller and homogenous particle size, and a longer precorneal residence time, and ocular bioavailability. It is thought that reducing particle size and improving the homogeneity of nanotechnology in eye drops could suppress the inflammatory reaction of the ocular surface more effectively. In Groups 1 and 2, LMT and MGS, which reflect the degree of inflammation of the meibomian gland, were significantly improved at 4, 8, and 12wk, whereas in the control group, LMT improved at 8 and 12wk and MGS improved at 12wk of treatment. These results suggest that the groups treated with cyclosporine showed a faster reduction in the meibomian gland inflammation than that in the control group. The control group also showed some improvement, which might be due to the effects of conventional treatment strategies (maintenance of eyelid hygiene and eyelid warm massage), which were practiced by all three groups.

CS and CI, which indicate inflammatory conditions of the ocular surface, showed improvement at 4, 8, and 12wk in Groups 1 and 2. In the control group, CI and CS significantly improved after 8 and 12wk, respectively. CI and LMT are associated with increased interleukin-17 (IL-17) levels in tears. Activation of T-cells in patients with DES and MGD leads to an increase in IL-17 level, which results in increased production of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and angiogenic chemokines, resulting in CI and LMT\cite{33-34}. Improvement of CI in Group 2 treated with nano-emulsion cyclosporine formulation compared to that in Group 1 and the control group could be attributed to improved medication compliance. Nano-emulsion cyclosporine formulation is non-toxic and non-irritant and can be easily applied to the eye\cite{35}. Moreover, it produces quick effects. Because of its non-irritating nature, irritation, burning sensation, foreign body sensation, and blurred vision are significantly reduced during topical instillation of cyclosporine nano-emulsion formulation into the eye\cite{36-37}. However, nano-emulsion cyclosporine has a higher solubilization capacity and more thermodynamic stability than
unstable dispersions of suspensions\textsuperscript{[38]}. Overall, nano-emulsion cyclosporine has been suggested to have better permeability and efficacy, which might be attributable to the early improvement of CI in Group 2\textsuperscript{[36,37]}. Higher permeability of cyclosporine nano-emulsion at the conjunctival surface of the eye than that of cyclosporine emulsion may have beneficial effects\textsuperscript{[38]}. Regarding dry eye symptoms, there was a significant improvement in TBUT in the cyclosporine groups compared with that in the control group from baseline to 12 wk of treatment. In addition, STI showed statistically significant improvement in the cyclosporine groups compared with that in the control group at 8 and 12 wk of treatment. This indicates that cyclosporine increases tear volume by controlling inflammation of the lacrimal gland and improves tear film stability by increasing mucin secretion and the number and density of conjunctival goblet cells\textsuperscript{[10,25]}. OSDI is an index reflecting subjective symptoms related to DES; Group 2 showed improvement after 4 wk, whereas Group 1 showed improvement after 12 wk, which might be related to medication compliance and patient’s feeling while using eye drops. Cyclosporine eye drops showed faster efficacy in relieving inflammation of the meibomian gland and ocular surface than that of the control. Moreover, nano-emulsion cyclosporine eye drops presented less ocular sensation discomfort than those of emulsion cyclosporine eye drops.

After treatment, Nano-cyclosporine showed a significant improvement in LLT at 4, 8, and 12 wk of treatment. Conventional cyclosporine showed a significant improvement of LTT in 8 and 12 wk after eye drops treatment. Compared with control and conventional cyclosporine, the use of nano-emulsion cyclosporine eye drops led to an early improvement LLT than conventional cyclosporine or hyaluronate. The efficacy of cyclosporine on LLT has been limitedly investigated. In a previous study, significant improvement in LLT after 3 mo of using conventional cyclosporine was reported\textsuperscript{[39]}. This study also showed significant changes occurred after 8 wk of treatment with conventional cyclosporine. In contrast, the Nano-cyclosporine group showed statistically significant change in LLT after 4 wk. The statistically significant change in LLT was also confirmed compared to the other two groups.

The limitations of this study are as follows: First, the present study does not classify DES associated with MGD using stepwise classification. Depending on the stage of the disease, the extent and rate of response to treatment may differ, which was not considered. Second, the study was conducted to eliminate the influence of eyelid health management on the improvement of symptoms and signs in all three groups to eliminate ethical problems in the control group. Third, patient compliance to all treatments performed in the trial was not investigated. However, despite these limitations, the purpose of this study was to investigate the effectiveness of 0.05% nano-emulsion cyclosporine eye drops in patients with MGD through a prospective study of disease improvement by measuring the reduction of eyelid inflammation.

In conclusion, similar to the findings of several studies, the present study demonstrated that dry eye symptoms and ocular surface inflammation improved by the use of 0.05% cyclosporine eye drops than hyaluronate. And the use of Nano-cyclosporine eye drops led to an early improvement CS, CI, and LLT than conventional cyclosporine or hyaluronate. Therefore, Nano-emulsion cyclosporine formulation has the potential to control inflammation caused by dry eye with MGD, particularly regarding efficacy and compliance of cyclosporine application.
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