·Clinical Research ·

Nd: Yag laser iridotomy in Shaffer–Etienne grade 1 and 2: angle widening in our case studies

Sandra Cinzia Carlesimo¹, Luigi Di Santo², Pietro Bruni¹, Aloisa Librando¹, Antonietta Pompea Falace¹, Andrea Barbato¹

¹Department of Sense Organs, Sapienza University of Rome, Piazzale A. Moro 5, Rome 00185, Italy

²Freelance Scientific Designer, Via N. Stame 127, Rome 00128, Italy

Correspondence to: Andrea Barbato. Department of Sense Organs, Sapienza University of Rome, Viale del Policlinico 155, Rome 00161, Italy. v.barbandrea@alice.it

Received: 2014-02-20 Accepted: 2014-09-09

Abstract

• AIM: To obtain widening of a potentially occludable angle, in according to Kanski's indications, through preventive Nd:Yag laser iridotomy. The observational study was performed by using gonioscopy for the selection and follow –up of 1165 treated eyes and exploiting Shaffer – Etienne gonioscopic classification as a quality/quantity test of the angle recession.

• METHODS: Between September 2000 and July 2012, 586 patients were selected at the Outpatients' Ophthalmological Clinic of the Policlinico Umberto I of Rome in order to undergo Nd: Yag laser iridotomy. A Goldmann type contact lens, Q –switched mode, 2–3 defocus, and 7–9 mJ intensity with 2–3 impulse discharges were used for surgery.

• RESULTS: From as early as the first week, a whole 360° angle widening were evident in the patients, thus showing the success of Nd:Yag laser iridotomy in solving relative pupil block. The angle remained narrow by 270° in 14 eyes only, despite repetitions of further treatment with laser iridotomy in a different part of the iris, twice in 10 eyes and three times in 4 eyes.

• CONCLUSION: Nd:Yag laser iridotomy revealed itself as being a safe and effective treatment in widening those critical Shaffer –Etienne grade 1 and 2 potentially occludable angles.

• **KEYWORDS:** glaucoma; gonioscopy; intraocular pressure; iridotomy; narrow angle; Nd:Yag laser

DOI:10.3980/j.issn.2222-3959.2015.04.12

Carlesimo SC, Di Santo L, Bruni P, Librando A, Falace AP, Barbato A. Nd:Yag laser iridotomy in Shaffer–Etienne grade 1 and 2: angle widening in our case studies. *Int J Ophthalmol* 2015;8(4):709–713

INTRODUCTION

A ngle closure of the anterior chamber can occur in various ways, thus leading to very different clinical pictures^[11]. Moreover, in the same eye the disease can take on very different aspects and evolve in different ways over time^[2:4]. As is well known, angle closure occurs in predisposed eyes featuring reduced depth of the anterior chamber, a narrow angle and a number of other typical biometric characteristics-all well-documented in literature, thanks to UBM too ^[5], such as, in particular: 1) a pathological anterior insertion of the iris root; 2) an anomalous "elbow" profile of the iris root; 3) a greater inclination of the whole iris towards the anterior chamber; 4) a greater iris-lens contact surface; 5) a forward shift of the crystalline.

An "occludable" angle is an angle in which the posterior (pigmented) part of the trabecular meshwork, that is three out of four quadrants of the circumference, is not visible unless an indentation or other manipulation is performed ^[4,6,7] (Table 1).

Various pathogenetic mechanisms are involved in the different forms of glaucoma due to angle-closure ^[3,4]. The onset of a pupil block or crowding of the angle in mydriasis acts in such eyes as a triggering event and brings about a more or less complete closure of the angle, followed by an increase in intraocular pressure (IOP). There is no doubt that most cases present the onset of a pupil block as the central mechanism ^[8,9]: in a predisposed eye a relative pupil block may occur when the margin of the pupil is pushed against the surface of the lens and the passage of the aqueous humour from the posterior chamber to the anterior chamber is prevented. The pressure inside the posterior chamber increases and the root of the iris is pushed forward so as to close the angle. The pupil block mechanism can worsen during medium mydriasis of the pupil or in those conditions in which the sphincter and dilator muscles of the pupil are acting at the same time; this occurs physiologically when reading in poor light, or is caused pharmacologically, as when administering a miotic drug and at the same time stimulating the pupil dilator muscle by using phenylephrine (Mapstone provocative test)^[10-12].

