• Basic Research •

Characterization of N⁶-methyladenosine long non-coding RNAs in sporadic congenital cataract and age-related cataract

Hong-Fei Ye¹, Xiang Zhang¹, Zhen-Nan Zhao², Ce Zheng¹, Ping Fei¹, Yu Xu¹, Jiao Lyu¹, Ji-Li Chen³, Xun-Xiang Guo⁴, Huang Zhu¹, Pei-Quan Zhao¹

¹Department of Ophthalmology, Xinhua Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai 200092, China

²Department of Ophthalmology and Vision Science, Eye Ear Nose and Throat Hospital of Fudan University, Shanghai 200031, China

³Department of Ophthalmology, Shanghai Shibei Hospital of Jing'an District, Shanghai 200040, China

⁴Key Laboratory of Systems Biomedicine (Ministry of Education), Shanghai Center for Systems Biomedicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200030, China

Co-first authors: Hong-Fei Ye, Xiang Zhang, and Zhen-Nan Zhao **Correspondence to:** Xun-Xiang Guo. Key Laboratory of Systems Biomedicine (Ministry of Education), Shanghai Center for Systems Biomedicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200030, China. xunxiang_guo@sjtu. edu.cn; Huang Zhu. Department of Ophthalmology, Xinhua Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai 200092, China. drzhuhuang@163. com; Pei-Quan Zhao. Department of Ophthalmology, Xinhua Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai 200092, China. zhaopeiquan@xinhuamed. com.cn

Received: 2023-11-15 Accepted: 2024-07-09

Abstract

• **AIM:** To characterize the N⁶-methyladenosine (m⁶A) modification patterns in long non-coding RNAs (IncRNAs) in sporadic congenital cataract (CC) and age-related cataract (ARC).

• **METHODS:** Anterior capsule of the lens were collected from patients with CC and ARC. Methylated RNA immunoprecipitation with next-generation sequencing and RNA sequencing were performed to identify m⁶A-tagged IncRNAs and IncRNAs expression. Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes pathway enrichment analyses and Gene Ontology annotation were used to predict potential functions of the m⁶A-IncRNAs.

• **RESULTS:** Large amount of m⁶A peaks within IncRNA were identified for both CC and ARC, while the level was much higher in ARC (49 870 peaks) than that in CC (18 688 peaks), yet those difference between ARC in younger age group (ARC-1) and ARC in elder age group (ARC-2) was quite slight. A total of 1305 hypermethylated and 1178 hypomethylated IncRNAs, as well as 182 differential expressed IncRNAs were exhibited in ARC compared with CC. On the other hand, 5893 hypermethylated and 5213 hypomethylated IncRNAs, as well as 155 significantly altered IncRNA were identified in ARC-2 compared with ARC-1. Altered IncRNAs in ARC were mainly associated with the organization and biogenesis of intracellular organelles, as well as nucleotide excision repair.

• **CONCLUSION:** Our results for the first time present an overview of the m⁶A methylomes of IncRNA in CC and ARC, providing a solid basis and uncovering a new insight to reveal the potential pathogenic mechanism of CC and ARC.

• **KEYWORDS:** congenital cataract; age-related cataract; N⁶-methyladenosine; RNA modification; long non-coding RNA; epigenetics

DOI:10.18240/ijo.2024.11.02

Citation: Ye HF, Zhang X, Zhao ZN, Zheng C, Fei P, Xu Y, Lyu J, Chen JL, Guo XX, Zhu H, Zhao PQ. Characterization of N⁶methyladenosine long non-coding RNAs in sporadic congenital cataract and age-related cataract. *Int J Ophthalmol* 2024;17(11):1973-1986

INTRODUCTION

E pigenetics is defined as the heritable alteration in genome function in absence of changing DNA sequence. It explains the long-term intersection of genetics and environments on the susceptibility in human diseases^[1]. With the rapid development and prevalence of second-generation sequencing technology and bioinformatics, wide range of studies have demonstrated the role of epigenetics in aging as well as a variety of multifactorial diseases, including vascular, neurodegenerative, autoimmune diseases, and cancer^[2-3]. In the field of ophthalmology, limited but growing evidence suggested an epigenetic foundation in several ocular disorders^[4-5].

Age-related cataract (ARC) is one of the major causes of vision impairment that accounts for approximately 50% of the blindness among elderly population worldwide^[6]. Currently. there were studies demonstrated that the pathogenesis of ARC was controlled by epigenetic regulation^[7]. Some crucial genes, which encode major structural proteins, antioxidant enzymes, or chaperones of crystalline lens, are altered in expression levels caused by DNA methylation or histone modification, the two principal patterns of epigenetic mechanisms^[8]. Meanwhile, chromatin-remodeling participates in abnormal differentiation of lens cells, resulting in cataract. In addition, certain noncoding RNAs suppress epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and lens fibrosis, preventing cataractogenesis^[9]. Repression of long non-coding RNA (lncRNA)-myocardial infarction-associated transcript (MIAT) resulted in abnormal growth and migration of lens epithelial cells (LECs)^[10], and the inhibition of lncRNA-HOX antisense intergenic RNA (HOTAIR) repressed cell viability, proliferation, and EMT of LECs^[11], both of which participate in the pathogenesis of ARC and posterior capsular opacification.

On the other hand, congenital cataract (CC), characterized by its onset of lens opacification at the birth and ranked as the leading cause of medicable childhood blindness, is considered primarily to arise from genetic mutations. While Liu *et al*^[12] for the first time explained the pathogenesis of CC from the epigenetic perspective, whose study revealed that the methylation level of five core genes changed in idiopathic CC patients, leading to disfunction of cytoskeleton and intercellular junctions, eventually causing sporadic CC. Based on the above conclusions, the potential epigenetic mechanisms on cataract need to be further verified.

As a critical layer of epigenetic regulations of gene expression, post-transcriptional modifications of RNA have drawn great attention in recent years due to the advances of the sequencing technology of methylated RNA immunoprecipitation with nextgeneration sequencing (MeRIP-seq)^[13]. N⁶-methyladenosine (m⁶A) is the most prevalent RNA modification in messenger RNAs (mRNAs) and lncRNAs in higher eukaryotes, including methylation at the 6^{th} position nitrogen atom of adenine (A) of RNA. Clinical relevance of m⁶A RNA modification has been reported in some age-related diseases^[14]. However for cataract, only three studies have involved the role of m⁶A modification^[15-17], and only one study revealed the profile of m⁶A modifications to circular RNAs (circRNAs) in ARC^[15]. Little is known about the m⁶A modified mRNAs and lncRNAs in ARC, as well as the uncovered m⁶A modification pattern in CC.

Increasing evidence indicates that lncRNAs participate in cataract development and the progression of EMT in LECs^[18]. Certain lncRNAs, including *MIAT*, *TUG1* and *KCNQ1OT1*, act as cataract-specific biomarkers and their silencing can impact on the proliferation of LECs and EMT. Using microarray analysis and high-throughput sequencing, differentially expressed profiles of lncRNAs were identified between normal LECs and EMT.

