Visual findings as primary manifestations in patients with intracranial tumors

Nazife Sefi-Yurdakul

Department of Ophthalmology, Izmir Ataturk Education and Research Hospital, Izmir 35360, Turkey

Correspondence to: Nazife Sefi-Yurdakul. Halk Sokak, No: 26 Sahilevleri, Narlidere-Izmir 35320, Turkey. nsefi@ yahoo.com

Received: 2014-01-31 Accepted: 2014-03-07

Abstract

• AIM: To evaluate the visual findings as primary manifestations in patients with intracranial tumors.

• METHODS: The medical charts of the patients with intracranial tumors who initially admitted to the Neuroophthalmology and Strabismus Department with ocular complaints between August 1999 and December 2012 were reviewed retrospectively. The detailed clinical history and the findings of neuro -ophthalmologic examination were recorded. Ocular symptoms and signs, the types and locations of intracranial tumors, and the duration of symptoms before the diagnosis were evaluated.

• RESULTS: The mean age of 11 women (61.1%) and 7 men (38.9%) was 42.2±11.0 (range 20-66y) at the time of intracranial tumor diagnosis. Initial symptoms were transient visual obscurations, visual loss or visual field defect in 16 cases (88.9%), and diplopia in 2 cases (11.1%). Neuro -ophthalmologic examination revealed normal optic discs in both eyes of 6 patients (33.3%), paleness, atrophy or edema of optic disc in 12 patients (66.7%), and sixth cranial nerve palsy in 2 patients (11.1%). Visual acuity ranged between normal vision and loss of light perception. Cranial imaging demonstrated craniopharyngioma (n = 1), plasmacytoma (n = 1),meningioma (n=6; olfactory groove and tuberculum sellae, pontocerebellar angle, anterior cranial fossa, frontal vertex, suprasellar region), and pituitary macroadenoma (n=10). The mean duration between the onset of visual disturbances and the diagnosis of intracranial tumor was 9.8±18mo (range 3d-6y).

• CONCLUSION: The ophthalmologist is frequently the first physician to encounter a patient with clinical manifestations of intracranial tumors that may cause neurological and ocular complications. Neuro – ophthalmologic findings should be carefully evaluated to avoid a delay in the diagnosis of intracranial tumors.

• **KEYWORDS:** intracranial tumors; neuro-ophthalmologic examination; optic neuropathy

DOI:10.3980/j.issn.2222-3959.2015.04.28

Sefi-Yurdakul N. Visual findings as primary manifestations in patients with intracranial tumors. *Int J Ophthalmol* 2015;8(4):800–803

INTRODUCTION

I ntracranial tumors may cause serious ocular signs and symptoms in addition to neurological complications due to increased intracranial pressure, cranial nerve impairment or brain compression. Therefore, prompt diagnosis of intracranial tumors can allow early treatment and avoidance of complications. Usually, the diagnosis of an intracranial tumor can only be established in the presence of usual symptoms or signs and sometimes these may lead to misinterpretation and misdiagnosis ^[1-3]. Typical initial ocular symptoms are progressive loss of vision with or without optic nerve atrophy, visual field defects, and extraocular nerve palsies^[4-7]. Instead of progressive visual defects, occasionally, some of these lesions may cause acute visual loss as the presenting manifestation of intracranial tumors^[5,8-10].

In this study, we aimed to draw attention to careful evaluation of the findings in patients with intracranial tumors who were referred to the Neuro-ophthalmology and Strabismus Department with ocular complaints.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Subjects We retrospectively reviewed the medical charts of patients who were referred to the Neuro-ophthalmology and Strabismus Department of our hospital between August 1999 and December 2012 with ocular symptoms, and those who were subsequently diagnosed with intracranial tumors in the Neurology Department were included in the present study. Written informed consent was obtained from the patients in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The study used routine data, which were collected in a standard manner on all cases.