The extension of the angle-closure, its rapid onset, a possible regression or, on the contrary, its becoming chronic, will

Nd: Yag laser iridotomy in Shaffer's I and II angles

Grade	Angle width	Description		
4	35°- 45°	Wide open: the ciliary body band is visible. Unlikely closure.		
3	20°- 35°	Moderately open: all angle structures are visible. Unlikely closure		
2	20°	Moderately narrow: visible as far as the anterior trabecular mesh margin. Possible closure.		
1	10°	Very narrow: only the Schwalbe's line is visible. Probable closure.		
0	0°	Closed: no angle structure is visible.		

determine clinical pictures that differ greatly from one another^[3,6].

This way, six different clinical conditions can be indicated: 1) eyes predisposed to angle-closure but not affected by glaucoma; 2) intermittent angle-closure glaucoma; 3) acute angle-closure glaucoma; 4) regressed acute angle-closure glaucoma; 5) chronic angle-closure following an acute attack; 6) progressive chronic angle-closure glaucoma.

Primary angle-closure glaucoma is more likely to occur in: hypermetropia, elderly patients, diabetics, women, certain races (especially Chino-Mongolian)^[13-19].

What we intend to obtain by using Yag laser iridotomy is to widen occludable angles before pupil block and hypertony occur. We acted two stages: 1) an accurate selection of patients at risk by gonioscopy (the results of which, even after surgery, are shown in the form of drawings in the clinical reports of the recruited patients); 2) preventive Yag laser iridotomy.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Subjects In the years between September 2000 and July 2012, 586 patients (7 of which affected by organic monocular vision impairment) for a total of 1165 eyes were selected at the Outpatients' Ophthalmological Department of the Policlinico Umberto I in Rome to undergo Nd:Yag laser iridotomy (Table 2). This study was performed with informed consent and following all the guidelines for experimental investigations required by the Institutional Review Board or Ethics Committee of which all authors are affiliated.

The patients, all between the ages of 35 and 75 (mean age, 53.6 ± 11.3 SD) and mostly female (71%), had come under our observation following non-specific eye symptomatology. With regard to this, we carried out a random selection of patients who had come to our polyclinic for the most varied reasons (eye-test, preventive check-ups, refraction, different kinds of eye trouble, *etc.*).

In order to be included in the group under observation, required conditions were: 1) a narrow chamber angle- grade 1 (47%) and grade 2 (53%), according only and exclusively to Shaffer-Etienne's gonioscopic classification (Table 1; Figure 1).

A normal IOP (less than or equal to 18 mm Hg, 64%), or in the upper limits of the norm (more than 18 and less than or equal to 21 mm Hg, 2%) or slightly above the

Table 2 Subdivisi	n (%)		
Group	Total	Females	Males
Patients	586	416 (71)	170 (29)
Eyes treated	1165	827	338



Figure 1 Structures visible through gonioscopy A: Shaffer-Etienne classification: a) Schwalbe's line; b) trabecular mesh: anterior (light), posterior (dark); c) scleral spur; d) ciliary body band; e) iris root; B: Potentially occludable narrow angle: criticality includes I-II classes of Shaffer-Etienne classification; C: Wide open angle: III-IV classes of Shaffer-Etienne classification.

norm (more than 21 and less than 24 mm Hg, 2%). All the patients examined underwent: 1) a measurement of refraction; 2) a measurement of ocular pressure by using Goldmann applanation tonometer; 3) gonioscopy with Goldmann lens; 4) fundus oculi examination; 5) psychophysical and electrophysiological evaluation by using frequency-doubling technology (FDT) perimetry and pattern electroretinography (PERG)^[20].

Patients with clear glaucoma, concomitant ocular diseases, significant abnormalities in FDT visual field and/or in PERG responses, brittle diabetes, high bleeding risk, as well as non-collaborative psychotic patients, were excluded from our record of cases; when the indications were valid, however, they were addressed to Yag laser iridotomy.

