One-year results for myopia control of orthokeratology with different back optic zone diameters: a randomized trial using a novel multispectral-based topographer
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Abstract
● AIM: To present the 1-year results of a prospective cohort study investigating the efficacy, potential mechanism, and safety of orthokeratology (ortho-k) with different back optic zone diameters (BOZD) for myopia control in children.
● METHODS: This randomized clinical study was performed between Dec. 2020 and Dec. 2021. Participants were randomly assigned to three groups wearing ortho-k: 5 mm BOZD (5-MM group), 5.5 mm BOZD (5.5-MM group), and 6 mm BOZD (6-MM group). The 1-year data were recorded, including axial length, relative peripheral refraction (RPR, measured by multispectral refractive topography, MRT), and visual quality. The contrast sensitivity (CS) was evaluated by CSV-1000 instrument with spatial frequencies of 3, 6, 12, and 18 cycles/degree (c/d); the corneal higher-order aberrations (HOAs) were measured by iTrace aberration analyzer. The one-way ANOVA was performed to assess the differences between the three groups. The correlation between the change in AL and RPR was calculated by Pearson’s correlation coefficient.
● RESULTS: The 1-year results of 20, 21, and 21 subjects in the 5-MM, 5.5-MM, and 6-MM groups, respectively, were presented. There were no statistical differences in baseline age, sex, or ocular parameters between the three groups (all P>0.05). At the 1-year visit, the 5-MM group had lower axial elongation than the 6-MM group (0.07±0.09 vs 0.18±0.11 mm, P=0.001). The 5-MM group had more myopic total RPR (TRPR, P=0.014), with RPR in the 15°–30° (RPR 15–30, P=0.015), 30°–45° (RPR 30–45, P=0.011), temporal (RPR-T, P=0.008), and nasal area (RPR-N, P<0.001) than the 6-MM group. RPR 15–30 in the 5.5-MM group was more myopic than that in the 6-MM group (P=0.002), and RPR-N in the 5-MM group was more myopic than that in the 5.5-MM group (P<0.001). There were positive correlations between the axial elongation and the change in TRPR (r=0.756, P<0.001), RPR 15–30 (r=0.364, P=0.004), RPR 30–45 (r=0.306, P=0.016), and RPR-N (r=0.253, P=0.047). The CS decreased at 3 c/d (P<0.001), and the corneal HOAs increased in the 5-MM group (P=0.030).
● CONCLUSION: Ortho-k with 5 mm BOZD can control myopia progression more effectively. The mechanism may be associated with greater myopic shifts in RPR.
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INTRODUCTION

The increasing prevalence and pathological complications of myopia have raised public concerns about control strategies. Orthokeraatology (ortho-k) has been considered one of the most effective methods for myopia control in children. Previous studies confirmed that wearing ortho-k slowed down axial elongation by 40%–60%, compared with spectacles[1-3]. But the mechanism remains unclear, and how to improve the efficacy of ortho-k attracts growing attention from practitioners and patients. Overnight ortho-k produces reversible central cornea flattening (treatment zone) and surrounded mid-peripheral steepening (defocus ring), then may change the peripheral refraction
towards myopic defocus which means that the off-axis focus falls anterior to the retina and thereby acts as a retardation signal for axial growth\(^{[3,4]}\). Some scholars speculate that the area and degree of myopic defocus obtained on peripheral retina may be related to the myopia control effect\(^{[5,6]}\). A series of retrospective studies have observed that children with smaller treatment zone tend to experience slower axial elongation from ortho-k\(^{[7,8]}\). A few short-term (1–2wk) studies in adults have proposed that ortho-k with a smaller back optic zone diameter (5 mm BOZD) was developed to achieve a smaller treatment zone and inferred it could induce more peripheral myopic defocus to control myopia progression\(^{[9,10]}\). Concerning the potential impact on visual quality impairment\(^{[11]}\), some practitioners suggest a 5.5 mm BOZD as a balance point in clinical practice. However, the previous studies only used a 5 mm BOZD ortho-k lens design and did not explore if it could consequently obtain a wider, deeper myopic defocus to achieve more effective myopia control.