Since mounting studies support the notion that the interaction between m⁶A methylation and lncRNAs is involved in EMT^[19] and functions in the pathogenesis of both ARC and $CC^{[8,12]}$, figuring out the m⁶A profiles of lncRNAs would be valuable to further reveal the potential pathogenic mechanism of ARC and CC. Herein, we for the first time comprehensively analyzed the profile of lncRNAs m⁶A methylation of anterior lens capsule in ARC and CC patients, as well as ARC in different age groups, in order to acquire their potential functional implications. Using MeRIP-seq and RNA Sequencing (RNA-seq), we order to identify the m⁶A modification patterns, the m⁶Amodified lncRNAs, as well as the differentially expressed lncRNAs among LEC samples between ARC and CC, and among different age groups. Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes pathway (KEGG) enrichment analyses and Gene Ontology (GO) annotation were also performed to predict potential functions of the m⁶A-lncRNAs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethical Approval This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Xinhua Hospital Affiliated to Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine (XHEC-XHYY-2020-016) and carried out in accordance with the principles of the Helsinki Declaration.

Acquisition of Biological Samples Seventeen anterior lens capsule samples were collected and divided into three groups: CC group, ARC in younger age group (ARC-1), and ARC in elder age group (ARC-2). For CC group, all the patients suffered from sporadic CC without familial history. Patients with any other congenital ocular diseases or trauma, a family history of hereditary CC or viral infection during gestation, or long-term medication, or radiation exposure that increases the risk of cataract were all excluded. After continuous circular capsulorhexis (CCC), routine lensectomy, posterior vitrectorhexis and anterior vitrectomy were conducted with or without intraocular lens (IOL) implantation. For ARC samples, this study focused on ARC with cortical type because it is the major form of ARC. Patients with complicated cataracts due to high myopia, trauma, uveitis or glaucoma, and patients with systematic diseases, such as hypertension and diabetes, were all excluded. In ARC-1 group, patients were under the age of 70y, and in ARC-2 group were above the age of 80y. Each ARC patient underwent conventional cataract phacoemulsification and IOL implantation. For all the samples, the anterior capsule sample was collected into cryopreservation tube and stored in -80°C immediately after the procedure of CCC. All the patients' information is demonstrated in Table 1.

RNA Extraction and Quality Control Total RNA was isolated from each anterior lens capsule sample by TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA concentration was identified by NanoDrop ND-1000 at 260/280 nm, and the OD260/ OD280 ratio of RNA in all the samples ranged from 1.8 to 2.1. Total RNA quality was estimated by the ratio of the 18S/28S ribosomal band intensities in an ethidium bromide-containing 1% agarose gel after electrophoresis.

High-Throughput m⁶A and RNA Sequencing MeRIP-seq and RNA-seq service were conducted by Cloudseq Biotech Inc. (Shanghai, China) in accordance with a previously reported procedure^[20]. In brief, m⁶A RNA immunoprecipitation was performed using the GenSeq[™] m6A-MeRIP Kit (GenSeq Inc., China) by following the manufacturer's instructions. Both the m⁶A immunoprecipitation samples and the input samples without immunoprecipitation were applied for RNAseq library generation with NEBNext Ultra II Directional RNA Library Prep Kit (New England Biolabs, Inc., USA). Bioanalyzer 2100 system (Agilent Technologies, Inc., USA) was used to evaluate the library quality. Library sequencing was conducted using an Illumina Hiseq instrument with 150 bp paired-end reads. In final, raw data of MeRIP-seq and RNAseq have been uploaded to Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database (accession number GSE221970). Figure 1 presents an graphical flowchart of the key steps for peak annotation processes.

Data Analysis Briefly, paired-end reads were harvested from Illumina NovaSeq 6000 sequencer and quality control were done by Q30. Low quality reads were abandoned by cutadapt software (v1.9.3) after 3' adaptor-trimming. Then, clean reads of all libraries were aligned to the reference genome by Hisat2 software (v2.0.4). Methylated sites on RNAs (peaks) and differentially methylated sites were respectively identified by MACS software and by diffReps. These peaks recognized by both softwares overlapping with lncRNA were figured out and chosen by home-made scripts. Identified m⁶A peaks were subjected to motif enrichment analysis using HOMER^[21]. Differentially expressed lncRNAs were identified according to P-value and fold change (FC). When the P-value was less than 0.05 and the FC was larger than 2 between two groups, IncRNAs were defined as significantly differentially expressed. Functional Enrichment Analysis The GO project provides a controlled, species-independent vocabulary to describe gene and gene products attributes in any organism, which

Int J Ophthalmol,	Vol. 17, No. 11,	Nov. 18, 2024	www.ijo.cn
Tel: 8629-82245172	8629-82210956	Email: ijopre	ess@163.com

Table 1 Clinical characteristics of CC and ARC	patients
--	----------

Samples	Sex	Age (y)
CC		
No.1	Male	3
No.2	Male	9
No.3	Female	5
No.4	Female	8
No.5	Female	7
ARC-1		
No.1	Male	60
No.2	Male	65
No.3	Male	61
No.4	Female	63
No.5	Female	55
No.6	Female	67
ARC-2		
No.1	Male	83
No.2	Male	90
No.3	Female	83
No.4	Female	81
No.5	Female	86
No.6	Female	83

CC: Congenital cataract; ARC: Age-related cataract; ARC-1: ARC patients under the age of 70; ARC-2: ARC patients above the age of 80.

covers three associated domains: biological process (BP), cellular component and molecular function (MF). KEGG pathway analysis further investigates the biological functions and molecular interactions of gene products. In this study, functional enrichment analysis was presented for the differentially methylated lncRNAs. P≤0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Statistical Analysis Data from three or more independent experiments were presented as the mean±standard deviation (SD). The negative binomial distribution were performed by diffReps software for analyzing differentially methylated sites on lncRNAs peaks between ARC and CC groups, as well as bewteen ARC-1 and ARC-2 groups. For differentially expressed lncRNAs and functional enrichment analysis, statistical analysis was conducted by SPSS software (Version 22.0, NY, USA) and GraphPad Prism 5.0 software (Graph-Pad Software, CA, USA). Paired Student's t-tests were performed between between ARC and CC groups, as well as bewteen ARC-1 and ARC-2 groups to compare differentially expressed lncRNAs. P<0.05 were defined as the threshold for significant differences.

RESULTS

Landscape of m⁶A Modification Patterns in ARC and CC MeRIP-seq and RNA-seq were performed in LECs from biological replicates from the CC (n=5) and ARC (n=12)groups, and the ARC group was subdivided into younger age group (ARC-1, n=6) and elder age group (ARC-2, n=6) as



Figure 1 The bioinformatics pipeline for m⁶A methylated lncRNAs 1) Paired-end reads were harvested from DNBSEQ-T7 sequencer, and were quality controlled by Q30. After 3' adaptor-trimming and low quality reads removing by cutadapt software. The reads were aligned to the reference genome with Hisat2 software. Methylated m⁶A peaks were identified with MACS software, and differentially methylated m⁶A regions were defined with DiffReps software. 2) The coordinates of lncRNA mature transcripts were fully collected from three well-known transcriptome databases (NCBI, UCSC, Ensembl). The overlapped regions between differntially methylated m⁶A regions and lncRNA transcripts were identified with Bedtools, while these lncRNAs with overlapped regions were defined as "differentially m⁶A methylated lncRNA". 3) The differentially m⁶A lncRNAs were annotated as 5 types (intronic, antisense, sense-overlapping, bidirectional and intergenic) according to the genomic location relative to nearby protein-coding genes with in-house scripts written in Perl&SQL. lncRNA: Long non-coding RNA; m⁶A: N⁶-methyladenosine.