Methods In all cases, one eye at a time was treated, at a week's distance from the contralateral eye; patient's

preparation included parasympathomimetic eye drops (0.5%)and topical anaesthesia with oxybuprocaine eye drops (0.4%). When possible, we always performed iridotomy in the superior sections, *i.e.* the ones protected by the eyelid, usually corresponding to 10:00/2:00 hours position, with a preferred location in the iris thinning areas, such as the crypts at the base of the root (Figure 2A).

We used a Goldmann1 type contact lens, Q-switched mode, 2-3 defocus, and 7-9 mJ intensity with 2-3 impulse discharges.

After iridotomy, all patients were prescribed topical timolol (beta-blocker) (0.5%), one drop every 12h) and steroid-antibiotic combination (betamethasone 0.2% +chloramphenicol 0.5%) one drop every 8h for three days. Concurrently with the first check-up (7d after iridotomy) the contralateral eye was also treated; the second check-up was carried out 15d later, while the following ones were after 1, 3, 6mo and 1y.

RESULTS

From as early as the first week, an increase by 360° in angle width was visible in 1165 eyes and was documented by gonioscopy, thus showing the success of Yag laser iridotomy in solving the problem of a narrow chamber angle. In just 14 eyes the angle remained narrow by 270°, despite performing further repeated treatment with laser iridotomy in a different part of the iris, twice in 10 eyes and three times in 4 eyes; they were 31 Eastern patients, of which the second group (iridotomy repeated three times) had not even turned up for the scheduled follow-up ophthalmic check-ups. We performed iridotomy in 24 eyes in the presence of a slight hypertony (21/24 mm Hg), and obtained a decrease in eye pressure, varying between 1 and 9 mm Hg, in all the treated eyes, with a referred improvement in the symptoms (recurring headaches, temporary eyeball pains, transient blurred vision). These latter patients, whom we followed for two years afterwards, did not have to instil antiglaucoma eye drops. Possible treatment complications (Table 3): slight temporary photophobia, which disappeared on the second check-up 15d after surgery; slight and/or sporadic referred diplopia occurred in non-collaborative patients when performing surgery, with iridotomy in ectopic locations (interpalpebral sectors); visual acuity variations-even improvements in longsighted patients, who were the majority-thanks to the progression of a nuclear sclerosis of the crystalline; a negligible decrease in endothelial count. The much-feared decrease of endothelial cells was studied in literature, as it is negligible in those patients that undergo Yag laser iridotomy [21-23]. A dramatic decrease of corneal endothelial cells after an acute glaucoma attack is known to everybody ^[24]; we want to avoid such damage by using correct preventive Yag laser iridotomy. A poor corneal



в

Nd:YAG laser iridotomy

Indications

- Primary angle-closure glaucoma: acute, intermittent and chronic.
- Fellow eye of a patient with acute glaucoma.
- * Narrow "occludable" angles.
- Secondary angle closure with pupil block.
- POAG with narrow angles and combined mechanism glaucoma

Figure 2 Iridotomy and its indications A: Iridotomy at 10:00 position: iris base crypt location. Iridotomy is protected by upper eyelid; B: Indications for Nd:Yag laser iridotomy (Kanski 2003)^[1].

Table 3 Treatment complications	n (%)
No. of patients 586	No. of eyes 1165
Slight temporary photophobia 61 (10.41)	122
Slight and/or sporadic diplopia 57 (9.73)	114
Cataract progression 4 (0.68)	4
Endothelial damage 3 (0.51)	3

endothelial count was present in just three eyes, but such a report was already noticeable before iridotomy in these patients who had undergone endothelial microscopy due to the presence of cornea guttata. We hypothesize that a cellular impoverishment might also be related to nocturnal tonometric rises which were not highlighted at the moment of our daytime ophthalmological examination; Bleeding or hyphaema. In our study there were no records of bleeding in the anterior chamber, since we excluded patients with significant coagulation deficits and brittle diabetes, and included, instead, those patients undergoing anticoagulation therapy, though aptly prepared through a 5d oral anticoagulant suspension protocol, which we replaced with subcutaneous heparin therapy.