On the other hand, it is imperative to measure relative peripheral refraction (RPR) to elucidate the mechanism of ortho-k lenses. The most commonly used method in scientific research is WAM-5500 (Grand Seiko, Hiroshima, Japan) or NVision-K 5001 (ShinNippon, Tokyo, Japan) autorefractor\(^{[12,13]}\). However, its large-scale clinical application is restricted because of time-consuming, complex operations and a few specific spots only\(^{[14]}\). Multispectral refractive topography (MRT) is a new approach based on multispectral imaging (MSI) technology\(^{[15,16]}\) and in-depth computer algorithms. It can detect the topographic map and spherical equivalent (SE) of peripheral retina from 0° to 53° within 2–3s. A series of studies have confirmed its repeatability and accuracy\(^{[17-21]}\).

Therefore, we originally designed a 2-year prospective, randomized study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of ortho-k with reduced BOZD (5 and 5.5 mm) compared with conventional BOZD (6 mm) in adolescent myopia control and explore its possible mechanism by MRT. In this report, the study design and lens performance are presented during the 1-year visit.

**SUBJECTS AND METHODS**

**Ethical Approval** This double-blinded, randomized controlled trial adhered to the guidelines of the Helsinki Declaration and obtained approval from the Institutional Review Board of the First Affiliated Hospital of Chengdu Medical College (2020CYFYHEC-BA-32). All participants and their guardians signed a written consent after being fully informed of the study protocol, potential benefits, and complications.

**Subjects** Between Dec 2020 and Dec 2021, this study enrolled 88 participants in the First Affiliated Hospital of Chengdu Medical College. The inclusion criteria were: 8 to 14 years old, spherical power between -5.00 and -1.00 D, anisometropia no more than 1.00 D, best corrected visual acuity (BCVA, logMAR) no worse than 0.00, astigmatism less than 1.50 D, and normal pupil size (2.5–4 mm). The exclusion criteria were: an experience of myopia control, ocular or systemic disease, contraindications for ortho-k lens, poor compliance, and disagreement with randomization.

**Allocations and Treatments** All the enrolled participants were trained in lens handling and care procedures. Then 72 participants who had successfully completed the training course were assigned into three groups at random: experimental group 1 (wore 5 mm BOZD ortho-k lenses, 5-MM group), experimental group 2 (wore 5.5 mm BOZD ortho-k lenses, 5.5-MM group), and control group (wore 6 mm BOZD ortho-k lenses, 6-MM group). The random numbers were generated by Microsoft Excel and concealed in opaque envelopes by an external researcher. Patients and the examiner were blind to the group assignment. All subjects were fitted with the spherical and VST design mouldway ortho-k (Autec China Inc.) and followed the manufacturer’s guidelines.

**Measurements** All participants should attend 1d, 7d, 1mo, 3mo, 6mo, 12mo, and any necessary unscheduled consultations. The aftercare visits were fulfilled within 2h after lens removal (between 8:00 a.m. and 10:00 a.m.).

**Relative Peripheral Refraction** MRT (MSI C2000, Thondar, China) was used to measure RPR after complete cycloplegia. The measuring method has been reported in prior research\(^{[17-20]}\). RPR = SE\(_a\) - SE\(_0\) (\(a\) represents the peripheral retinal region, 0 represents the central fovea). Total RPR (TRPR, the 53° circular retinal area centered on macular central fovea), RPR in the 15° (RPR 15), 15°–30° (RPR 15–30), and 30°–45° (RPR 30–45) areas were recorded. RPR was also divided into four quadrants: superior (RPR-S), inferior (RPR-I), temporal (RPR-T), and nasal (RPR-N) quadrant. According to the RPR data of each point on the retina, a direct color-coded image was obtained (Figure 1).