Methods The diagnosis of intracranial tumors had been made on the basis of characteristic clinical and radiological findings. The detailed clinical history and the findings of a full ophthalmologic and neuro-ophthalmologic examination, including visual acuity, visual field, pupillary reactions, color vision, ocular motility, biomicroscopy of anterior segment, intraocular pressure measurement, and dilated fundus examinations, were recorded. Additionally, the initial symptoms, signs prior to diagnosis of the tumor, and neurological, biochemical and hematological findings at diagnosis were recorded. Ocular manifestations, the types and locations of intracranial tumors were evaluated. The estimated duration of symptoms described by the patients was investigated.

RESULTS

This study included 18 patients of whom 61.1% (*n*=11) were woman and 38.9% (*n*=7) were man. The mean age at presentation was 42.2±11.0 (range 20-66y). Decreased visual acuity, transient visual obscurations or visual field defect were the most common presenting symptoms (n=16, 88.9%), followed by diplopia (n=2, 11.1%). Eleven patients (61.1%) complained of progressive blurred vision. The remaining patients presented with acute visual deterioration for a period of less than one month. Visual acuity ranged between normal vision and loss of light perception. Visual field pattern differed from normal visual field to total loss of visual field. Incomplete right homonymous hemianopsia and enlargement of blind spot are shown in Figure 1 (case 18). The mean duration between the onset of visual disturbances and the diagnosis of intracranial tumor was 9.8±18mo (range 3d-6y). Dilated fundus examination showed normal optic discs in both eyes of 6 patients (33.3%), and paleness, atrophy or edema of optic disc in 12 patients (66.7%). Bilateral optic disc edema is disclosed in Figure 2 (case 18). Sixth nerve palsy was detected in 2 patients (11.1%). Non-specific headache was described by 22.2% of the patients. All extensive laboratory tests were within normal limits.

Before radiological investigations, one case (case 1) had pre-diagnosis of toxic optic neuropathy, one case (case 17) Leber's hereditary optic neuropathy, one case (case 6) ischemic optic neuropathy, and 2 cases (cases 3, 15) retrobulbar neuritis. After neuro-ophthalmologic examination, the patients were consulted by a neurologist. The definitive diagnosis of an intracranial tumor was made based on the characteristic clinical and radiological findings. Neurological examination and cranial imaging demonstrated craniopharyngioma (n = 1), plasmacytoma (n = 1),meningioma (n=6), and pituitary macroadenoma (n=10). The locations of the meningiomas were olfactory groove and tuberculum sellae (n=1), pontocerebellar angle (n=1), anterior cranial fossa (n=1), frontal vertex (n=1), and suprasellar region (n=2). A large anterior cranial fossa meningioma is demonstrated in Figure 3 (case 1). The size of the tumors ranged between 1 and 7 cm. Descriptive features of the patients are presented in Table 1.

DISCUSSION

Intracranial tumors generally cause progressive visual deficits and visual field loss (up to 95%) over weeks to months before the diagnosis^[11-13]. Initial symptoms and signs are often



Figure 1 Incomplete homonymous hemianopsia and enlargement of blind spot (case 18).



Figure 2 Bilateral optic disc edema (case 18).



Figure 3 Anterior cranial fossa meningioma (case 1).

misinterpreted both by the patients and physicians, and appropriate investigations are consequently delayed. The duration of symptoms may be as long as 13y before the diagnosis ^[3-5,14]. In the present study, the estimated duration of symptoms described by the patients before the diagnosis ranged from 3d to 6y, with a mean of 9.8mo.

The three most common types of intracranial tumors in adults are pituitary adenoma, meningioma, and craniopharyngioma, in decreasing order of frequency ^[4-6,12]. Pituitary adenoma frequently manifests with bitemporal hemianopia, but is usually asymmetrical and unpredictable in its evolution ^[6]. Clinical presentation is related with anatomic location of the chiasm. Cranial nerve paralysis and diplopia can develop because of parasellar extension of the tumor^[15-17]. In this study, neuro-ophthalmologic examination and cranial imaging demonstrated pituitary macroadenomas in 10 patients; all cases, except one with diplopia (case 14), were admitted due