DISCUSSION

Nd:Yag laser iridotomy proved to be a safe and efficient treatment in cases of critical angle closure ^[21,22,25-29]. Gonioscopy showed to be a useful predictive test in the follow-up of the patients we examined and treated, since significant variations occurred mainly in the angle^[30,31].

In the case of a narrow angle, it is possible to improve the observation of the angle recess by asking the patient to look towards the mirror being used, thus managing to perform minimum compression without indentation; or rather, by using a Goldmann lens the indentation would be transmitted to the periphery of the cornea and would therefore narrow the angle, thus creating an artefact. Goldmann lens has a 12 mm contact surface and covers the limbus, with the advantage of keeping the eyeball still and not distorting the cornea, so it can also be used for iridotomy^[7,32].

Although a Goldmann lens cannot be used for dynamic indentation gonioscopy, by adopting it we avoid the risk that a narrow angle might look artificially wide, as it is likely to happen with other gonioscopy lenses (with a 9 mm contact surface) with which the angle will be forced to widen, even in the presence of a relative pupillary block ^[33]. For the purpose of our present work this plays a purely speculative role, since in Shaffer-Etienne grade 1 and 2 angles the angle stenosis-originally just appositional-could eventually turn into a real non-reversible organic welding ^[3,4]. As recorded in literature, since appositional narrow angles can evolve into synechial closure, thus establishing a real narrow-angle disease, removing such critical conditions remains valid for us (Figure 1B)^[3,4]; if we also consider the fact that, though the incidence of an acute glaucoma attack due to angle closure in the population is truly low-in fact, its prevalence varies between 0.1% and 5%^[34]-it is impossible to predict if and when an appositional narrow angle will also become synechial in time. Therefore, the performance of iridotomy enables regression of the appositional portion of angle-closure, and prevents further progression of gonioscopic synechiae, though of course it does not eliminate the possible synechiae that have already occurred ^[12]; supported by this firm belief, we started performing Yag laser iridotomy as far back as the year 2000, even before the precious final classification was made by Kanski ^[1] (Figure 2B); we were then encouraged by the latter and by the favourable results we obtained. In our opinion, in those eyes in which a degree of risk is evident, it is highly preferable to run the small risk of iridotomy, than the greater risk of a possible glaucoma attack, of which we ignore whether it can conveniently be kept under control, though it is always potentially destructive [33,35,36]. In any case, the patients will have long-term periodical check-ups [30,37-39]. It is common experience that iridotomy of occludable angles, without hypertony being under way, is still not performed much in everyday practice; on the contrary, we believe Kanski's [1] table is always valid (Figure 2B) as concerns its indications.

Once widened, an angle will show all its anatomical structures and possible anomalies (pigment, exfoliation, small haemorrhages, anomalous vessels, *etc.*), therefore providing, at times, precious information concerning previous transient occlusions which have not been observed.

The innovative aspect of our work (not yet coded in the current guidelines of glaucoma-related pathology) lies in the fact that, as a routine in the presence of a potentially occludable angle, iridotomy is not performed, but one waits for an acute glaucoma attack to occur. Unlike what was thought in the past, according to us it is no longer necessary to wait for a pupillary block, hypertony and/or synechiae as indications for performing iridotomy, but the latter must be regarded as a safe and efficient treatment in widening all potentially occludable angles^[11].

In conclusion, preventive ND:Yag laser iridotomy in Shaffer-Etienne's grade 1 and 2 potentially occludable angles appears to be useful and effective, and it is to be hoped that prospective multi-centric studies will be carried out in order to randomize the treatment and the follow up of patients.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to the scientific designer Luigi Di Santo for his free of charge professional service.

Conflicts of Interest: Carlesimo SC, None; Di Santo L, None; Bruni P, None; Librando A, None; Falace AP, None; Barbato A, None.