Figure 2 Overview of m⁶A modification patterns within lncRNAs A, B: Venn diagram showing the overlap of m⁶A peaks within lncRNAs in CC and ARC groups, and in ARC-1 and ARC-2 groups. C, D: The overlap of gene transcripts within lncRNAs in CC and ARC groups, and in ARC-1 and ARC-2 groups. E, F: The number of m⁶A peaks per lncRNA between CC and ARC groups, and between ARC-1 and ARC-2 groups. G: Consensus sequences in CC and ARC, ARC-1 and ARC-2 respectively. m⁶A: N⁶-methyladenosine; lncRNA: Long non-coding RNA; CC: Congenital cataract; ARC: Age-related cataract; ARC-1: ARC patients under the age of 70; ARC-2: ARC patients above the age of 80.

mentioned in methods part. As the Venn diagrams indicated, the m⁶A peaks in CC (6881+11 807) were markedly less than those in ARC (38 063+11 807). A total of 11 807 m⁶A peaks within lncRNAs were overlapped between CC and ARC tissues, representing 7275 gene transcripts. Whereas 6881 peaks were identified in CC but absent in ARC, and 38 063 peaks in ARC but absent in CC, corresponding to 528 and 4797 gene transcripts respectively in CC and ARC (Figure 2A, 2C). By contrast, difference of m⁶A peaks between ARC-1 (17 684+14 298) and ARC-2 (17 988+14 298) were slight, with 17 684 m⁶A peaks found in ARC-1 but absent in ARC-2, and 17 988 peaks found in ARC-2 but absent in ARC-1 (Figure 2B). A total 14 298 overlapping peaks were confirmed, representing 8173 gene transcripts (Figure 2B, 2D). The high nonoverlapping percentages of m⁶A peaks and their gene transcripts indicate that the overall m⁶A modification patterns between CC and ARC were quite different. In the contrary, those between ARC-1 and ARC-2 were not remarkable.

Furthermore, the numbers of m⁶A peaks varied among the modified lncRNAs. Most of them contained a single peak, and approximately 20% of the methylated transcripts contained two m⁶A peaks per lncRNA, whereas a small number of them contained three or more. There were no significant differences when compared either bewteen CC and ARC or bewteen



Figure 3 Landscape of m⁶A-modified IncRNAs in lens epithelial cells A, B: Hierarchical clustering analysis showed that there were significant differences in the m⁶A methylation patterns within IncRNAs between CC and ARC and not so dramatical between ARC-1 and ARC-2. C, D: Distribution profiles on chromosomes of m⁶A sites within IncRNAs between CC and ARC and between ARC-1 and ARC-2. E, F: The number of m⁶A peaks within IncRNA on each chromosome between CC and ARC groups and between ARC-1 and ARC-2. G: Distributions of genomic origins of differentially distributed m⁶A IncRNAs. m⁶A: N⁶-methyladenosine; IncRNA: Long non-coding RNA; CC: Congenital cataract; ARC: Age-related cataract; ARC-1: ARC patients under the age of 70; ARC-2: ARC patients above the age of 80.

ARC-1 and ARC-2 (Figure 2E, 2F). Motif analysis was conducted by DREME software (version 5.3.0). A total of 2000 peaks within lncRNAs with the highest scores ($-10 \times \log 10$, *P*) obtained from three biological replicates (1000 peaks per replicate) revealed consensus sequences (RRACH) in CC and ARC respectively (Figure 2G). Compared to CC samples, the top consensus motifs in m⁶A peaks within lncRNAs were CCCAG and UUUCU in ARC samples.

Abnormal m⁶A-Modified lncRNAs and m⁶A Peak Distribution Pattern in LECs of CC and ARC Abnormal m⁶A-modified lncRNAs were identified in LEC samples. Totally 1305 hypermethylated and 1178 hypomethylated lncRNAs were identified with the threshold of $|log_2FC|>1$ and P<0.05 in ARC group compared with CC group, and 5893 hypermethylated and 5213 hypomethylated lncRNAs in ARC-2 compared with ARC-1.

Hierarchical clustering analysis was done to present the m⁶A methylation patterns within lncRNAs between CC and ARC, as well as between ARC-1 and ARC-2 (Figure 3A, 3B). To acquire the distribution profiles, all differentially methylated m⁶A sites within lncRNAs were mapped to chromosomes (Figure 3C, 3D). As Figure 3E indicated, the dysregulated m⁶A peaks were transcribed from nearly all chromosomes, while chr1 (829), chr12 (659), chr11 (605), chr2 (594), and chr3 (582) are the top five chromosomes harboring the most differentially

methylated m⁶A sites. Compared to that in CC samples, the number of altered m⁶A peaks was much more in ARC samples (Figure 3E), and same tendency was also found in ARC-2 group when compared with ARC-1 group (Figure 3F).

To be more detailedly, we found 5098 significantly hypermethylated m⁶A peaks and 4195 significantly hypomethylated m⁶A peaks in ARC, compared with those in CC. On the other hand, 23 930 significantly hypermethylated m⁶A peaks and 20 570 significantly hypomethylated m⁶A peaks were identified in ARC-2 compared with those in ARC-1 (FC \geq 2 and $P\leq$ 0.05). Table 2 presented the top ten up and down methylated m⁶A sites within lncRNAs with the highest fold change values between CC and ARC, as well as between ARC-1 and ARC-2. Distributions of genomic origins of differentially distributed m⁶A lncRNAs were examined, which demonstrated that most significantly m⁶A peaks were encoded by exon senseoverlapping, followed by intergenic as shown in Figure 3G.

Analysis of Differentially Expressed IncRNAs Among LEC Samples In the RNA-seq data set (m⁶A-seq input library), we found significant differences in the overall lncRNA expression patterns between CC and ARC samples. The results of the lncRNA expression profile analysis showed that compared with CC group, 182 lncRNA expressions were significantly altered in the ARC group, of which 134 lncRNA expressions were upregulated and 48 lncRNA expressions were downregulated

Table 2 The top 20 differently me	thylated m ⁶ A peaks
-----------------------------------	---------------------------------

