

Q 值引导个体化 LASEK 与常规 LASEK 治疗近视及散光的对比研究

张立军¹, 张岩², 蒋华¹

作者单位:¹(250031)中国山东省济南市,第二军医大学济南临床医学院(济南军区总医院)眼科;²(130041)中国吉林省长春市,吉林大学第二医院眼科

作者简介:张立军,男,副主任医师,博士研究生。

通讯作者:蒋华,男,教授,主任医师,博士生导师. jianghua108@126.com

收稿日期:2010-01-07 修回日期:2010-02-25

Long-term clinical trial of Q-factor guided LASEK for myopia

Li-jun Zhang¹, Yan Zhang², Hua Jiang¹

¹Department of Ophthalmology, Jinan Clinical College of the Second Military Medical University (Jinan Military General Hospital), Jinan 250031, Shandong Province, China; ²Department of Ophthalmology, the Second Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun 130041, Jilin Province, China

Correspondence to: Hua Jiang, Department of Ophthalmology, Jinan Clinical College of the Second Military Medical University (Jinan Military General Hospital), Jinan 250031, Shandong Province, China. jianghua108@126.com

Received: 2010-01-07 Accepted: 2010-02-25

Abstract

• **AIM:** To explore the long-term efficacy of myopic astigmatism treated by Q-factor guided laser epithelial keratomileusis (LASEK).

• **METHODS:** Seventy-two cases (72 eyes) who were treated with Q-guided LASEK were trial group. 66 cases (66 eyes) who were treated with conventional LASEK were control group. Naked distant and near visual acuity (VA), best-corrected VA, refractive diopters, intraocular pressure, topography, K value, Q value, wave-front aberration, contrast sensitivity, corneal thickness and haze of pre- and post-operation were detected. The patients were followed up for more than 12 months.

• **RESULTS:** At 12 months post-operative, the naked VA of trial group was 1.12 ± 0.16 while 1.07 ± 0.14 for control group. The best-corrected VA of trial group was 1.16 ± 0.19 while 1.12 ± 0.17 for control group. Q value of trial group was 0.478 ± 0.203 while 0.798 ± 0.238 for control group. The difference was significant. Higher-order aberrations of trial group was $0.406 \pm 0.103 \mu\text{m}$ while $0.613 \pm 0.105 \mu\text{m}$ for control group. The difference was significant. Spherical aberration of trial group was $-0.186 \pm 0.108 \mu\text{m}$, while $-0.320 \pm 0.159 \mu\text{m}$ for control group. The difference was significant. There was 0.125 ± 0.275 haze in trial group while 0.375 ± 0.535 in control group. Contrast

sensitivity of trial group recovered to pre-operative level at 3 months post-operatively while control group delayed to recover until 6 months post-operatively. In trial group, contrast sensitivity of 12 months post-operative was better than pre-operative. The difference of two groups was more prominent in dark glare status.

• **CONCLUSION:** Q-factor guided LASEK is safe and effective with good stability. Compared with conventional LASEK, Q-factor guided LASEK can reduce higher-order aberrations and spherical aberration induced by surgery. The results become better as longer follow-up time. The contrast sensitivity recovers sooner and with less haze postoperatively. Better visual quality can be achieved in Q-factor guided LASEK.

• **KEYWORDS:** Q-factor; myopia; astigmatism; keratomileusis

Zhang LJ, Zhang Y, Jiang H. Long-term clinical trial of Q-factor guided LASEK for myopia. *Int J Ophthalmol (Guoji Yanke Zazhi)* 2010;10(3):497-500

摘要

目的:探讨非球面因子 Q 引导准分子激光角膜上皮瓣下磨镶术 (laser epithelial keratomileusis, LASEK) 治疗近视散光的长期疗效。

方法:接受 LASEK 的近视散光患者 138 例随机分为 2 组, 接受 Q 值引导 LASEK 患者 72 例 72 眼为试验组, 接受常规 LASEK 患者 66 例 66 眼为对照组。术前 2 组各项指标均相似, 差异无统计学意义。均取右眼进行分析, 对两组疗效进行比较。术前及术后检测裸眼远近视力、最佳矫正视力、屈光度、眼压、角膜地形图、K 值、Q 值、波阵面像差、对比敏感度、超声角膜厚度和 haze 等。随诊时间为术前、术后 0.25, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 和 12mo。

结果:术后 12mo, 试验组的裸眼视力为 1.12 ± 0.16 , 对照组为 1.07 ± 0.14 , 两者差异无统计学意义 ($t = 1.280, P = 0.205$); 试验组的最佳矫正视力为 1.16 ± 0.19 , 对照组为 1.12 ± 0.17 , 两者差异无统计学意义 ($t = 0.885, P = 0.380$); 试验组 Q 值平均为 0.478 ± 0.203 , 对照组为 0.798 ± 0.238 , 两者差异有统计学意义 ($t = -5.006, P = 0.000$); 试验组整体高阶像差为 $0.406 \pm 0.103 \mu\text{m}$, 对照组为 $0.613 \pm 0.105 \mu\text{m}$, 两者差异有统计学意义 ($t = -6.276, P = 0.000$); 试验组球差平均为 $-0.186 \pm 0.108 \mu\text{m}$, 对照组为 $-0.320 \pm 0.159 \mu\text{m}$, 两者差异有统计学意义 ($t = 3.579, P = 0.001$); 两组的高阶像差及球差值均低于术后 6mo 时; 试验组 haze 平均为 0.125 ± 0.275 级, 对照组为 0.375 ± 0.535 级, 两者差异无统计学意义 ($t = -1.859, P = 0.071$); 试验组对比敏感度术后 3mo 恢复至术前水平, 对照组术后 6mo 恢复至术前水平, 术后 12mo 时试验组对比敏感度优于术前水平, 除明亮无眩光外, 两者差异均有统计学意义, 以昏暗状