**Contrast Sensitivity** Contrast sensitivity (CS) which was used to evaluate the objective visual quality was assessed by CSV-1000E (VectorVision, USA) under photopic (85 cd/m\(^2\)) condition at a 2.5 m distance. The logarithmic values for 3, 6, 12, and 18 cycles/degree (c/d) were analyzed (https://www.vectorvision.com/csv1000-contrast-sensitivity/).

**Higher-Order Aberrations** Corneal higher-order aberration (HOAs) were measured by iTrace aberration analyzer (Tracey, USA) through the natural pupil in a dark room. The pupil diameter for analysis was 6 mm. The corneal HOAs were calculated by Zernike polynomial as the root mean square (RMS).

Average keratometry (Kv), axial length (AL), SE, and BCVA were measured by SW-6000 corneal topography (Suoor, China),
IOLMaster 500 (Carl Zeiss, Germany), RM8900 (Topcon, Japan), and ETDRS charts 2000 (Precision Vision, USA), respectively, following the manufacturer’s guidelines. The subjective visual performance was assessed by the National Eye Institute/Refractive Error Quality of Life Instrument-42 questionnaire (NEI-RQL-42)\(^{[22]}\). A SL-1E slit lamp (Topcon, Japan) was used to examine the corneal staining that was graded by the Efron grading scales\(^{[23]}\).

**Sample Size**  According to the generally accepted study\(^{[24]}\), and based on the one-way ANOVA for three means (PASS 11.0), it would be detected as a statistical difference of 0.17 mm (AL) and 0.25 mm in standard deviation between the three groups in 2y. To achieve 10% in \(\beta\) error and 0.05 in \(\alpha\) error, each group should contain a sample size of 15 participants. Assuming a dropout rate of 30% after allocation into three groups, a total of 66 participants were required to meet the minimum sample size.

**Statistical Analysis**  The data from the right eyes were used for analysis. SPSS version 22.0 statistical software (IMB-SPSS Inc., USA) was conducted for data analysis. The correlation between the change in AL and RPR was calculated by Pearson’s correlation coefficient. Categorical data were analyzed by Chi-squared test (or Fisher exact test, as appropriate). Shapiro-Wilk test was applied to evaluate the normality of the data, and data with normal distribution were represented as mean±standard deviation. Levene’s test was used for evaluating the variance homogeneity of the data. Then one-way ANOVA was performed to assess the differences between the three groups. The difference was considered statistically significant when a \(P\) value was less than 0.05. Post-hoc analysis [Least significant difference (LSD) or Tamhane test, as appropriate] was carried out, and the difference was considered to be statistically significant when a \(P\) value was less than 0.017 (0.05/3).

### RESULTS

**Subjects and Baseline Biometrics**  A total of 62 participants (20 in the 5-MM group, 21 in the 5.5-MM group, and 21 in the 6-MM group) finished the 1y follow-ups (Figure 2). There were no statistical differences in demographics or baseline data between the three groups (all \(P>0.05\); Table 1).

**Changes in Axial Length**  The AL elongation was statistically different between the three groups at 6mo and 12mo follow-ups (all \(P<0.05\)). The AL elongation in the 5-MM group was slower than that in the 6-MM group by post-hoc analysis (6mo: \(P=0.01\), 12mo: \(P=0.001\)); the 5-MM group was 61.1% slower in AL elongation than 6-MM group at the 12mo visit. But there were no statistical differences in the other two comparisons (5-MM group vs 5.5-MM group, 5.5-MM group vs 6-MM group, \(P>0.017\); Table 2).

---

**Figure 1** A typical three-dimensional MRT outcome at baseline (A) and 1-year visit (B) The hyperopic RPR is presented by a warm color (yellow-red), while the myopic RPR is presented by a cold color (blue-green).