Gene name	Regulation	Fold change	Chromosome	Peak length	Peak start	Peak end	Р
CC vs ARC	-						
RP11-342M1.3	Up	2949.5	chr1	29	43300351	43300380	<0.001
WDR27	Up	2717.5	chr6	242	170066512	170066754	< 0.001
IGFL1P1	Up	2212.3	chr19	110	46700470	46700580	< 0.001
PSMD14	Up	1949	chr2	141	162241941	162242082	<0.001
RP11-44N11.2	Up	1935.6	chr8	651	123792209	123792860	< 0.001
MVP	Up	1842.2	chr16	165	29845255	29845420	< 0.001
ZNF496	Up	1746.9	chr1	339	247461641	247461980	< 0.001
FAXDC2	Up	1626.8	chr5	339	154199701	154200040	< 0.001
CECR7	Up	1561.7	chr22	56	17541484	17541540	< 0.001
LOC102723927	Up	1559.9	chr2	46	242914661	242914707	< 0.001
MIP	Down	1643964.5	chr12	355	56846581	56846936	< 0.001
CTAGE3P	Down	4057.8	chr13	479	52483021	52483500	<0.001
ANKIB1	Down	2984.1	chr7	58	92025182	92025240	< 0.001
AP3S1	Down	2895.4	chr5	204	115239581	115239785	<0.001
RP11-690C23.4	Down	2863	chr1	146	246674738	246674884	<0.001
SCPEP1	Down	2846.9	chr17	350	55064450	55064800	<0.001
CTD-2319I12.3	Down	2754	chr17	152	58193160	58193312	<0.001
HSPA8P9	Down	2693.8	chr3	118	137600961	137601079	<0.001
TSC22D1	Down	2614.1	chr13	319	45112461	45112780	<0.001
OR7E100P	Down	2601.5	chr3	467	112243581	112244048	<0.001
ARC-1 vs ARC-2							
PRICKLE2-AS2	Up	3659.6	chr3	177	64091394	64091571	<0.001
SH3BP5-AS1	Up	2840.6	chr3	399	15297861	15298260	< 0.001
LOC100133461	Up	2804.4	chr4	81	3679501	3679582	<0.001
AC105760.3	Up	2671.7	chr2	268	237957341	237957609	< 0.001
LYST	Up	2272.8	chr1	619	235991121	235991740	< 0.001
C3orf52	Up	2220.9	chr3	639	111847701	111848340	< 0.001
RP11-83A24.2	Up	2164.7	chr4	117	140335061	140335178	< 0.001
IRF7	Up	2143.4	chr11	198	614782	614980	< 0.001
RP11-173G21.1	Up	2127	chr9	419	98056021	98056440	< 0.001
RP11-90L20.2	Up	2064.3	chr1	122	201693921	201694043	< 0.001
FAM90A24P	Down	7924.8	chr8	359	7877261	7877620	< 0.001
RP11-61F12.1	Down	5473.7	chr16	399	84628501	84628900	< 0.001
AC025811.1	Down	4800.6	chr19	33	22640607	22640640	< 0.001
BAI2	Down	4037.9	chr1	379	32192861	32193240	< 0.001
L3HYPDH	Down	3091.4	chr14	395	59941445	59941840	< 0.001
TRAPPC9	Down	3054.4	chr8	519	140913781	140914300	< 0.001
WDR60	Down	2945.9	chr7	93	158697881	158697974	<0.001
LINC01105	Down	2889.2	chr2	579	6118541	6119120	<0.001
BCYRN1	Down	2870.9	chr2	399	47560081	47560480	<0.001
RP11-276H1.2	Down	2672.4	chr16	37	12187483	12187520	< 0.001

CC: Congenital cataract; ARC: Age-related cataract; ARC: Age-related cataract; ARC-1: ARC patients under the age of 70; ARC-2: ARC patients above the age of 80; m⁶A: N⁶-methyladenosine.

(FC>2, *P*<0.05). On the other hand, between ARC-1 and ARC-2 groups, 155 lncRNA expressions were significantly altered in the ARC-2 group, of which 92 lncRNA expressions were upregulated and 63 lncRNA expressions were downregulated.

The top 20 altered genes were listed in Table 3. Figure 4A, 4C, 4E were scatter plots, hierarchical clustering and volcano of the RNA-seq data between CC and ARC, and Figure 4B, 4D, 4F are those between ARC-1 and ARC-2.



Figure 4 Overall IncRNA expression patterns between groups Scatter plots (A, B), hierarchical clustering (C, D), and volcano (E, F) of the RNA-seq data between CC and ARC and between ARC-1 and ARC-2. IncRNA: Long non-coding RNA; CC: Congenital cataract; ARC: Age-related cataract; ARC-1: ARC patients under the age of 70; ARC-2: ARC patients above the age of 80.

Functional Annotation of the Distinctly Distributed m⁶A IncRNAs To explore the physiological and pathological significance of protein coding genes harboring altered methylated m⁶A sites in CC and ARC, functional enrichment analyses were performed to select differentially methylated m⁶A sites-contained lncRNA-associated genes. As GO analysis demonstrated, hypermethylated lncRNA-associated genes in ARC were significantly associated with organelle organization, cellular component organization or biogenesis and cellular component organization (BP category), with intracellular, organelle and intracellular organelle (cellular component category), and with protein binding, binding and heterocyclic compound binding (MF category) compared with those in CC (Figure 5A-5C); while the hypomethylated lncRNAassociated genes in ARC were significantly associated with cellular localization, macromolecule localization and protein localization (BP category), with interacellular, organelle and cytoplasm (cellular component category), and with protein binding, ion binding and protein-membrane adaptor activity (MF category) compared with those in CC (Figure 5D-5F). On the other hand between ARC-1 and ARC-2 samples (Figure 5G-5I), upregulated peaks were in a significant correlation with cellular macromolecule metabolic process, cellular metabolic process and organelle organization (BP category), with intracellular, cytoplasm and organelle (cellular component category), and with protein binding, enzyme binding and binding (MF category) in ARC-2 rather than ARC-1, while downregulated peaks in ARC-2 were in a significant correlation with cellular metabolic process, cellular macromolecular metabolic process and cellular component organization or biogenesis (BP category), with intracellular, cytoplasm and cytosol (cellular component category), and with protein binding, binding and enzyme binding (MF category) compared with ARC-1 (Figure 5J-5L).

Markedly, KEGG analysis revealed the result of unique CC and ARC. Hypermethylated genes in ARC/CC were significantly associated with nucleotide excision repair and base excision repair (Figure 6A) and hypomethylated genes were associated with central carbon metabolism in cancer and FoxO signaling pathway (Figure 6B). While in ARC-2/ARC-1, hypermethylated genes were significantly associated with RNA transport and spliceosome (Figure 6C) and hypomethylated genes were associated with spliceosome and lysosome (Figure 6D).

Conjoint Analysis of MeRIP-Seq & RNA-Seq in CC and ARC Tissues We comprehensively analyzed MeRIP-seq and RNA-seq data in CC and ARC to explore the significance of m⁶A modification. The conjoint analysis revealed 1 hypermethylated m⁶A peak in lncRNAs that was dramatically enriched (1 hyper-up) or subdued (0 hyper-down), and 5 differentially lncRNAs in ARC group that were significantly upregulated (5 hypo-up) or subdued (0 hypo-down).