Case	Age	Sex	Visual	Visual field defects	Other findings	Optic disc	Diagnosis	Duration
No.	(a)		acuity				(localization)	(D)
1	55	М	RE: P-	RE: Not done	Headache	RE: Edema	Meningioma (anterior cranial fossa)	3d
			LE: P -	LE: Not done	Anosmia	LE: Edema		
2	66	F	RE: P+	RE: Not done	Headache	RE: Atrophy	Meningioma (suprasellar)	6y
			LE: 20/50	LE: Hemianopsia	Cortical cataract	LE: Atrophy		
3	26	F	RE: 20/20	RE: Normal	Headache	RE: Normal	Meningioma (suprasellar)	7d
			LE: 1m CF	LE: Not done		LE: Normal		
4	37	F	RE: 20/20	RE: BSE	Transient visual RE:	RE: Edema	Meningioma	2000
			LE: 20/20	LE: BSE	obscuration	LE: Edema	(pontocerebellar angle)	51110
5	44	F	DE: 20/20	DE BSE	Transient visual	DE: Edama	Meningioma (frontal verteks)	20d
			KE. 20/20		obscurations	LE: Edomo		
			LE: 20/20	LE: BSE	(right eye)	LE: Edema		
6	51	F					Meningioma	
			RE: 20/20	RE: Normal		RE: Normal (olfactory	31	
			LE: 2m CF	LE: Total loss	-	LE: Pale	groove-tuberculum	Jy
							sellae)	
7	46	F	RE: 20/30	RE: Hemianopsia	_	RE: Normal	Pituitary macroadenoma	1y
			LE: 20/200	LE: Hemianopsia	-	LE: Normal		
8	43	М	RE: 20/200	RE: Hemianopsia	RE: Atrophy Pituitary macroadenom	Pituitary macroadenoma	1y	
			LE: 20/200	LE: Hemianopsia	-	LE: Atrophy		
9	50	М	RE: HM	RE: Not done	_	RE: Atrophy	Pituitary macroadenoma	1y
			LE: 3m CF	LE: Diffuse loss	-	LE: Atrophy		
10	41	F	RE: 20/30	RE: Hemianopsia	_	RE: Normal	Pituitary macroadenoma	9mo
			LE: 20/40	LE: Hemianopsia		LE: Normal		
11	44	М	RE: 20/40	RE: Total loss	-	RE: Atrophy	Pituitary macroadenoma	2mo
			LE: 20/20	LE: Hemianopsia		LE: Edema		
12	42	F	RE: 2m CF	RE: Concentric narrowing	-	RE: Pale	Pituitary macroadenoma	30d
			LE: 20/20	LE: Normal		LE: Normal		
13	51	F	RE: 20/30	RE: Hemianopsia	Transient visual	RE: Normal	Pituitary macroadenoma	1y
			LE: 20/30	LE: Hemianopsia	obscuration	LE: Normal		
14	26	F	RE: 20/20	RE: Normal	Diplopia	RE: Normal	Pituitary macroadenoma	15d
			LE: 20/20	LE: Normal		LE: Normal		
15	36	F	RE: 20/20	RE: Normal	-	RE: Normal	Pituitary macroadenoma	20d
			LE: 20/25	LE: Normal		LE: Normal		204
16	42	М	RE: 20/40	RE: Diffuse loss	-	RE: Edema	Pituitary macroadenoma	10d
			LE: 20/20	LE: Diffuse loss		LE: Pale		
17	20	М	RE: P+	RE: Diffuse loss	-	RE: Atrophy	Craniopharyngioma (suprasellar)	15-30d
			LE: 3m CF	LE: Diffuse loss	D' 1 '	LE: Pale		
18	39	М	RE: 20/20	RE: Hemianopsia	Diplopia	RE: Edema	Plasmacytoma	30d
			LE: 20/20	LE: Hemianopsia	Headache	LE: Edema	(posterior tossa)	

Table 1 Descriptive features of the patients with intracranial tumors who were initially admitted to ophthalmology outpatient clinic with ocular symptoms

RE: Right eye; LE: Left eye; P: Light perception; HM: Hand motions; CF: Count fingers; BSE: Blind spot enlargement; D: Time from onset of symptoms to diagnosis.

to visual or visual field defects. No patient primarily presented with endocrinologic symptoms. Before confirmation of the diagnosis with cranial imaging, one patient (case 15) was pre-diagnosed as retrobulbar neuritis.