REFERENCES

1 Kanski JJ. Clinical ophthalmology: a systematic approach, 5th ed. *Edinburgh: Butterworth Heinemann*2003; 258–259

2 Quigley HA. Number of people with glaucoma worldwide. Br J Ophthalmol 1996;80(5):389-393

3 Chandler PA, Trotter RR. Angle closure glaucoma: subacute types. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1955;53(3):305-317

4 Nongpiur ME, Ku JY, Aung T. Angle closure glaucoma: a mechanistic revew. *Curr Opin Ophthalmol* 2011; 22(2):96-101

5 Sihota R, Dada T, Gupta R, Lakshminarayan P, Pandey RM. Ultrasound biomicroscopy in the subtypes of primary angle closure glaucoma. *J Glaucoma* 2005;14(5):387-391

6 Ritch R, Shields MB, Krupin T. *The glaucomas.* vol. 2, St Louis, MO: C. V. Mosby 1989;839–853

7 He M, Friedman DS, Ge J, Huang W, Jin C, Lee PS, Khaw PT, Foster PJ. Laser peripheral iridotomy in primary angle-closure suspects: biometric and gonioscopic outcomes: the Liwan Eye Study. *Ophthalmology* 2007;114 (3):494–500

8 Pescosolido N, Parisi F, Russo P, Buomprisco G, Nebbioso M. Role of dopaminergic receptors in glaucomatous disease modulation. *Biomed Res Int* 2013;193048

9 Pescosolido N, Cavallotti C, Rusciano D, Nebbioso M. Trabecular meshwork in normal and pathological eyes. *Ultrastruct Pathol* 2012;36(2): 102-107

10 Mapstone R. The fellow eye. Br J Ophthalmol 1981;65(6):410-413

11 Cronemberger S, Calixto N, De Andrade AO, Mérula RV. New considerations on pupillary block mechanism. *Arq Bras Oftalmol* 2010;73 (1):9–15

12 Kim YY, Jung HR. Dilated miotic-resistant pupil and laser iridotomy in primary angle-closure glaucoma. *Ophthalmologica* 1997;211(4):205-208

13 Sawaguchi S, Sakai H, Iwase A, Yamamoto T, Abe H, Tomita G, Tomidokoro A, Araie M. Prevalence of primary angle closure and primaryangle-closure glaucoma in a southwestern rural population of Japan: the Kumejima Study. *Ophthalmology* 2012;119(6):1134-1142

Int J Ophthalmol, Vol. 8, No. 4, Aug.18, 2015 www. IJO. cn Tel:8629-82245172 8629-82210956 Email:ijopress@163.com

14 Devereux JG, Foster PJ, Baasanhu J, Uranchimeg D, Lee PS, Erdenbeleig T, Machin D, Johnson GJ, Alsbirk PH. Anterior chamber depth measurement as a screening tool for primary angle-closure in an East Asian population. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2000;118(2):257–263

15 Shiose Y. Intraocular pressure: new perspectives. *Surv Ophthalmol* 1990;34(6):413-435

16 Song W, Shan L, Cheng F, Fan P, Zhang L, Qu W, Zhang Q, Yuan H. Prevalence of glaucoma in a rural northern China adult population: a population-based survey in Kailu county, Inner Mongolia. *Ophthalmology* 2011;118(10):1982-1988

17 Shastry BS. Genetic susceptibility to primary angle closure glaucoma (PACG). *Discov Med* 2013; 15(80):17-22

18 Thomas R, Walland MJ. Primary angle-closure glaucoma is a multifactorial disease. *Clin Experiment Ophthalmol* 2011;39(7):593-594

19 Van Romunde SH, Thepass G, Lemij HG. Is hyperopia an important risk factor for PACG in the dutch population? A case control study. *J Ophthalmol* 2013:630481

20 Nebbioso M, Gregorio FD, Prencipe L, Pecorella I. Psychophysical and electrophysiological testing in ocular hypertension. *Optom Vis Sci* 2011;88 (8):E928–939