DISCUSSION

Epigenetic modifications, especially for DNA methylation, have been demonstrated to involve in the pathogenesis of cataract in recent years, not only for ARC^[22], but also for

Table 3 The top 20 differently expressed IncRNAs

Gene ID	Regulation	Fold change	Locus	IncRNA source	IncRNA length	Relationship	Р
CC vs ARC							
XLOC_009474	Up	155.927	chr11:65265232-65278498	TCONS	3579	Intergenic	0.03385
ENSG00000231971	Up	7.58548	chr6:134749377-134846048	Ensembl	1360	Intergenic	0.0377
XLOC_I2_001324	Up	5.09094	chr1:222645270-222652914	TUCP	5492	Intergenic	0.042
ENSG00000250303	Up	4.50709	chr11:112141471-112233257	Ensembl	2749	Intergenic	0.0499
ENSG00000273117	Up	4.28096	chr7:155087627-155089251	Ensembl	1624	Bidirectional	0.03035
ENSG00000273117	Up	4.28096	chr7:155087627-155089251	Ensembl	1624	Bidirectional	0.03035
ENSG00000273117	Up	4.28096	chr7:155087627-155089251	Ensembl	1624	Bidirectional	0.03035
ENSG00000273117	Up	4.28096	chr7:155087627-155089251	Ensembl	1624	Bidirectional	0.03035
XLOC_013852	Up	1.88636	chr21:9825743-9826389	TCONS	557	Intergenic	0.03025
RNF141	Up	1.84059	chr11:10533224-10562777	UCSC_knowngene	4064	Exon sense-overlapping	0.0462
ENSG00000214691	Down	-9.44823	chr2:42104213-42121179	Ensembl	1803	Intergenic	0.035
XLOC_008434	Down	-9.40672	chr10:30248093-30249155	TCONS	702	Intergenic	0.01895
XLOC_001642	Down	-9.34008	chr2:121334544-121362263	TCONS	788	Intergenic	0.03485
AX747631	Down	-9.17522	chr1:18434239-18704977	UCSC_knowngene	1111	Intron sense-overlapping	0.0403
AX747631	Down	-9.17522	chr1:18434239-18704977	UCSC_knowngene	1111	Intron sense-overlapping	0.0403
XLOC_007279	Down	-9.12916	chr9:7924793-7961234	TCONS	5199	Intergenic	0.02045
ENSG00000244567	Down	-5.04468	chr2:208686013-208687493	Ensembl	1262	Exon sense-overlapping	0.0125
ENSG00000261449	Down	-4.61585	chr8:42009289-42010281	Ensembl	992	Bidirectional	0.0322
ENSG00000261449	Down	-4.61585	chr8:42009289-42010281	Ensembl	992	Bidirectional	0.0322
ENSG00000261449	Down	-4.6158	chr8:42009289-42010281	Ensembl	992	Bidirectional	0.0322
ARC-1 vs ARC-2							
XLOC_12_002994_2	Up	inf	chr2:20314685-20317929	TUCP	1161	Intergenic	0.0489
XLOC_12_007237	Up	inf	chr4:9569104-9648636	TUCP	487	Intergenic	0.0171
XLOC_I2_011048	Up	inf	chr1:38495003-38495216	TUCP	1369	Intergenic	0.01525
uc.14	Up	inf	chr16:29262828-30215631	UCR	213	Intergenic	0.0301
AF072097	Up	inf	chr16:29262828-30215631	UCSC_knowngene	739	Natural antisense	0.0287
AF072097	Up	inf	chr1:176432306-176814735	UCSC_knowngene	739	Natural antisense	0.0287
PAPPA2	Up	15.8823	chr1:176432306-176814735	UCSC_knowngene	7092	Exon sense-overlapping	0.0283
PAPPA2	Up	15.8823	chrX:73012039-73072588	UCSC_knowngene	7092	Exon sense-overlapping	0.0283
ENSG00000229807	Up	2.27408	chrX:139863223-139866829	Ensembl	19275	Intergenic	<0.001
ciRS-7	Up	1.13598	chr6:134749377-134846048	IncRNAdb	1502	Natural antisense	<0.001
ENSG00000231971	Down	-6.66335	chr4:128702975-128765195	Ensembl	1360	Intergenic	0.0315
ENSG00000261668	Down	-6.3444	chr1:234507931-234519795	Ensembl	3843	Intergenic	0.0441
LOC101927765	Down	-5.82173	chr1:234507931-234519795	RefSeq	614	Bidirectional	0.0438
LOC101927765	Down	-5.82173	chr1:234507931-234519795	RefSeq	614	Bidirectional	0.0438
LOC101927765	Down	-5.82173	chr17:3710041-3712148	RefSeq	614	Bidirectional	0.0438
ENSG00000262758	Down	-2.61997	chr6:81176674-81178797	Ensembl	2107	Exon sense-overlapping	0.00505
ENSG00000260645	Down	-2.53679	chr9:127115744-127177723	Ensembl	2123	Intergenic	0.014
LOC100129034	Down	-2.52632	chr9:127115744-127177723	RefSeq	5714	Natural antisense	0.01265
LOC100129034	Down	-2.52632	chr2:20314685-20317929	RefSeq	5714	Natural antisense	0.01265
CD24	Down	-1.98	chrY:21034386-21239433	RefSeq	2336	Exon sense-overlapping	0.0474

CC: Congenital cataract; ARC: Age-related cataract; ARC-1: ARC patients under the age of 70; ARC-2: ARC patients above the age of 80; IncRNA: Long non-coding RNA.

cataract secondary to pars plana vitrectomy^[23], diabetic cataract^[24], high myopia induced cataract^[25], or cataract complicated with peudoexfoliation syndrome^[26]. For ARC, DNA hypermethylation of lens structural protein (CRYAA),

antioxidant genes (OGG1), anti-aging gene (Klotho), DNA repair gene (MGMT, ERCC6, WRN), are all concerned with the etiology of ARC^[27-30]. On the other hand, although CC is considered to derived from high-risk genetic mutations,



Figure 5 The GO project to describe gene and gene product attributes A-C: Major GO terms significantly enriched for hypermethylated lncRNA-associated genes in ARC compared with those in CC; D-F: For hypomethylated lncRNA-associated genes in ARC compared with those in CC; G-I: Hypermethylated lncRNA-associated genes in ARC-2 compared with those in ARC-1; J-L: Hypomethylated lncRNA-associated genes in ARC-2 compared with those in ARC-1. GO: Gene ontology; lncRNA: Long non-coding RNA; CC: Congenital cataract; ARC: Age-related cataract; ARC-1: ARC patients under the age of 70; ARC-2: ARC patients above the age of 80.

metabolic and drug-induced disorders, and intrauterine infection^[31], Liu *et al*'s^[12] research revealed altered DNA methylation levels of five core genes in idiopathic CC patients, which for the first time proved that epigenetics involves in the pathogenesis of CC. Kroeze *et al*^[32] demonstrated that methylation suppress promotor activity of γ B-crystallin and γ D-crystallin, causing defects in lens development, which provides a novel etiology for the lesions of crystalline lens of early stage from epigenetic perspective.

Similar to DNA methylation, there are a variety of reversible modifications on RNA, among which m⁶A modification is the most prevalent one and has gradually gained attention as a new epigenetic event recently. High-throughput genomic technologies have revealed m⁶A modifications and expression changes in mRNA as well as noncoding RNAs (microRNA, circRNA, lncRNA), and m⁶A has been implicated in mRNA stability, translation, splicing and miRNA processing, altogether exerting regulatory control



Figure 6 KEGG pathways for pathway analysis A: Hypermethylated IncRNA-associated genes in ARC compared with those in CC; B: Hypomethylated IncRNA-associated genes in ARC compared with those in CC; C: Hypermethylated IncRNA-associated genes in ARC-2 compared with those in ARC-1; D: Hypomethylated IncRNA-associated genes in ARC-2 compared with those in ARC-1; D: Hypomethylated IncRNA-associated genes in ARC-2 compared with those in ARC-1. KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes pathways; IncRNA: Long non-coding RNA; CC: Congenital cataract; ARC: Age-related cataract; ARC-1: ARC patients under the age of 70; ARC-2: ARC patients above the age of 80.

over a biological process^[14]. However, the role of this new epigenetic modification in cataract has only been reported in three studies. Li *et al*^[15] for the first time investigated the</sup>m⁶A state for circRNA in ARC, indicating that the dynamic characteristic of m⁶A modification in LECs is associated with ARC pathogenesis. Wen *et al*^[16] assessed the m⁶A methylome and gene expression in the anterior capsule of the lens for high myopia patients, proving that the upregulation of m⁶A methylation regulates the composition of the extracellular matrix through encoding protein, which may ultimately change fundus anatomy. In addition, Yang et al's^[17] research focused on diabetic cataract, demonstrating a higher level of m⁶A modification in high glucose-induced LECs, which provided a potential pathogenic insight for diabetic cataract. Whereas more researches are still needed to clarify roles of m⁶A in the pathogenesis of a series of cataract.

