·Basic Research ·

Influence of N₂O₄ on multifocal electroretinogram in winstar mice

Lian-Na Hu, Chang-Yu Qiu, Hong-Xin Yan, Ling Luo, Jun Zhao, Hui-Ling Guo, Fu-Lin Gao, Zhi-Sheng Li, Nan Ma

Foundation item: Supported by a grant from Medicine and Health of Equipment Headquarters of Chinese PLA, China (No.yy2003015) Department of Ophthalmology, No. 306 Hospital of PLA, Beijing 100101, China

Correspondence to: Chang-Yu Qiu, Department of Ophthalmology, No.306 Hospital of PLA, Beijing 100101, China. changyuqiu@126.com

Received:2010-06-15 Accepted:2010-08-10

Abstract

• AIM: To record multifocal electroretinogram from different dosage of N₂O₄ injected mice. In order to provide a foundation for further study.

• METHODS: Normal winstar mice which were injected by different dosage of N₂O₄ were studied for recording multifocal electroretinogram in the same time in the evening after N₂O₄ injection.

• RESULTS: The latency and amplitude density of "b" wave of each ring of multifocal electroretinogram was studied. The latency of "b" wave of each ring of multifocal electroretinogram of each group varies to each other. But the difference of the amplitude of "b" wave of multifocal electroretinogram of each ring between each group had no significance.

• CONCLUSION: Recording multifocal electroretinogram of N₂O₄ injected mice will give more support for further study in related science and clinic research.

• KEYWORDS: multifocal electroretinogram; mice; N₂O₄

DOI:10.3980/j.issn.2222-3959.2010.03.05

Hu LN, Qiu CY, Yan HX, Luo L, Zhao J, Guo HL, Gao FL, Li ZS, Ma N. Influence of N₂O₄ on multifocal electroretinogram in winstar mice. Int J Ophthalmol 2010;3(3):203-204

INTRODUCTION

 $T_{2}O_{4}$ was widely used at spaceflight industry and military factory. The rescue of persons poisoned by N₂O₄ was a very important work in a spaceflight accident. We didn't find any paper about how to cure the poisoned retinal disease. Here we studied multifocal electroretinogram (MERG) of poisoned mice in order to give a foundation for further science and clinical research work.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Animals Male winstar mice graded two (supplied by center of beijing experiment animal), weighted 200±20g, were fed with abundance water and food under normal day and night alternation and room temperature, and were divided into two groups. Mice in group one poisoned by 7.5g N₂O₄ through abdomen injection. Mice in group two poisoned by $15g N_2O_4$ through abdomen injection. All experiment animals finished MERG test after 1 hour from N₂O₄ injection. Comparison animals were injected with normal solution and tested under same condition. All the tests were finished at the fixed evening time.

Instrument GT2000NV visual physiology electrision detector made by Chongqin Medical Equipment Ltd. displayed by 21 inch video. Maxual luminance was 140cd/m². Differentiate rate was 640 ×480. Contrast was 96%. Refurbish was 127 hertz.

Methods

Test parameter Stimulate graph was concentric. The stimulate unit were 63. The max angle of view was 29.75 degree. Stimulate lightness was 140cd/m². Wave time was 142 milliseconds. The sampling frequency was 1KH. The magnify power of the amplifier was 40 thousand. The transmission bands was between 1 and 75Hz. Sixteen recurrence were taken to record first lay MERG response. Each record time was 47 seconds. The stimulate light was white.

Record method^[1-3] When tested, all mice were dark-adapt for two hours. Anaesthesia by benzene barbital were taken to all mice. When test began all mice were put on a test table where the cornea edge of the mice were placed parallel to the video and 20cm from the video.

Data collection and analysis Summation response: we analyzed the latency and amplitude density of "a" wave and "b" wave. As for each ring response, we analyzed the latency and amplitude density of "b" wave.

RESULTS

The difference of the latency of "b" wave of summation response and each ring response obtained from different

Table 1 p quantity of t-test of the latency of "b" wave of multifocal electroretinogram between N_2O_4 injected mice and normal mice

	a wave	b wave	Ring 1	Ring 2	Ring 3	Ring 4	Ring 5
Normal-7.5mg/1hour	0.801	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Normal-15mg/1hour	0.132	0.007	0.034	0.027	0.003	0.015	0.005
Table 2p quantity ofmice and normal mice	t-test of amp	litude of "b'	' wave of mi	ıltifocal elect	roretinogra	m between N	2O4 injected

	a wave	b wave	Ring 1	Ring 2	Ring 3	Ring 4	Ring 5	
Normal-7.5mg/1hour	0.516	0.638	0.549	0.749	0.764	0.644	0.654	
Normal-15mg/1hour	0.243	0.183	0.501	0.027	0.167	0.074	0.127	