21 Johnson GJ, Foster PJ. Can we prevent angle-closure glaucoma? *Live* (Lond) 2005;19(10):1119-1124

22 Quigley HA. Long-term follow-up of laser iridotomy. *Ophthalmology* 1981;88(3):218-224

23 Kumar RS, Baskaran M, Friedman DS, Xu Y, Wong HT, Lavanya R, Chew PT, Foster PJ, Aung T. Effect of prophylactic laser iridotomy on corneal endothelial cell density over 3 years in primary angle closure suspects. *Br.J Ophthalmol* 2013;97(3):258-261

24 Wu SC, Jeng S, Huang SC, Lin SM. Corneal endothelial damage after neodymium:YAG laser iridotomy. *Ophthalmic Surg Lasers* 2000;31 (5): 411-416

25 Mansour K, Burgener ND, Bagnoud M, Shaarawy T. A prospective ultrasound biomicroscopy evaluation of changes in anterior segment morphology following laser iridotomy in European eyes. *Lye (Lond)* 2009; 23(11):2046–2051

26 Salmon JF. Long-term intraocular pressure control after Nd-YAG laser iridotomy in chronic angle-closure glaucoma. *J Glaucoma* 1993;2 (4): 291-296

27 Schwartz LW, Moster MR, Spaeth GL, Wilson RP, Poryzees E.

Neodymium-YAG laser iridectomies in glaucoma associated with closed or occludable angles. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1986; 102(1):41-44

28 Jain R, Grewal D, Grewal SP. Quantitative analysis of anterior chamber following peripheral laser iridotomy using Pentacam in eyes with primary angle closure. *Eur J Ophthalmol* 2012;May 14:0 [Epub ahead of print]

29 Tomey KF, Traverso C, Shammas IV. Neodymium-YAG laser iridotomy in the treatment and prevention of angle closure glaucoma. A review of 373 eyes. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1987;105(4):476-481

30 Pescosolido N, Scarsella G, Tafani M, Nebbioso M. Cataract surgery complications: an in vitro model of toxic effects of ropivacaine and lidocaine. *Drugs R D* 2011;11(4):303-307

31 Esmaeili A, Barazandeh B, Ahmadi S, Haghi AR, Ahmadi Hosseini SM, Abolbashari F. Assessment of the anterior chamber parameters after laser iridotomy in primary angle close suspect using Pentacam and gonioscopy. *Int J Ophthalmol* 2013; 6(5):680–684

32 Richardson P, Cooper RL. Laser iridotomy. *Aust NZ J Ophthalmol* 1987;15(2):119-123

33 Nolan WP, Foster P, Devereux J, Uranchimeg D, Johnson GJ, Baasanhu J. Yag laser iridotomy treatment for primary angle closure in East Asian eyes. *Br.J Ophthalmol* 2000;84(11):1255-1259

34 Day AC, Baio G, Gazzard G, Bunce C, Azuara-Blanco A, Munoz B, Friedman DS, Foster PJ. The prevalence of primary angle closure glaucoma in European derived populations: a systematic revew. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2012; 96(9):1162-1167

35 Snow JT. Value of prophylactic peripheral iridectomy on the second eye in angle-closure glaucoma. *Trans Ophthalmol Soc UK* 1977;97(1):189-191

36 Lowe RF. Acute angle-closure glaucoma. The second eye: an analysis of 200 cases. *Br J Ophthalmol* 1962; 46(11):641–650

37 Pescosolido N, Librando A, Puzzono M, Nebbioso M. Palmitoylethanolamide effects on intraocular pressure after Nd:YAG laser iridotomy: an experimental clinical study. *J Ocul Pharmacol Ther* 2011;27 (6):629–635

38 Nebbioso M, Pascarella A, Cavallotti C, Pescosolido N. Monoamine oxidase enzymes and oxidative stress in the rat optic nerve: age-related changes. *Int J Exp Pathol* 2012;93(6):401-405

39 Sansone L, Reali V, Pellegrini L, Villanova L, Aventaggiato M, Marfe G, Rosa R, Nebbioso M, Tafani M, Fini M, Russo MA, Pucci B. SIRT1 silencing confers neuroprotection through IGF-1 pathway activation. *J Cell Physiol* 2013;228(8):1754-1761