In this study, we for the first time showed the m⁶A modification pattern for lncRNA in CC and ARC samples. We found that the amount m⁶A lncRNA modification in CC and ARC were quite different, while this difference between ARC with young (ARC-1) and elder age (ARC-2) was slight, though increasing age has been principally associated with lens opacities^[33]. A much higher total m⁶A level, more than 31 182 m⁶A peaks and 4271 gene transcripts, were identified in ARC samples compared with CC samples. In the contrary, the nonoverlapping percentages of m⁶A peaks and their gene transcripts between ARC in different age groups (ARC-1 and ARC-2) were significantly lower. As a qualitative observation, hierarchical clustering analysis also indicated these remarkable differences in the m⁶A methylation patterns within lncRNAs between CC and ARC, while not so dramatical between ARC-1 and ARC-2 (Figure 3A, 3B). In addition, the motif analysis revealed different top consensus motifs in m⁶A sites within lncRNAs between CC and ARC. Whereas on the level of RNA, the amount of m⁶A modified lncRNAs was much higher in ARC than that in CC, which is statistically significant either for hypermethylated or hypomethylated lncRNAs. When comparing between different age groups, m⁶A modified lncRNAs were much more in ARC of elder age than those in ARC of younger age. These all together demonstrated epigenetic effects on both CC and ARC, while the extent is drastically differed. Compared to CC, these epigenetic effects were much more distinct in ARC samples, which reveals a cumulative effect of environments on the body susceptibility to stress, diseases and injury. Functional experiments are needed to further verify biological relevance of certain lncRNAs and their regulatory roles and specific pathways on the pathogenesis of cataract *in vivo* and *in vitro* models.

Concerning CC, there are a number of well accepted pathogenesis^[34-35], including genetic mutations of high-risk, metabolic diseases, drug-induced disorders and intrauterine infections. Nevertheless, most of the unilateral and approximately 25%-30% bilateral CC are idiopathic^[36], and for patients of these kinds, the etiologies still remain uncertain. Liu *et al*^[12] for the first time reveals changed DNA methylation</sup>level of five core genes, namely TUBA1A, TUBA1C, TUBB4B, ACTN4, and ACTG1, which encode proteins in tight or gap junction pathways. These changes lead to disfunction of cytoskeleton and intercellular junctions. The present research provides a novel epigenetic perspective for the pathogenic mechanism of CC, which can explain the long-term efferts of intrauterine and external environments on the susceptibility of idiopathic CC. Similar to DNA methylation, our present study for the first time proves the existence of RNA methylation in CC patients. Although the extent of m⁶A modification is less than that in ARC, it is still reasonable that epigenetics in RNA level also participates in the pathogenesis of CC.

lncRNAs are defined as RNA transcripts longer than 200 nucleotides with limited or no coding potential. According to the modes of action, functions as well as genomic localizations, lncRNAs are divided into enhancer lncRNAs, intronic lncRNAs, intergenic lncRNAs, bidirectional lncRNAs, sense-overlapping lncRNAs and antisense transcripts. Wide range of researches have proved that lncRNAs involve in the regulation of numerous biological processes, namely cell differentiation, stem cell maintenance, chromatin modification, splicing, transcription, translation, transport and degradation of mRNA^[37-38]. lncRNAs also participate in regulation of gene expression, respectively at pre-transcriptional, transcriptional and post-transcriptional level^[39]. Concerning cataract, studies revealed large amount of differentially expressed lncRNAs in ARC and posterior capsular opacification using highthroughput sequencing and bioinformatics comparing with normal eyes, which indicated potential roles of lncRNAs in the pathogenesis of cataract^[40-41]. Specifically, high expression of lncRNA-MIAT was found in both the plasma and aqueous humor of ARC patients, and lncRNA-MIAT repression resulted in abnormal growth and migration of LECs through miR-150-5p/Akt axis^[10]. These both demonstrated that lncRNA-MIAT can be identified as a specific biomarker of ARC. Moreover, it is suggested that lncRNAs might shed new light on the treatment and prevention of cataract.

In recent decades, emerging evidence has proved the functions of lncRNAs after m⁶A modification. m⁶A modification of certain lncRNA changes the structure of the lncRNA and the accessibility for proteins, mediates subsequent gene transcriptional regulation^[42], affects mRNA precursor splicing^[43-44], as well as regulates lncRNA stability and translation^[45-46]. These have been well recognized in human cancers^[47], while seldom have been reported in other diseases. Our present study for the first time identified m⁶A modification pattern for lncRNA in both CC and ARC samples, revealing a potential impact for m⁶A-modified lncRNAs in the pathogenic process of CC and ARC. To uncover the functions of m6A lncRNAs in CC and ARC, functional enrichment analysis of differentially methylated lncRNAs was performed. GO enrichment analysis revealed that compared with CC, both hypermethylated and hypomethylated lncRNAs in ARC were mainly linked to intracellular organelles as well as their organization and biogenesis. Recently, a new insight^[48-49] has been arisen that crystalline lens achieves optimal transparency by timely degradation of intracellular organelle, hence it is indicated that m⁶A methylation may disrupt the homeostasis of intracellular organelle degradation, participating in the process of cataractogenesis. Meanwhile, through the KEGG pathway analysis, highly methylated lncRNAs in ARC were mainly associated with nucleotide excision repair (NER) in our present study. It is well accepted that oxidative stress leads to the damage of mitochondrial DNA and nuclear DNA, which plays an essential role in the pathogenesis of ARC^[50]. In physiologic conditions, most oxidative DNA lesions are repaired by base excision repair, NER and double-strand break repair pathways, and NER mainly copes with damages caused by ultraviolet irradiation and chemicals^[51]. Studies have demonstrated that polymorphisms of the genes in NER pathway, namely xeroderma pigmentosum complementation group D, ERCC1, XPG, ERCC5, ERCC6, were with the high risk of cataract^[52-54]. At the epigenetic perspective, the methylation and expression level of $ERCC6^{[29]}$, and O⁶methylguanine-DNA methyl-transferees^[28], both of which are key components of NER pathway, are also found dramatically altered in cataract samples. Based on the essential role of NER on the pathogenesis of cataract, our KEGG outcomes suggest that m⁶A methylation may also invloved in the mechanism of cataract.