Besides the cases with pituitary macroadenoma, three cases with meningioma were pre-diagnosed as toxic optic neuropathy (case 1), retrobulbar neuritis (case 3), and ischemic optic neuropathy (case 6). The most dramatic case (case 1) among our patients had anterior cranial fossa meningioma, and he developed bilateral visual loss in three days. This patient described nonspecific headache and anosmia from time to time. He was initially referred to our clinic and pre-diagnosed as toxic optic neuropathy, and then eventually a large olfactory groove meningioma, $5.5 \times 6.7 \times 8.5$ -cm³ in size, invading the anterior cranial fossa was determined. Olfactory groove meningiomas most commonly present with symptoms of headache, anosmia, and personality changes ^[18,19]. Furthermore, they can reach to a

huge size without showing any symptom and be easily confused with toxic optic neuropathy, especially in patients who present with bilateral vision loss and visual field defects. Jung *et al*^[18] reported a case with bilateral visual loss for over 2y due to a giant olfactory meningioma encompassing the entire frontal lobe and compressing the optic nerves. Bouyon *et al* ^[3] reported 5 patients with an initial presentation of retrobulbar optic neuropathy and in radiological imaging, that had to be repeated to make the diagnosis of meningioma, which was noted to be delayed from 18mo to 4y. Puchner *et al* ^[20] suggested that the commonly very late diagnosis of meningiomas as a cause of visual loss may be attributed to the low incidence of the tumor.

Although benign in nature, craniopharyngiomas can contribute to significant morbidity. Karavitaki *et al* ^[21] and Overly ^[22] found visual field defects and an initial decrease in visual acuity in their patients with suprasellar craniopharyngioma. Chen *et al* ^[23] reported that change from

one type of field defect to another is one of the most typical signs of craniopharyngioma. In our craniopharyngioma case (case 17), tumor was located in the suprasellar region. He presented with severe vision loss in both eyes over the course of between 15 and 30d. The initial diagnosis of Leber's hereditary optic neuropathy was made. Cranial magnetic resonance imaging revealed the exact diagnosis of craniopharyngioma.

Ophthalmic signs as the initial manifestations of solitary intracranial plasmacytoma have been rarely described. Brannan *et al* ^[24] reported two patients with solitary plasmacytomas. One patient presented with optic neuropathy, the second with bilateral sixth nerve palsy. Similarly, a 39-year old man presented to our clinic with the complaint of diplopia and non-specific headache for about a month. After neuro-ophthalmologic examination, papilledema and right homonymous hemianopsia were identified and he was referred to the neurology clinic. Apparently, he had posterior fossa plasmacytoma. Plasmacytoma is a treatable intracranial tumor that should be considered in the differential diagnosis of patients who present with optic neuropathy or sixth nerve palsy.

In conclusion, the ophthalmologist may be the first physician to encounter a patient with clinical manifestations of intracranial tumors that may cause neurologic and ocular complications. We suggest that the possibility of intracranial tumors should be considered in the etiology of visual disturbances before reaching a definitive diagnosis. Neuro-ophthalmologic features should be carefully investigated to avoid a delay in the diagnosis of intracranial tumors.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Conflicts of Interest: Sefi-Yurdakul N, None. REFERENCES

1 Margalit N, Barkay G, Kesler A. Delay in diagnosis of meningiomas involving the optic apparatus: conclusions and guidelines for early imaging based on our experience in 100 patients. *Harefuah* 2013;152(3):135–138

2 Cheour M, Mazlout H, Agrebi S, Falfoul Y, Chakroun I, Lajmi H, Kraiem A. Compressive optic neuropathy secondary to a pituitary macroadenoma. *J Fr Ophthalmol* 2013;36(6):e101-104

3 Bouyon M, Blanc F, Ballonzoli L, Fleury M, Zaenker C, Speeg-Schatz C, de Seze J. Optic neuropathy and meningioma: a diagnostic trap. J Fr Ophthalmol 2013;36(3):221-229

4 Herold S, von Kummer R, von der Groeben C. Eye symptoms in hypophyseal adenomas, craniopharyngiomas and meningiomas of the anterior and middle cranial fossa. *Klin Monatshl Augenheilkd* 1984;185 (6):495–504