Table 3 p quantity of *t*-test of multifocal electroretinogram difference between different group of different dose of N_2O_4 injected mice

	a wave	b wave	Ring 1	Ring 2	Ring 3	Ring 4	Ring 5
Latency	0.732	0.005	0.087	0.016	0.001	0.001	0.029
Amplitude	0.145	0.153	0.814	0.02	0.133	0.06	0.089

group. The difference of the latency of "b" wave of summation response and each ring response between group one or group two and control group were significance. The probability of " ℓ " test are listed on Table 1.

The difference of the amplitude density of "b" wave of summation response and each ring response obtained from different group. The difference of the amplitude density of "b" wave of summation response and each ring response between group one or group two and control group had no significance. The probability of " ℓ " test are listed on Table 2. The difference of MERG obtained from group one and group two. The difference of the latency of "b" wave of summation response and each ring response between group one and group two are significance. The difference of the latency of "b" wave of summation response and each ring response between group one and group two are significance.

amplitude density of "b" wave between group one and group two had no significance. The probability of " ℓ " test are listed on Table 3.

DISCUSSION

MERG was put forward by Sutter etc around 1990. It's a ideal tool to value the function of macula and the back polar retina [4]. The difference of the latency of "b" wave of summation response and each ring response between group one in which every mice was given $7.5 \text{mg N}_2\text{O}_4$ or group two in which every mice was given 15mg N₂O₄ and control group were significance. This indicates that 7.5 or $15mg N_2O_4$ has significent influence to the latency of "b" wave of summation response and each ring response of MERG of mice ^[5]. The difference of the amplitude density of "b" wave of summation response and each ring response between group one or group two and control group had no significance. This indicates that N₂O₄ has less influence to the amplitude density of MERG of mice. The difference of the latency of "b" wave of summation response and each ring response between group one and group two were significance. While the difference of the amplitude density of "b" wave between group one and group two had no

significance. This indicates that different dosage of N_2O_4 has different influence to the MERG of mice. The difference existes largely in the latency of "b" wave of summation response and each ring response^[24,6,7].

Through this experiment, we find N_2O_4 by abdomen injection can lead significent change to MERG of experiment mice. Especially to the latency of MERG. This indicates N_2O_4 by abdomen injection can cause the conduction of visual electricity of experiment animal more slow. And its influence related to the dosage. And it also related to the recover time from injection ^[8]. This experiment gives an impersonal experiment data for retinal malfunction due to poison of N_2O_4 in spaceflight accident. We wish this experiment can give more support to the prevention and cure of this kind of poison and its further study.

Acknowledgement: Grateful to Doctor Jian-Zhong Li of our hospital for preparing N_2O_4 solution for the experiment. REFERENCES

1 Brown B. Structural and functional imaging of the retina: new ways to diagnose and assess retinal disease. *Clin Exp Optiom* 2008;91(6):504–514

2 Wright T, Nilsson J, Gerth C, Westall C. A comparison of signal detection techniques in the multifocal electroretinogram. *Doc Ophthalmol* 2008;117 (2): 163–170

3 Harrison WW, Bearse MA Jr, Ng JS, Barez S, Schneck ME, Adams AJ. Reproducibility of the mfERG between instruments. *Doc Ophthalmol* 2009;119(1): 67–78

4 Sutter EE, Vaegan. Lateral interaction component and local luminance nonlinearities in the human pattern reversal ERG. *Vision Rcs* 1990;30 (5): 659–671

5 Lyons JS, Severns ML. Using multifocal ERG ring ratios to detect and follow Plaquenil retinal toxicity: a review : Review of mfERG ring ratios in Plaquenil toxicity. *Doc Ophthalmol* 2009;118(1):29-36

6 Thimonier C, Daubas P, Bourdon L, Deral–Stephant V, Menu JP, Vignal R, Roux C. Multifocal ERG using ERG–jet and Gold Foil electrodes in normal subjects: comparison and reproducibility. *JFr Ophtalmol* 2008;31(6 Pt 1):585–590

7 Poloschek CM, Bach M. Can we do without mydriasis in multifocal ERG recordings? *Doc Ophthalmol* 2009;118(2):121-127

8 Qiu CY, Hu LN, Li JZ, Yan HX, Luo L, Zhao J, Li ZS. Features of multifocal electroretinogram of N_2O_4 injected mice at different time. *Int J Ophthalmol* 2007;7 (2):409–410