Limitation of this study should be acknowledged that we only validated the results of CC and ARC samples in absence of non-cataract samples. Although the initial design of this study was to set non-cataract samples as control, while these samples were hardly qualified primarily for the following reasons. First, non-cataract samples are mainly obtained from body donation in China, but it is relatively rare out of traditional concept among population. Second, a considerable number of noncataract samples donated may not be suitable for epigenetic research due to the presence of systemic disorders in the donors. Systemic disorders can cause substantial changes in the ocular environments, resulting in variations in the qualities of capsular samples. At all event, further studies are warranted to obtain proper samples of non-cataract anterior lens and overcome this limitation.

In conclusion, this was the first study to present an overview of the m⁶A methylomes of lncRNA in CC and ARC tissues employing MeRIP-seq and RNA-seq. Our results demonstrated that m⁶A methylation levels of lncRNAs might in varying degrees play essential roles in the pathogenesis of ARC and CC. Futhermore, we revealed that DNA damage repair, especially NER, was most associated with m⁶AlncRNA modification in ARC, which might explain the mechanism of ARC from a novel epigenetic perspective. All in all, this comprehensive m⁶A profiling provides a solid basis for the determination of potential functional roles for lncRNA m⁶A modification in pathological processes of ARC and CC patients.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Wei-Hua Xu, Pei-Yan Hua, Ying Zhu, Yan Zheng for collecting biological samples. We thank Cloud-Seq Biotech Ltd. Co. (Shanghai, China) for the MeRIP-Seq service and the subsequent bioinformatics analysis.

Authors' contributions: Conceived and designed the work (Ye HF, Zhao PQ); Collected the data (Ye HF, Zhang X, Zhao ZN); Contributed data (Zheng C, Fei P, Xu Y, Lyu J, Chen JL); Performed the analysis (Ye HF, Guo XX, Zhang X); Wrote the paper (Ye HF, Zhao ZN); Final editing and approval (Guo XX, Zhu H, Zhao PQ). All authors agree to be accountable for the content of the work.

Foundations: Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.82171069; No.82371070); Shanghai Science and Technology Committee (No.22015820200); Shanghai Municipal Health Commission Innovative Medical Device Application Demonstration Project (No.23SHS03500-03); Project of Shanghai Municipal Commission of Health and Family Planning (No.202140224); Grants from Interdisciplinary Program of Shanghai Jiao Tong University (No.YG2021QN52).

Conflicts of Interest: Ye HF, None; Zhang X, None; Zhao ZN, None; Zheng C, None; Fei P, None; Xu Y, None; Lyu J, None; Chen JL, None; Guo XX, None; Zhu H, None; Zhao PQ, None.

REFERENCES

- Ushijima T, Watanabe N, Okochi E, Kaneda A, Sugimura T, Miyamoto K. Fidelity of the methylation pattern and its variation in the genome. *Genome Res* 2003;13(5):868-874.
- 2 Gao JJ, Shi WJ, Wang JG, *et al.* Research progress and applications of epigenetic biomarkers in cancer. *Front Pharmacol* 2024;15:1308309.
- 3 Zhong YY, Zhou XE, Pan ZJ, Zhang JK, Pan J. Role of epigenetic regulatory mechanisms in age-related bone homeostasis imbalance. *FASEB J* 2024;38(9):e23642.
- 4 Wang W, Zou J. Recent progress in N⁶-methyladenosine modification in ocular surface diseases. *Int J Ophthalmol* 2023;16(4):645-651.
- 5 Moore SM, Christoforidis JB. Advances in ophthalmic epigenetics and implications for epigenetic therapies: a review. *Genes* 2023; 14(2):417.
- 6 Ang MJ, Afshari NA. Cataract and systemic disease: a review. *Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 2021;49(2):118-127.
- 7 Chen E, Bohm K, Rosenblatt M, Kang K. Epigenetic regulation of anterior segment diseases and potential therapeutics. *Ocul Surf* 2020;18(3):383-395.
- 8 Chen XY, Su DM, Sun ZY, Fu YJ, Hu YZ, Zhang Y, Zhang X, Wei QQ, Zhu WN, Ma X, Hu SS. Preliminary study on whole genome methylation and transcriptomics in age-related cataracts. *Gene* 2024;898:148096.
- 9 Shi E, Ye XN, Xie LY. miRNA-26b suppresses the TGF-β2-induced progression of HLE-B3 cells via the PI3K/Akt pathway. Int J Ophthalmol 2021;14(9):1350-1358.
- 10 Shen Y, Dong LF, Zhou RM, Yao J, Song YC, Yang H, Jiang Q, Yan B. Role of long non-coding RNA MIAT in proliferation, apoptosis and migration of lens epithelial cells: a clinical and *in vitro* study. *J Cell Mol Med* 2016;20(3):537-548.
- 11 Zhang ZL, Zhu HR, Liu Y, Quan F, Zhang XB, Yu L. LncRNA HOTAIR mediates TGF-β2-induced cell growth and epithelialmesenchymal transition in human lens epithelial cells. *Acta Biochim Biophys Sin* 2018;50(10):1028-1037.
- 12 Liu SY, Hu CY, Luo YQ, Yao K. Genome-wide DNA methylation profiles may reveal new possible epigenetic pathogenesis of sporadic congenital cataract. *Epigenomics* 2020;12(9):771-788.
- 13 Taguchi YH. Bioinformatic tools for epitranscriptomics. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 2023;324(2):C447-C457.
- 14 Wu ZM, Ren J, Liu GH. Deciphering RNA m⁶ A regulation in aging: Perspectives on current advances and future directions. *Aging Cell* 2023;22(10):e13972.
- 15 Li PF, Yu HL, Zhang GW, et al. Identification and characterization of N⁶-methyladenosine CircRNAs and methyltransferases in the lens epithelium cells from age-related cataract. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2020;61(10):13.
- 16 Wen K, Zhang Y, Li YH, Wang Q, Sun J. Comprehensive analysis of transcriptome-wide m⁶A methylome in the anterior capsule of the lens of high myopia patients. *Epigenetics* 2021;16(9):955-968.