**Figure 2** Study flowchart 5-MM, 5.5-MM, 6-MM: Wearing orthokeratology with back optic zone diameters of 5, 5.5, and 6 mm, respectively.
RPR in Different Retinal Regions There were statistical differences in TRPR ($F=3.207, P=0.048$), RPR 15–30 ($F=5.631, P=0.006$), RPR 30–45 ($F=4.795, P=0.012$), RPR-T ($F=4.233, P=0.019$), and RPR-N ($F=22.732, P<0.001$) between three groups at the 12-month visit. Post-hoc analysis revealed that TRPR, RPR 15-30, RPR 30–45, RPR-T, and RPR-N in the 5-MM group were more myopic than those in the 6-MM group ($P=0.014, P=0.015, P=0.011, P=0.008, P<0.001$, respectively). RPR 15–30 in the 5.5-MM group was more myopic than that in the 6-MM group ($P=0.002$), and RPR-N in the 5-MM group was more myopic than that in the 5.5-MM group ($P<0.001$). However, RPR 15, RPR-S, and RPR-I between the three groups did not present statistical differences (all $P>0.05$; Figure 3).

Relationship Between the Change in AL and RPR The Pearson correlation analysis indicated that the change in AL over 1y was not statistically correlated with the change in RPR 15, RPR-S, RPR-I, and RPR-T (all $P>0.05$). The change in AL was positively associated with the change in TRPR, RPR 15–30, RPR 30–45, and RPR-N (all $P<0.05$; Figure 4).

Visual Quality and Other Complications There were no serious adverse events (e.g., infiltrates, pannus, microbial keratitis, microcysts) occurred in the study period. The CS decreased at 3 c/d, and the corneal HOAs increased in the 5-MM group over 1y (all $P<0.05$). Other parameters did not show statistical differences between the three groups (all $P>0.05$; Table 3).

Table 1 Baseline data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Age (y)</th>
<th>Male/female</th>
<th>BCVA (logMAR)</th>
<th>Kv (D)</th>
<th>AL (mm)</th>
<th>SE (D)</th>
<th>TRPR (D)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5-MM group (n=20)</td>
<td>11.35±2.08</td>
<td>12/8</td>
<td>-0.06±0.04</td>
<td>43.54±1.26</td>
<td>24.85±0.65</td>
<td>-3.23±0.78</td>
<td>0.61±0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5-MM group (n=21)</td>
<td>10.48±2.18</td>
<td>9/12</td>
<td>-0.04±0.02</td>
<td>43.22±1.08</td>
<td>24.67±0.71</td>
<td>-2.89±0.63</td>
<td>0.52±0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-MM group (n=21)</td>
<td>11.62±1.91</td>
<td>8/13</td>
<td>-0.05±0.02</td>
<td>43.26±1.22</td>
<td>24.91±0.73</td>
<td>-3.33±0.76</td>
<td>0.50±0.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5-MM, 5.5-MM, 6-MM: Wearing orthokeratology with back optic zone diameters of 5, 5.5, and 6 mm, respectively; BCVA: Best corrected visual acuity; Kv: Average keratometry; AL: Axial length; SE: Spherical equivalent; TRPR: Total relative peripheral refraction.

Table 2 Axial length elongation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Follow-ups</th>
<th>5-MM group (n=20)</th>
<th>5.5-MM group (n=21)</th>
<th>6-MM group (n=21)</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6mo</td>
<td>0.03±0.07</td>
<td>0.06±0.07</td>
<td>0.10±0.09</td>
<td>3.562</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12mo</td>
<td>0.07±0.09</td>
<td>0.13±0.12</td>
<td>0.18±0.11</td>
<td>6.062</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5-MM, 5.5-MM, 6-MM: Wearing orthokeratology with back optic zone diameters of 5, 5.5, and 6 mm, respectively. Post-hoc test, \(^{1}P<0.017\) vs 5-MM group.
**Orthokeratology with different optic diameters**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3 Comparisons of visual quality and other complications over 1y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-MM group (n=20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5-MM group (n=21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-MM group (n=21)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION**

These first-year outcomes of the longitudinal trial demonstrated that ortho-k with 5 mm BOZD was more effective in slowing axial elongation, which might be related to greater myopic shifts in RPR.