5 Masaya-anon P, Lorpattanakasem J. Intracranial tumors affecting visual system: 5-year review in Prasat Neurological Institute. *J Med Assoc Thai* 2008;91(4):515-519

6 Kitthaweesin K, Ployprasith C. Ocular manifestations of suprasellar

tumors. J Med Assoc Thai 2008;91(5):711-715

7 Valassi E, Biler BMK, Klibanski A, Swearingen B. Clinical features of non-pituitary sellar lesions in a large surgical series. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)* 2010;73(6):798-807

8 Chai Y, Yamazaki H, Kondo A, Oshitari T, Yamamoto S. Case of acute optic nerve compression caused by tuberculum sellae meningioma with optic canal involvement. *Clinical Ophthalmology* 2012;6:661–666

9 Moss HE, Liu GT. Acute optic neuropathy associated with an intracranial mass in a patient with POEMS syndrome. *J Neuroophthalmol* 2012;32(1): 45–47

10 Slavin ML. Acute, severe, symmetric visual loss with cecocentral scotomas due to olfactory groove meningioma. *J Clin Neuroophthalmol* 1986;6(4):224-227

11 Shao S, Li X. Clinical features and analysis in 1385 Chinese patients with pituitary adenomas. *J Neurosurg Sci* 2013;57(3):267–275

12 Aui-aree N, Phruanchroen C, Oearsakul T, Hirunpat S, Sangthong R. Three years experience of suprasellar tumors in neuro-ophthalmology clinic. *Med Assoc Thai* 2010;93(7):818-823

13 Ortiz-Pérez S, Sánchez-Dalmau BF, Molina-Fernández JJ, Adán-Civera A. Neuro-ophthalmological manifestations of pituitary adenomas. The usefulness of optical coherence tomography. *Rey Neurol* 2009;48(2):85-90

14 Harbert MJ, Yeh-Nayre LA, O'Halloran HS, Levy ML, Crawford JR. Unrecognized visual field deficits in children with primary central nervous system brain tumors. *JNeurooncol* 2012;107(3):545-549

15 Saffra N, Kaplow E, Mikolaenko I, Kim A, Rubin B, Jafar J. Isolated sixth cranial nerve palsy as the presenting symptom of a rapidly expanding ACTH positive pituitary adenoma: a case report. *BMC Ophthalmology* 2011;11(4):1–4

16 Mejico LJ, Miller NR, Dong LM. Clinical features associated with lesions other than pituitary adenoma in patients with an optic chiasmal syndrome. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2004;137(5):908–913

17 Lee JP, Park IW, Chung YS. The volume of tumor mass and visual field defect in patients with pituitary macroadenoma. *Korcan J Ophthalmol* 2011;25(1):37-41

18 Jung JJ, Warren FA, Kahanowicz R. Bilateral visual loss due to a giant olfactory meningioma. *Clinical Ophthalmology* 2012;6:339-342

19 Snyder WE, Shah MV, Weisberger EC, Campbell RL. Presentation and patterns of late recurrence of olfactory groove meningiomas. *Skull Base Surg* 2000;10(3):131-139

20 Puchner MJ, Fischer-Lampsatis RC, Herrmann HD, Freckmann N. Suprasellar meningioma. A disease still frequently diagnosed too late. *Dtsch Med Wochenschr* 1998;123(34-35):991-996

21 Karavitaki N, Brufani C, Warner JT, Adams CB, Richards P, Ansorge O, Shine B, Turner HE, Wass JA. Craniopharyngiomas in children and adults: systematic analysis of 121 cases with long-term follow-up. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)* 2005;62(4):397-409

22 Overly C. Bitemporal hemianopia arising from a suprasellar craniopharyngioma. *Optometry* 2009;80(11):621–629

23 Chen C, Okera S, Davies PE, Selva D, Crompton JL. Craniopharyngioma: a review of long-term visual outcome. *Clin Experiment Ophthalmol* 2003;31(3):220-228

24 Brannan SO, Matthews BN, Savant V, Brown RD, Matthews TD. Solitary intracranial extra-osseous plasmacytoma presenting with ophthalmic signs. *J Neuroophthalmol* 2003;23(4):268–271