- 17 Yang J, Liu JS, Zhao SZ, Tian F. N⁶-methyladenosine METTL3 modulates the proliferation and apoptosis of lens epithelial cells in diabetic cataract. *Mol Ther Nucleic Acids* 2020;20:111-116.
- 18 Liu JL, Qu XH. The roles of long non-coding RNAs in ocular diseases. Exp Eye Res 2021;207:108561.
- 19 Zhao XR, Li X, Li XH. Multiple roles of m⁶A methylation in epithelialmesenchymal transition. *Mol Biol Rep* 2022;49(9):8895-8906.
- 20 Liu SY, Wu JJ, Chen ZH, Zou ML, Teng YY, Zhang KW, Li YY, Guo DY, Yuan FL. The m⁶A RNA modification modulates gene expression and fibrosis-related pathways in hypertrophic scar. *Front Cell Dev Biol* 2021;9:748703.
- 21 Heinz S, Benner C, Spann N, Bertolino E, Lin YC, Laslo P, Cheng JX, Murre C, Singh H, Glass CK. Simple combinations of lineage-determining transcription factors prime cis-regulatory elements required for macrophage and B cell identities. *Mol Cell* 2010;38(4):576-589.
- 22 Zhou P, Luo Y, Liu X, Fan L, Lu Y. Down-regulation and CpG island hypermethylation of CRYAA in age-related nuclear cataract. *FASEB J* 2012;26(12):4897-4902.
- 23 Zhu XJ, Zhang KK, Zhou P, Jiang CH, Lu Y. αA-crystallin gene CpG islands hypermethylation in nuclear cataract after pars plana vitrectomy. *Graefe Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 2015;253(7):1043-1051.
- 24 Palsamy P, Ayaki M, Elanchezhian R, Shinohara T. Promoter demethylation of Keap1 gene in human diabetic cataractous lenses. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2012;423(3):542-548.
- 25 Zhu XJ, Zhou P, Zhang KK, Yang J, Luo Y, Lu Y. Epigenetic regulation of αA-crystallin in high myopia-induced dark nuclear cataract. *PLoS One* 2013;8(12):e81900.
- 26 Ye HF, Jiang YX, Jing QH, Li D, Maimaiti T, Kasimu D, Lu Y. LOXL1 hypermethylation in pseudoexfoliation syndrome in the Uighur population. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2015;56(10):5838-5843.
- 27 Jin SL, Zhang Y, Chen ZH, Qian DW, Qine YJ, Yongjie Q, He SK, Guo HK. Epigenetic changes of the Klotho gene in age-related cataracts. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci* 2015;19(14):2544-2553.
- 28 Li F, Wang Y, Zhang GW, Zhou J, Yang L, Guan HJ. Expression and methylation of DNA repair genes in lens epithelium cells of agerelated cataract. *Mutat Res* 2014;766-767:31-36.
- 29 Wang Y, Li F, Zhang GW, Kang LH, Guan HJ. Ultraviolet-B induces ERCC6 repression in lens epithelium cells of age-related nuclear cataract through coordinated DNA hypermethylation and histone deacetylation. *Clin Epigenetics* 2016;8:62.
- 30 Zhu X, Zhang GW, Kang LH, Guan HJ. Epigenetic regulation of Werner syndrome gene in age-related cataract. J Ophthalmol 2015;2015:579695.
- 31 Bremond-Gignac D, Daruich A, Robert MP, Valleix S. Recent developments in the management of congenital cataract. *Ann Transl Med* 2020;8(22):1545.
- 32 Kroeze LI, van der Reijden BA, Jansen JH. 5-Hydroxymethylcytosine: an epigenetic mark frequently deregulated in cancer. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2015;1855(2):144-154.

- 33 Asbell PA, Dualan I, Mindel J, Brocks D, Ahmad M, Epstein S. Agerelated cataract. *Lancet* 2005;365(9459):599-609.
- 34 Tassignon MJ, van Os L. Current knowledge about the anterior interface in children operated for congenital cataract. *Dev Ophthalmol* 2021;61:8-14.
- 35 Taylan Şekeroğlu H, Utine GE. Congenital cataract and its genetics: the era of next-generation sequencing. *Turk J Ophthalmol* 2021;51(2): 107-113.
- 36 Prakalapakorn SG, Rasmussen SA, Lambert SR, Honein MA, National Birth Defects Prevention Study. Assessment of risk factors for infantile cataracts using a case-control study: National Birth Defects Prevention Study, 2000-2004. *Ophthalmology* 2010;117(8):1500-1505.
- 37 Lu J, Xu L, Wang Y, Guan B. IncRNAs regulate cell stemness in physiology and pathology during differentiation and development. *Am J Stem Cells* 2024;13(2):59-74.
- 38 Nickerson JA, Momen-Heravi F. Long non-coding RNAs: roles in cellular stress responses and epigenetic mechanisms regulating chromatin. *Nucleus* 2024;15(1):2350180.
- 39 Long YC, Wang XY, Youmans DT, Cech TR. How do lncRNAs regulate transcription? *Sci Adv* 2017;3(9):eaao2110.
- 40 Zhang N, Zhang CM, Wang X, Qi YH. High-throughput sequencing reveals novel lincRNA in age-related cataract. *Int J Mol Med* 2017;40(6):1829-1839.
- 41 Wan PX, Su WR, Zhuo YH. Precise long non-coding RNA modulation in visual maintenance and impairment. J Med Genet 2017;54(7):450-459.
- 42 Patil DP, Chen CK, Pickering BF, Chow A, Jackson C, Guttman M, Jaffrey SR. M(6)a RNA methylation promotes XIST-mediated transcriptional repression. *Nature* 2016;537(7620):369-373.
- 43 Dong SH, Wu YT, Liu YD, Weng HY, Huang HL. N⁶-methyladenosine steers RNA metabolism and regulation in cancer. *Cancer Commun* 2021;41(7):538-559.
- 44 Ninomiya K, Iwakiri J, Aly MK, Sakaguchi Y, Adachi S, Natsume T, Terai G, Asai K, Suzuki T, Hirose T. m⁶A modification of HSATIII lncRNAs regulates temperature-dependent splicing. *EMBO J* 2021;40(15):e107976.
- 45 Xue L, Li J, Lin YH, Liu DG, Yang Q, Jian JT, Peng JZ. m⁶A transferase METTL3-induced lncRNA ABHD11-AS1 promotes the Warburg effect of non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Cell Physiol* 2021;236(4):2649-2658.
- 46 Wu QS, Wright M, Gogol MM, Bradford WD, Zhang N, Bazzini AA. Translation of small downstream ORFs enhances translation of canonical main open reading frames. *EMBO J* 2020;39(17): e104763.
- 47 He YJ, Du XZ, Chen M, Han L, Sun JJ. Novel insight into the functions of N⁶-methyladenosine modified lncRNAs in cancers. *Int J* Oncol 2022;61(6):152.
- 48 Morishita H, Eguchi T, Tsukamoto S, Sakamaki Y, Takahashi S, Saito C, Koyama-Honda I, Mizushima N. Organelle degradation in the lens by PLAAT phospholipases. *Nature* 2021;592(7855):634-638.

- 49 Morishita H, Eguchi T, Mizushima N. A new insight into the lens: cytosolic PLAAT phospholipases degrade organelles to make the lens transparent. *Autophagy* 2021;17(9):2645-2647.
- 50 Lee B, Afshari NA, Shaw PX. Oxidative stress and antioxidants in cataract development. *Curr Opin Ophthalmol* 2024;35(1):57-63.
- 51 Krasikova Y, Rechkunova N, Lavrik O. Nucleotide excision repair: from molecular defects to neurological abnormalities. *Int J Mol Sci* 2021;22(12):6220.
- 52 Zhang Y, Zhang L, Song Z, Sun DL, Liu HR, Fu SB, Liu DR, Liu P. Genetic polymorphisms in DNA repair genes OGG1, APE1,

XRCC1, and XPD and the risk of age-related cataract. *Ophthalmology* 2012;119(5):900-906.

- 53 Wang Y, Zhang JF, Wu J, Guan HJ. Expression of DNA repair genes in lens cortex of age-related cortical cataract. *Exp Mol Pathol* 2017;102(2):219-223.
- 54 Su S, Yao Y, Zhu RR, Liang CK, Jiang SQ, Hu N, Zhou J, Yang M, Xing Q, Guan HJ. The associations between single nucleotide polymorphisms of DNA repair genes, DNA damage, and age-related cataract: Jiangsu Eye Study. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2013;54(2): 1201-1207.