In our study, the annual AL elongation was significantly less wearing 5 mm BOZD ortho-k than 6 mm BOZD ortho-k, with a mean reduction of 0.11 mm. This indicated 61.11% less AL growth with a mean reduction of 0.11 mm. This indicated 61.11% less AL elongation, which might be related to greater myopic shifts in RPR.

The latter study manipulated ortho-k lens wear according to the previous studies[25-28] and found a myopic shift of RPR in the nasal horizontal meridian. However, Gifford et al proposed a differing viewpoint, stating that there was no statistical difference in the change of RPR between the two lens designs. The cause might be that subjects in Gifford et al study were adults, the sample size was too small (n=16), or the observation period was only 7d while RPR was stable between 6 and 18mo of ortho-k lens wear according to the previous studies[35]. Peguda et al[33] and Gifford et al[34] used Shin-Nippon NVision-K 5001 autorefractor to measure the RPR of some specific spots (0°, 10°, 20°, 30°, 35°) in the horizontal or vertical meridian. This instrument relies on the alignment and patient’s cooperation a lot; the misalignment of the instrument may lead to considerable errors in RPR measurement (1 mm misalignment may cause 1.3–2.7 D errors at 30° field)[36]. While the advent of MRT has enabled the effective and comprehensive measurement of peripheral refraction in different areas.

This study found a positive correlation between one-year AL elongation and TRPR (strong correlation), RPR 15°–45° (moderate correlation), and RPR-N (weak correlation), which was not observed in the previous study. Li et al[31] conducted a cross-sectional study that used MRT to measure the RPR of conventional BOZD (6 mm) ortho-k, and their results were approximately in accordance with ours. However, they did not measure the RPR before wearing ortho-k to evaluate the change in RPR, and their subjects wore ortho-k for 9mo. These findings indicate that different regions of the peripheral retina may play significant or minor roles in AL growth and myopia progression. Myopic defocus in 15°–45° suggests less AL growth, while RPR 15° seems irrelevant. The possible reason is that lights passing through the mid-peripheral cornea and causing myopic defocus mainly locate in the 15°–45° area of the retina. We infer that myopic defocus induced by ortho-k in this area may have a significant impact on the progression of myopia. However, the 15° area may mainly relate to the central correction region and just locate on the macular, so it did not show a significant impact on the axial elongation. We also discovered that, in contrast to the vertical field, the peripheral...
refraction of the horizontal field was more affected by ortho-k. This conforms to the previous studies about the conventional BOZD (6 mm) ortho-k[6,34]. In addition, RPR-N rather than RPR-T had a greater impact on AL growth, which suggested that light signals from the temporal side (wider than the vertical and nasal sides) may be associated with ocular growth. In the ortho-k mechanism, there may be an intricate regulatory between the RPR and the myopia control efficacy, which needs further investigation.

It should be noted that the CS decreased at the low spatial frequency (3 c/d), and the corneal HOAs showed a significant increase, indicating a decline in visual quality in the 5-MM group. However, there were no significant differences in subjective visual performance between the three groups. It is hypothesized that a blur adaptation or visual compensation may occur in children with smaller BOZD ortho-k. However, it is important to consider the potential benefits and risks of both visual quality and myopia control.

There were two main limitations in this study. First, the subjects have not stopped wearing ortho-k lenses for 4wk or more, so we cannot obtain accurate changes in SE, but we will provide the complete results after the end of our trial. Second, the current study was unable to define the cause-effect sequence between peripheral defocus and myopia control. Further research is needed to determine the mechanism by which peripheral defocus impacts AL growth.

In conclusion, the current study assessed the three different ortho-k lens designs and used a unique MRT to analyze the change in RPR. Ortho-k with a 5 mm BOZD showed further substantial retardation of axial elongation compared with conventional ortho-k, and the possible mechanism is greater myopic shifts in RPR. This study may provide an optimized ortho-k lens design for myopia control.
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